



# Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) Prioritization Framework

- November 19, 2025  
Work Session



- Growing infrastructure needs



- Funding is finite and often restricted



- Current process is less formalized



- Need for priorities, transparency, strategy, and communication



# Why We Need a Prioritization Framework

---

- Align projects with Council goals and adopted plans

---

- Clear, repeatable process

---

- Transparency and trust

---

- Better grant competitiveness

---

- Cross department integration

---



## Goals of the Framework

- 
- Major public improvement (10+ year life)

- 
- >\$100,000

- 
- Construction, reconstruction, expansion, or major renovation

- 
- Excludes routine maintenance



## Defining a CIP Project



- Adopted plans



- Condition assessments



- Regulatory mandates



- Community engagement



- Council direction

# Where do Projects Come From

---

1. Maintenance Responsibility

---

2. Partnership & Project  
Coordination

---

3. Health & Safety

---

4. Community Engagement

---

5. Socioeconomic

---

6. Economic Vitality

---

7. Resiliency

---

8. Livability & Connectivity\*

---



# 8 Proposed Scoring Categories



1. Project lead  
completes  
scorecard



2. Scoring  
committee scores



3. Weighting is  
added to scores



4. Council reviews  
ranked list

# Scoring Process Overview



- Maintenance: Asset condition



- Health & Safety: Crash Data



- Economic Vitality: Jobs, business access



- Resiliency: Climate adaptation



- Livability: Active living

# Category Scoring Examples





- Low: No partnerships



- Medium: Coordination without obligations



- High: Formal partnerships/agreements



**City of  
McMinnville**

# Sample Scoring Guide (Partnerships)

---

1. Maintenance Responsibility

---

2. Partnership & Project  
Coordination

---

3. Health & Safety

---

4. Community Engagement

---

5. Socioeconomic

---

6. Economic Vitality

---

7. Resiliency

---

8. Livability & Connectivity\*

---



**Question 1:**  
**Are these the**  
**right**  
**categories?**

- Weighting reflects policy priorities



- Determines influence on final ranking



- Can be easily modified as priorities change

---

1. Maintenance Responsibility

---

2. Partnership & Project  
Coordination

---

3. Health & Safety

---

4. Community Engagement

---

5. Socioeconomic

---

6. Economic Vitality

---

7. Resiliency

---

8. Livability & Connectivity\*

---



**Question 2:**  
**Should any of**  
**these**  
**categories**  
**be weighted**  
**higher or**  
**lower than**  
**others?**



Phase 1 (2025–  
2026): Facilities  
CIP pilot



Phase 2 (2026):  
Parks &  
Wastewater



Phase 3 (2027–  
2028): Streets &  
Stormwater



Annual: Scoring  
new projects and  
updates



# CIP Prioritization Implementing Timeline

---

1. Council feedback on categories and weighting

---

2. Finalize tools and sponsor guide

---

3. Staff training and pilot

---

4. FY26 Budget

---

5. Future expansion

---



**Next Steps**



- Structure and transparency



- Council and community values



- Strategic Fiscal Planning



- Sustainable CIP program

# Key Takeaways





Question 3:  
Are there any  
additional  
values or  
outcomes  
Council would  
like to see?