



STAFF REPORT

DATE: October 17, 2017
TO: Downtown Safety Task Force
FROM: Matt Scales, Police Chief & Susan Muir, Parks & Rec Director
SUBJECT: October 24, 2017 Meeting Packet

Report in Brief: Thank you for serving on the Downtown Safety Task Force. Please find attached the agenda and information for our first meeting which will be held on Tuesday, October 24, 2017 at 11:00 am at the Police Department Training Room. If you have any questions about the committee, packets or meetings, please contact either one of us as we move through this process. We look forward to working with you all on this important task of addressing safety and behavioral issues in our downtown.

Matt & Susan

Matt Scales
Chief of Police
503.434.7307
matt.scales@mcminnvilleoregon.gov

Susan Muir
Parks and Recreation Director
503.434.7359
susan.muir@mcminnvilleoregon.gov

Background:

The City Council reviewed the following information from City Manager Jeff Towery when they established this Downtown Safety Task Force:

“In recognition of a growing concern for safety in the City of McMinnville, a temporary Task Force will be formed. Over the next six months, this inclusive group will meet on a frequent basis to share information and provide feedback on proposed solutions to problems in and around the downtown area, to include the residential areas of the economic improvement district (EID). These problems were identified through public testimony during the City Council meetings taking place on July 11th and 25th. The charge of this downtown safety Task Force is to collect data and interview those affected by negative behaviors taking place in the EID. Once the data has been analyzed and interviews conducted with stakeholders, the task force *may* recommend short term and long term solutions to the City Council.

Proposals made to City Council will be done in a thorough and thoughtful process, ensuring constitutional rights are protected for all. This task force *may* recommend additions or changes to our Municipal Code. Changes made to the Municipal Code would be to specifically address the nuisance or criminal behaviors. Information moved forward to the City

Council will identify the **pros and cons** of possible solutions, and success stories if the task force recommends implementation of code changes.

Reports and updates of the Committee work will be made to the City Council during the 2nd, 4th months of work, and at the conclusion of the task force's work in the 6th month.

It is expected that this inclusive task for will:

- Collect data and analyze it
- Review data and define the problem(s)
- Develop a recommended plan of action

The City Council will then consider the recommended plan of action and move forward with potential implementation actions after the committee's work is presented. After solutions have been implemented data will be collected and measured to see if behavioral issues have been reduced and the desired outcomes have been achieved."

Attachments:

- A. Resolution 2017-63 (p.3-4)
- B. Resolution 2017-64 (p. 5)
- C. Map of Downtown Economic Improvement District (p. 6)
- D. Contact information for committee members (p. 7)
- E. Tentative timeline for committee (p. 8)
- F. Agenda & packet for October 24, 2017 meeting (p. 9-34)

Attachment A – Resolution 2017-63

RESOLUTION NO. 2017-63

An Ordinance of the City of McMinnville establishing the Downtown Safety Task Force.

RECITALS:

The City Council desires to promote public safety, sustainable growth and development and communication with citizens and key local partners through active engagement of the community.

The City Council recognizes the concerns for safety and negative behaviors in the City of McMinnville and specifically in and around the downtown area, including the residential areas of the economic improvement district.

In response to these concerns, a Downtown Safety Task Force is established as described in Exhibit A.

2. This Resolution will take effect immediately.

Adopted by the Common Council of the City of McMinnville at a regular meeting held the 26th day of September, 2017 by the following votes:

Ayes: Garvin, Jeffries, Menke, Stassens, Ruden

Nays: _____

Approved this 26th day of September, 2017.



MAYOR

Approved as to form:



CITY ATTORNEY

EXHIBIT A

DOWNTOWN SAFETY TASK FORCE

Purpose. Public Safety is significant to McMinnville's quality of life. In order to continue to promote safety, sustainable growth and development and communicate with citizens and key local partners through active engagement, the Downtown Safety Task Force is hereby established in accordance with the following provisions.

Duties of the Downtown Safety Task Force. The Downtown Safety Task force will:

- A. Share information and provide feedback on proposed solutions to problems in and around (but not limited to) the downtown area and residential areas of the economic improvement district (EID).
- B. Collect data and interview those affected by negative behaviors taking place in the EID.
- C. Conduct Interviews with Stakeholders.
- D. Make recommendations to the City Council for short term and long term solutions.
- E. Recommend additions or changes to the City of McMinnville's Municipal Code.

Information moved forward to the City Council will identify the pros and cons of possible solutions, and success stories if the task force recommends implementation of code changes.

Downtown Safety Task Force Membership.

The Task Force will be comprised of volunteer citizen members, city staff members and a Council Liaison and alternate Council Liaison.

- A. The Task Force Members shall be appointed by resolution of the City Council.
- B. Any Task Force member may resign at any time by providing written notice to the Council Liaison or the City Manager.

Meetings. Meetings of the Downtown Safety Task Force shall be subject to Oregon Public Meeting Law requirements, as described in ORS Ch. 192. The Downtown Safety Task Force will meet on a frequent basis. Reports and updates of the Committee work will be made to the City Council during the 2nd, 4th months of work, and at the conclusion of the task force's work in the 6th month.

Attachment B – Resolution 2017-64

RESOLUTION NO. 2017-64

A Resolution appointing Sylla McClellan, Jeff Sargent, Erika Marksbury, Rick Weidner, Laura Van Houte, Lindsey Manfrin as members of the Downtown Safety Task Force.

RECITALS:

The City of McMinnville has several Boards, Committees, Commissions, and Task Forces made up of volunteers; and

The City Council is responsible for making appointments and re-appointments; and

The Downtown Safety Task Force is comprised of a Council liaison and alternate, two staff members, and volunteer members who are appointed by the McMinnville City Council and serve for six months; and

The Downtown Safety Task Force will meet on a frequent basis, collect and analyze data, and may make short term and long term solutions to the City Council.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF McMINNVILLE, OREGON as follows:

1. The City Council appoints the following volunteers and staff members as members
DOWNTOWN SAFETY TASK FORCE
(6-month term)

Volunteers: Sylla McClellan, Jeff Sargent, Erika Marksbury, Rick Weidner, Laura Van Houte, Lindsey Manfrin

Staff Members: Police Chief Matt Scales, Parks and Recreation Director Susan Muir

Council Liaison: Councilor Adam Garvin **Alternate:** Council President Kellie Menke

2. This Resolution and this appointment will take effect immediately.

Adopted by the Common Council of the City of McMinnville at a regular meeting held the 26th day of September, 2017 by the following votes:

Ayes: Garvin, Jeffries, Menke, Stassens, Ruden

Nays: _____


Approved this 26th day of September, 2017.


MAYOR

Approved as to form:


CITY ATTORNEY

Attachment C Map of Downtown Economic Improvement District



Attachment D – Task Force Roster

DOWNTOWN SAFETY TASK FORCE
Established by Resolution Nos. 2017-63 & 2017-64
9/26/17-3/31/17

Sylla McClellan, Third Street Books

Phone: 503-472-7786

Email: sylla@thridstreetbooks.com

Jeff Sargent, Yamhill Community Action Partnership (YCAP)

Phone: 503-883-4172

Email: jeffs@yamhillcap.org

Erika Marksbury, First Baptist Church

Phone: 503-472-7941

Email: erika.fbcmac@gmail.com

Rick Weidner, Community Member

Phone: 916-803-3333

Email: rickw@weidnerCA.com

Bethany Ball, Yamhill County Health and Human Services

Phone: 503-434-7523

Email: ballb@co.yamhill.or.us

Laura Van Houte, Community Member

Physical mailbox at the Community Center – send info to:
Susan Muir

Matt Scales, Police Chief

Phone: 503-434-2358

Email: matt.scales@mcminnvilleoregon.gov

Susan Muir, Parks and Recreation Director

Phone: 541-517-7939

Email: susan.muir@mcminnvilleoregon.gov

Council Liaison Adam Garvin

Phone: 503-560-8281

Email: adam.garvin@mcminnvilleoregon.gov

Alternate Council Liaison Kellie Menke

Phone: 503-472-2742

Email: kellie.menke@mcminnvilleoregon.gov

Attachment E

Tentative Committee Meeting Schedule

	Date/Time/Location	General Topic
Meeting 1	Tuesday October 24, 2017, 11:00 am Police Department 121 SW Adams St.	Intro and behavior/problem identification/Existing Data Review
Meeting 2	Tuesday November 7, 2017, 11:00 am Police Department 121 SW Adams St.	Discussion/ analysis - available data
Council Check in	Tuesday November 28, 2017, 7:00 pm McMinnville Civic Hall 200 NE 2 nd Street	Update
Meeting 3	Tuesday December 5, 2017, 11:00 am Police Department 121 SW Adams St.	Confirm problem ID Begin solution identification & discussion
Meeting 4	Tuesday December 19th, 2017, 11:00 am Police Department 121 SW Adams St.	Continue solution identification & discussion
Meeting 5	Tuesday January 9, 2018, 11:00 am Police Department 121 SW Adams St.	TBD
Council Check in	Tuesday January 23, 2018, 7:00 pm McMinnville Civic Hall 200 NE 2 nd Street	Update
Meeting 5	Tuesday February 6, 2018, 11:00 am Police Department 121 SW Adams St.	Identify measures for proposed solutions
Meeting 6	Tuesday February 20, 2018, 11:00 am Police Department 121 SW Adams St.	Formulate final action plan/ recommendation
Council Check in	Tuesday March 27, 2018, 7:00 pm McMinnville Civic Hall 200 NE 2 nd Street	Update

Attachment F

DOWNTOWN SAFETY TASK FORCE
MEETING AGENDA MEETING #1
Tuesday, October 24, 2017
11:00 am
Police Department Training Room

1. Welcome and Introductions – Matt
2. Overview of Resolutions and Purpose of Committee & Logistics – Susan
3. Review & discussion - behaviors and issues from July 11 & 25th Council Meetings – Susan (see p. 10 – 19)
4. Review existing ordinances & exclusion zone – Matt (see p. 20-27)
5. Review & discussion of available data (call logs) – Matt (see p. 28-33)
6. Identification & discussion of additional data needs – Susan (see p. 34)
7. Next Meeting Agenda - Matt

Agenda Item #3 – behaviors & issues from July Council mtgs

Staff has done some initial work laying a potential foundation for the committee to begin addressing these behavior issues. The table below includes a summary of the July testimony (names have not been included) and staff started to identify behaviors in the right hand column associated with the corresponding testimony. Additionally, staff started the process of grouping or categorizing the behavior to help sort them into potential subject areas. This is just a suggested way of starting the process, the committee may choose to look at the information differently after some discussion, or go in a different direction entirely. What we compiled below is one way to start the discussion considering all of the valuable testimony that has already come in. We recommend the committee review the table to:

- Determine if all of the behavioral issues have been identified and captured or if additional work needs to be done to identify problem behaviors in downtown
- Determine if categorizing or grouping the behavioral issues is an appropriate way to start addressing issues and finding relevant data
- If the categories are appropriate, determine if each of the behaviors are captured in the correct category

Each behavior on the right hand side has been categorized in the following suggested grouping (with associated abbreviation):

- Safety (S)
- Drugs/Alcohol/smoking (D)
- Using downtown as restroom (R)
- Communication (C)
- Garbage/trash/needles/graffiti (G)
- Camping/Loitering/ROW issues (L)
- Other (O)

July 11 Council Meeting	
Testimony	Behaviors/Issues
Related to her house: Kids & teenagers hanging out, rude, disrespectful, throwing things into her yard, leaving garbage, graffiti on her retaining wall (scratched into the concrete), setting fires, they yell at her and taunt her and she doesn't feel secure. Related to her business: security issues for her employees and she asks her employees to move their cars to park at the doors so they can get to their cars safe and they are getting parking tickets. People are sleeping on the benches, or they're screaming and having problems that make her employees feel unsafe leaving their jobs.	Kids & Teenagers Hanging out (O) Rudeness (O) Garbage (G) Graffiti (G) Sleeping outside (L) Downtown employees not feeling safe (S)
Walked her daughter through downtown and to the library and did not feel safe. Homelessness task force meetings at 4:00, feels like it should be at 7:00 so more moms and other	Does not feel safe. (S)

<p>people can make those meetings. Thinks it should be meeting more than once a month. Thinks this is a bigger issue than affordable housing, appreciates the County 10 year plan. Has talked with people who 'do soup kitchens' and they told her there are a lot more new homeless people now here in McMinnville knowing that they're going to be taken care of no matter what they do. She has heard there is a new bus line from Portland to downtown McMinnville (free or cheap) coming here and we don't have the resources or budget to really handle this issue. Is apprehensive to take her kids to a park because of needles and to have a list of parks that you can't take kids to, is disturbing. Really wants to know steps and how we are going to combat behaviors to make it a family oriented city and address safety concerns.</p>	<p>People are coming to McMinnville knowing they will be taken care of. (C) Busline from Portland to McMinnville (C) Needles (G)</p>
<p>He lives on 4th between first and Washington, it has become a transient living room, bedroom and rec room. Has seen junkies, unconscious, scratching themselves, has found syringes in the curb strip in front of his house. Compassion is becoming encouragement and we need to have boundaries. Spoke to Police Department representative, walked through the 3 types of homeless people and how challenging it is to manage and find a legal solution. Hoping we can get some legislation passed if that is our only resource, we need to be compassionate but not foolish.</p>	<p>Unconscious people in the neighborhoods (L) Syringes (G)</p>
<p>A group formed a neighborhood watch program about a year ago, they had drug problems and thankfully were able to turn things around with the help of the neighborhood and police department. Was thankful for all of the help. She has the same concerns as the 3 people that testified before her, and wants to emphasize we need more police presence in McMinnville. This should be given a high priority to make the community feel safer. She is on social media a lot and thinks the main concern is there isn't much police presence during these incidents, having officers seen in the community more will help people feel safe.</p>	<p>Lack of a police presence (S) Doesn't feel safe. (S)</p>
<p>Has seen a big change in the downtown, has called the city and has had a great support system from that with the police department. Would like the city to do things for property owners, especially downtown where people are on the sidewalk or on the city's property, are there ordinances for no camping, no loitering, police presence and neighborhood watches are great, but we have homelessness, drugs and we have great things, tourism and wine industry. She isn't trained to deal with the kind of people that we're seeing on our front lawns, are there some things that can happen immediately and then long term? If someone is camping here and it's not legal, how do we move that through the process? We as property owners don't have all the tools.</p>	<p>People on sidewalks or people's property (L) Camping (L) Loitering (L) No police presence (S) Drugs (D) Not trained to know what to do, property owners don't know how to address behaviors (C)</p>

<p>The City can do that, give us some quick help and then formulate long term plans.</p>	
<p>July 25th</p>	
<p>Safety Concerns, behavioral concerns, unsanitary conditions, urban blight, graffiti, skateboarding and biking on sidewalks, public defecation and urination, public use of illegal drugs, verbal and physical harassment and intimidation, public nudity, camping in public spaces, vandalism, trespassing, public safety, littering, disrespect for public spaces, condoms and needles in public places, taking over public right of ways. Also brought two proposals: 30 cities across OR have 183 ordinances on the books, Corvallis has standing, sitting resting, camping, lodging and sleeping ordinances, begging and panhandling ordinances. Bend has standing, sitting resting ordinances, Ashland has one on public storage.</p> <p>Ordinances that might work: Loitering, no sit or lie, no overnight camping within 1,000' where children congregate, common code violation for rubbish, no blight, no smoking, civil exclusionary, panhandling, or disorderly conduct.</p> <p>Increase police presence. Also, education is necessary, she gets several calls about what the rules are and what the businesses can do.</p>	<p>Safety concerns (S) Urine and feces (R) Urban blight (G) Graffiti (G) Skateboarding and biking on sidewalks (L) Public use of illegal drugs (D) Verbal and physical harassment & intimidation (S) Public nudity (O) Camping (L) Vandalism (G) Trespassing (L) Littering (G) Disrespect for public spaces (G) Condoms and needles in public places (G) Taking over right of ways (L)</p>
<p>Where's Waldo currently going on, 6th year, very successful, lots of participation, this is the first year customers are saying they're reluctant to participate due to safety concerns. An employee was harassed by someone coming in and just staring at her for 5 minutes across from the cash register counter, she was shaken, reported it to the Police Department. Locals and tourists ask - what is being done about the hygiene and feelings of alarm. The back of her store reeks of urine, some employees are uncomfortable walking to their cars, get accosted by people asking for money, sometimes aggressively, has had to change where she parks. We have design guidelines, events, the garbage that is strewn and the harassment is not what we've been working towards. Employees feeling threatened, this is unacceptable, we need to change the behaviors as a community.</p>	<p>Safety Concerns (S) Employees being harassed inside stores (S) Lack of message when people ask what is being done about hygiene issues and feeling of alarm? (C) Urine smell (R) Safety concerns of employees getting to cars (S) Garbage (G) Harassment (S)</p>
<p>They have seen quite a few things on 3rd st in their 39 years of owning the store. He physically puts himself between drunk and belligerent and pan handling men and women and his customers trying to enter or exit. His daughter was verbally harassed and threatened while handing out Halloween candy last year. Third st. is being used as a</p>	<p>Drunk and belligerent panhandlers harassing customers (S)</p>

<p>bedroom and bathroom. He suggests a walking police presence in downtown, not a drive by presence, Park Rangers offer eyes on the street but don't have the clout of an officer. Second suggestion is to adopt an ordinance that no smoking within 25 feet of a public or private door and or gathering space such as an ATM, bus stops, public benches (like Houston), etc. Or designate the covered benches a public park so there can be no smoking and no camping. Store owners have to take on the role of intimidators.</p>	<p>People lying and using 3rd st as a restroom (R & L) Park Rangers lack some clout (S) Smoking (D) Camping (L)</p>
<p>New Mayor, New CM, New MDA Director, some of that knowledge and progress might not have made the transition. For example when merchants met w/ Police Chief, we got a memo about constitutionally protected things, we should publicize, maybe twice a year. It would be great to have that generally known about what can and should be called in and what shouldn't.</p> <p>The perception is we were lacking a point person, someone who is in an authoritative position in the civic structure who is leading the charge.</p> <p>Wouldn't it be nice if Portland let us know, or Salem let us know, before they had 'cleanouts' so we can take preparatory measures. Example of the parking garage clean up happening in McMinnville and when that happened without any warning to those serving homeless, that influx created a tremendous precipitating event that unsettled much of the city. Communication is critical so it would just be nice to know when those things happen, and for us to think 'where are those folks going to go' – would have been a wiser way.</p> <p>Fortified alcohol sales are problematic and some cities ban them.</p>	<p>Lack of communication about what we can and can't do (C) Lack of one point of contact/accountability (C) Lack of coordination on sweeps that impact everyone (C) Fortified Alcohol (D)</p>
<p>Feels a division that is 'them and us'. She works at a church with breakfast and supplies for the homeless, serves 65-70 people, they are human beings, most of whom have ties to McMinnville. No doubt there is a criminal element among the homeless. Our minimum wage does not work to live on. City should join with the churches, downtown and citizens to find a place where our homeless population can be safely. People do not have a safe and welcoming place to go.</p>	<p>Minimum wage is too low to live on. (O) No safe and welcoming place to go. (S)</p>
<p>Her 6 partners said they suffered no business hardship as a result of the behaviors, have observed no major disturbances or bad behavior, and only a few customers have mentioned the subject to them, mostly saying things are worse in Portland. Living here, they were woken up and frustrated that it was loud, and they were relieved when it moved from next door. Her cars have been broken into,</p>	<p>Disturbances in the middle of the night, yelling, etc.(S) Cars broken into (S) No safe place for people to go (S)</p>

junk has been cleared and a recent porta potty has been moved. There are multiple solutions...housing and a community jobs program might help, mental and physical health care, could move everyone to the large empty building behind the bike shop, or designate a camp site with showers and kitchen facilities, like the right to dream 2 in Portland. Keep the housed and unhoused safe and remember that everyone is a member of our collective community.	
Nuisance doesn't cover all of the activities. Seems like comorbid behavior.	(O)
Current environment would be more well suited for a smoke shop than a toy store. Outside perception of the situation is of concern. A couple of years ago, she let a homeless couple pitch a tent in her yard while they waited for transitional housing. They believed that the free meals were more of a disservice. The concept of ordinances seems tidy, but a lot of people who are charged with a crime have to stay here because it takes too long, when they may want to go where they have support – out of state. She does not feel threatened but listening to these folks and the harm they inflict on each other is problematic. She believes they need to be helped individually rather than being kept as a group. She believes her #1 priority is to stay in business. The visual impact of the vagrant population and the perception of danger that it represents to the seniors and families that support my business impacts her ability to stay in business.	Negative outside perception of downtown (C) Criminal justice system creates a problem because people get caught here due to slow courts (S) Visual impact of vagrant population and perceptions impacts businesses (O)
From an outsider's perspective, 3 rd st is truly unique as a sense of place. Thought 3 rd St was Shangri la, in a bit they will call McMinnville home. From a business owners standpoint, he points out this is a very fragile. For 35 years he has been involved in recovery personally and professionally with the Salvation Army. He finds the word homeless derogatory for those who are temporarily in need of help. It gets used inclusively for addicts, alcoholics, anti-social behaviors, predators, etc. Downtown is so fragile and the need to create jobs which will help. If you give an alcoholic or junkie a home, they are going to get high or drunk in a more comfortable environment. The Salvation Army instead provides temporary housing that requires clean and sober. Happy to help, he's retired and has time to help.	Drug Use (D) Alcohol (D)
Wants to point out the importance of sanitation for these folks. 1% or less of our population is housed, the rest of us have a bathroom within a few feet of where they are day or night. For homeless, that is not the case. We have to provide sanitation services for the 1%. Half a million \$ comes into the city for sewer fees, Take .50 a month per household of that and provide porta potties throughout the	Lack of sanitation facilities. (R)

town. People are going to have to urinate and defecate, sometimes in the parking structure, sometime in someone's front yard, remember, it has to be taken care of. We need to take care of it. He has been hosting 2 homeless people over the course of a year.	
Brought the Oregonian article about homeless and city businesses working together to temporarily shelter people with empty buildings. Suggests MDA should invite Homer Williams (started the Pearl District) to come and speak to them about the kinds of things he's doing now to help solve the homeless problem in Portland. Portland also hires homeless to help clean around downtown and the business corridor. All of the refuse and trash, any mess, they're putting these people to work to team up with a social service agency to do this. Some can't hold a job but there are some people who are willing to work and they can help solve the problems. When they use the empty buildings for temporary housing, they are often given a waiver of fees and other things for development incentives which helps them in all areas.	Refuse, trash, messes (G)
Started a petition to ban fortified alcohol 3 years ago and nothing has ever happened. He's been associated with dignity village in Portland, he stayed there 24 hours, asked them what was the hardest thing for them to overcome in this grand camp that Portland gave them...they said too many rules, too many hands in the pot of chili, but you can give them a place. We need a permanent homeless camp with 100 beds, and ordinances that keep them from coming back to drink and panhandle on 3 rd st. Once they have a place to be with showers, beds and a place to cook their meals, we can keep them in a spot and they'll be willing to stay there. Some of them will then want to get a job. We don't need people telling them how, when, where to live. Treat them like human beings and give them a place to get in order. If you set up a spot for them, he can almost guarantee that 3 rd st will clean up.	Fortified alcohol/drinking (D) Panhandling (S)
They are retiring here from Portland, and as a community based faculty for 25 years, she worked with DHS, Salvation Army and others to help people that can't take care of themselves, sometimes they can get an education, keep on keeping on, cheering the council on. She learned everything through the newspaper and has been researching us. Delivered a letter to the Mayor and Chair and County Commissioners. She worked in the Rockwood area which is the #1 area for homelessness. People know they can come to McMinnville because you will build the services. She is amazed at all of the church, community and city work, mentioned a huge packed house meeting with representatives on homelessness. Was excited to go to the	Harassment (S) Lack of communication w/ community (C)

farmer's market, but couldn't go because of being harassed. She went home and tried again, had the same experience and hasn't gone back. County and City needs to be together on finding a solution. You need a road map, then get somewhere they want to go...communicate it to the community so they know how to help.	
2 weeks ago three 12 year old not homeless looking boys came into the shop and one of them threw one of her products across the room and then ran out laughing. These were clearly not homeless people, wanted to bring the conversation back to the fact that we're not talking just about homeless people. Likes the idea of a cop walking the beat. They moved here less than 2 months ago, stuff like this with 12 year old kids is just stupid, so having an authority figure would help a lot.	Youth vandalism (G)
Is one of the homeless individuals we've been talking about. If a person wants to change their life, like they can change a channel, they can do it, he has many years of sobriety. There are many people who don't want to change their lives. Several of the churches have been in contact with him and he gave many solutions. He's been called a snitch and has had windows broken, but he's fighting for a better place. Is trying to make a difference and show that someone can come from the streets and try and be a better person.	Broken windows (S)
<p>Young teens should not be growing up in fear of their own streets...should be corrected, these people need individual help and should not be swept under the rug by being given just a place to hang out and only be able to talk about their problems rather than being able to fix them.</p> <p>He has a level of concern that he feels is unwarranted for his daughter to walk a few blocks because of these behaviors. Has tried to talk with people about acceptable behaviors, he's been vandalized many times in return and doesn't think that is right. He has not seen the beat officer (Heidt) walking around downtown, has not seen any presence. Is in full support of a no smoking ordinance. Also knows the current smoking ordinances has no teeth and that is disturbing.</p>	<p>Teens don't feel safe downtown (S)</p> <p>Lack of safety (S)</p> <p>Smoking ordinance has no teeth (D)</p>
Takes care of the church property and has had between 2-60 people there. He wonders where these people sleep, he has a place to sleep. He tries to tell these people that they have an opportunity. Every morning @ 7:00 he gets between 1 & 40 people, he treats them equally no matter who they are. There has been a lot of bickering and misunderstandings, drugs problems, alcohol problems or just life problems. He's sorry they have to sleep in the parking lot, what do we have to do for these people to move themselves up so they can say in their mind they can do better? I see a lot of women laying on the streets, sleeping	<p>Drugs & alcohol (D)</p> <p>Sleeping in downtown (C)</p>

by city hall, he sees it and he goes and cleans up the church property, every day. How can we settle this in a way that they will understand they have that opportunity? How can he help them realize that they don't have to be doing what they're doing? There is a solution and we have to work together. We need more help from the community...	
Related to the Park Rangers, the city first told them there wasn't full funding for it and that MDA needed to come up with \$10k and they accepted that knowing it would be a partnership. They continue to support it for \$6k, but it seems like the program has been reduced significantly. It is a blessing to be a business owner in this community because they are supported heavily by our own residents as well as visitors. His current payroll is over \$300k, his payroll will go up over 30% due to state laws, he pays local growers, plumbers, contractors, puts a lot back into this community, and there are a lot of fellow business owners who do that or more. He believes there just hasn't been enough passion in regards to the safety issues and that is unacceptable. He would ask for a plan, something that we as a city can say 'this is what we're doing', there may have been a blue ribbon committee back in the day for a gang task force, we need to come up with a plan, something that shows his membership, that when he gets asked, he can say, we have these things, and that the city has made commitments. He understands this takes funds, and in the plan the city needs to come up with an idea of how we can raise more funds in our community to give our police and fire department more money. He also thinks we probably need a cap on VRBO because we can't keep taking housing away from people who are mid-income. He is talking about roadblocks to doing business including urine on towels after he gave someone a sleeping bag.	Safety concerns (S) Lack of communication (C) Lack of public safety resources (S) Urinating (R)
Shelter is only 2 nights a week. Being out here alone, it's scary, but if there was a place for homeless to be, we wouldn't have to get more police officers, could police the area where the homeless are, we wouldn't have the problems downtown. People who are doing something illegal need to be arrested. She likes to read at night, she goes downtown for light, she's not menacing but a lot of people are. She sees people come out of the bars after drinking and they pee on the buildings. Even for warming shelters you have to be out of there by 9 and take your stuff. All she wants is a place that she can put her head until she can get her housing, she's not doing anything wrong.	Camping (L) Lack of safety (S) Urinating (R)
Touchy subject – takes the full community to solve this. Everyone here loves this community and only wants the best for the city. We want our local businesses to thrive, every city across the country is dealing with this. We are not	What resources are there (C)

<p>alone. Where do we go as a community? Will we bite the bullet and try and truly make a difference in the life of those in need or not? We have room to improve this situation with all voices being heard. OR is rated #2 in the nation about not being able to house our homeless. We don't have sheltering programs. Both sides on this issue come together and collaborate with our city, county & state to arrive at solutions that will work for everyone. Division will not allow this to happen and only enables negative feelings towards the other side. The time has come.</p>	
<p>In 2005 moved from Dayton to Mac, in 2002 we had the same problem, 2017, same problem.</p>	<p>Repetitive issue (O)</p>
<p>We've been fighting this battle since the 70's, we treat animals better than we treat people. We would get close in the 70's, she has been talking to people for years and she is very frustrated, every time we would get close to a solution it was always 'no'. We need a real plan, maybe a homeless summit, stories in people's lives, we've got food and a house to stay in, not everyone has that. She was a head nurse at Damasch hospital, she understands mental health and addiction, we can help each other, we're not mean, we can help each other. Let's let McMinnville do it, don't be afraid of people. Yes, there's a lot of bad stuff, have courage and let's make a difference. These are people, we are our brother's keeper, she's a little frustrated. You want to see people sleep at night.</p>	<p>Repetitive issue (O) Lack of courage to solve problem (C)</p>
<p>We all care for each other, and we also all deserve to be able to, we should all respect one another. Concerned about behaviors that affect sense of safety and quality of life. They bought in 1998, it was really run down, raised children there, rebuilt the house, they are compassionate people but she has really struggled with this, dealing with the ramifications of having people with poor behaviors on the corner day and night. She doesn't know whether they're homeless or not. People camp in front of their house in cars for 10 days at a time, they watch drug deals happen, they find needles in the grass, they get awakened during the night from fights, foul language during the day, she has felt threatened and harassed (they know whether she's home alone and whether she's coming and going) and she feels like the root of this problem is when everything was privatized including treatment and other things...if she could solve it, she would as she knows all of the council would but this is a multi-faceted. When folks are all together what she sees happening, is a lot of coming and going, drug dealing, and bad behaviors happening. The last 4 years we've had a lot of folks in the courtyard, it was just locals, and then it kind of exploded. She started having really negative experiencing even just saying hello and it got to the point</p>	<p>Safety issues (S) Reduced quality of life (S) Car camping (L) Drug deals (D) Needles (G) Fights (S) Yelling/cussing (S) Threatening behavior (S)</p>

<p>where she walks by with her blinders on. She does see them as humans but she would like to be able to sit in her yard enjoying dinner without listening fights. Please remember, if this problem goes away from 3rd st where commerce happens it doesn't mean people in the community aren't still being impacted by it. Things have gotten better since churches have put in regulations about time and people can't spend the night, etc, but they need help.</p>	
<p>One of the best reinforcers for behaviors is positive reinforcement. While people are advocating for ordinances, regulations and cops on the beat, she spent 3 weeks living with the homeless population because she wanted to see what was going on, at the co-op. A lot of the issues that people in downtown businesses are having issues with are not from the homeless population. She has experienced behaviors by people coming out of the bars and peeing in public. We're dealing with Maslow's, do you know what it's like to be hangry? A day without a shower? You're cranky, add mental illness to that and feeling devalued, all of this compounds on people and we need to provide opportunities to them to rise to whatever level they are capable of, it will make a huge difference. It's hard for her to listen to people complain about behaviors – if that was your brother or spouse engaging in those behaviors – she loves third street, she thinks the issue is organization – there is a lot that is happening, a lot of opportunities to get involved, the issue is connecting opportunities with people who care to help solve the problem. A social media forum that gets created, at the very least, to provide info about meetings, and could have ways to help, ways to get involved, volunteer, if the city provided something like that that could be attached to the MDA, that could be a huge opportunity so that people would be able to put their hands to work.</p>	<p>Urinating (R) Lack of communication and connecting volunteers to need (C)</p>

Agenda Item #4 – Existing Ordinances/Laws

Common Behavioral Crimes and Punishments

CRIMES

Criminal Trespass II – ORS 164.245

A person commits the crime of criminal trespass in the second degree if the person enters or remains unlawfully in a motor vehicle or in or upon premises. Class C misdemeanor.

Scenario #1 - A homeless male sits down next to your business along the sidewalk wall and stays there for hours. He does not block pedestrians or physically interfere with your business, but his presence makes customers nervous and several have not come in or have left quickly because of him.

He has not committed any crime at this point and cannot be trespassed from the public right of way for merely sitting there.

Scenario #2 – A person is found sleeping inside the closed alcove of your business entrance. There are no trespassing signs and the person has been warned before about being on your property.

The person has committed trespassing as long as the alcove is private and no other person with ownership of that entrance provides him permission to be there. As a general rule – the offender is usually given a chance to leave by police, but with a previous warning they are more likely to get arrested.

Criminal Mischief – ORS 164.345

Third Degree: A person commits the crime of criminal mischief in the third degree if, with intent to cause substantial inconvenience to the owner or to another person, and having no right to do so nor reasonable ground to believe that the person has such right, the person tampers or interferes with property of another. Class C misdemeanor.

Second Degree: If that conduct results in property damage exceeding \$500; or, if, having no right to do so nor reasonable ground to believe that the person has such right, the person intentionally damages property of another, or, the person recklessly damages property of another in an amount exceeding \$500: Class A misdemeanor.

Scenario #1 – a customer witnesses a passerby spray some substance (later identified as paint) over the store's security camera. A few minutes later a person matching that description is contacted by police and found to be in possession of a matching spray-paint can a block away from the store.

The police department would like called on any criminal mischief calls and many of occurred much earlier and with few or no witnesses. A citizen cannot arrest unless the crime occurs in their presence, but police can arrest on probable cause. The person can be arrested for Criminal Mischief 3 or 2 depending if there was monetary damage.

Scenario #2 – An intoxicated group walks out of a local bar and gets in a minor argument as they are walking down the street. One of the group pushes another against the window of your store. The window cracks but does not fall. They walk on down the sidewalk and you discover the damage the next morning. It costs \$650.00 to replace the damaged window. Fortunately your store has good video surveillance and you can share video with law enforcement and other merchants. The subject that pushed his associate into the window is identified and located.

The person that caused the damaged window by pushing his friend can be charged with Criminal Mischief 2 for recklessly damaging your property.

Disorderly Conduct – ORS 166.025

A person commits the crime of disorderly conduct in the second degree if, with intent to cause public inconvenience, annoyance or alarm, or recklessly creating a risk thereof, the person:

- (a) Engages in fighting or in violent, tumultuous or threatening behavior;
- (b) Makes unreasonable noise;
- (c) Disturbs any lawful assembly of persons without lawful authority;
- (d) Obstructs vehicular or pedestrian traffic on a public way;
- (e) Initiates or circulates a report, knowing it to be false, concerning an alleged or impending fire, explosion, crime, catastrophe or other emergency; or
- (f) Creates a hazardous or physically offensive condition by any act which the person is not licensed or privileged to do.

Disorderly conduct in the second degree is a Class B misdemeanor.

Scenario #1 – a couple gets into a verbal argument on a downtown sidewalk. During the argument they become extremely loud and their argument causes nearby customers and staff to clear the area and to call 911 because of their concern about the incident

The crime of disorderly conduct has been committed by the couple by their excessive noise and the alarm they cause to other citizens.

Scenario #2 – a small group gathers at the corner of an intersection in order to share their religious views. The group completely prevents other citizens from walking through on the sidewalk. When asked to provide a way for other people to get through the group, the group leader refuses.

The group as a whole and each individual within the group has committed Disorderly Conduct by blocking pedestrian traffic in a public right of way.

Scenario #3 – a couple young musicians stop on the sidewalk and start playing music with the hopes of receiving donations. They take a portion of the sidewalk, but do not completely block the sidewalk.

They have not committed Disorderly Conduct because pedestrians can still pass them by using the rest of the public right of way.

Scenario #4 – an intoxicated male becomes disruptive in front of a store and causes alarm because of his unpredictable movements and yelling. Several people call about the disturbance. Then the male walks out into the roadway and blocks vehicular traffic heading Eastbound.

He has committed Disorderly Conduct by causing alarm among other citizens and by blocking vehicular traffic on a roadway.

Menacing – ORS 163.190

A person commits the crime of menacing if by word or conduct the person intentionally attempts to place another person in fear of imminent serious physical injury. Class A misdemeanor.

Scenario #1 – a group of teens are hanging out behind a store, another kid walks by and they yell insults at him and then threaten to beat him up if he comes close to them again. The other kid walks by the group and nothing happens – no crime because there has to be an imminent threat or some obvious action toward committing an assault or injury.

Scenario #2 – a couple of young men take a particular interest in a female store employee. The owner confronts the two males and tells them to leave. One of the males brandishes a knife and tells the owner to let them continue their advances with the female or they will stab him.

The crime of menacing occurs by their using a visible weapon to threaten the owner with imminent harm.

Harassment – ORS 166.065

A person commits the crime of harassment if the person intentionally harasses or annoys another person by subjecting such other person to offensive physical contact or publicly insulting such other person by abusive words or gestures in a manner intended and likely to provoke a violent response. Class B misdemeanor.

Scenario #1 – Business owner asks person on sidewalk to stop verbally harassing female customers and staff members by using obscene and sexually offensive comments or requests. The person then pushes the business owner. The owner walks back in store and calls police.

The crime of harassment is committed when someone subjects someone else to offensive physical contact (pushing owner). No criminal activity in the derogatory or offensive comments – free speech protected by 1st Amendment rights.

Scenario #2 – Individual walks into a store and starts berating the owner for something the owner has for sale. The owner tells the person to leave and the person becomes angrier and refuses to leave. The person threatens to damage the property. The owner puts his hand on the individual's shoulder and physically escorts the person from the business. The individual

then calls the police and asks to have the owner arrested for touching him illegally (harassment).

The owner has a legal right to protect his property and the individual had committed trespass by refusing to leave. The owner had the right to use **reasonable** force to protect himself and his property. The owner did not commit harassment. **DISCLAIMER** – we do not recommend or encourage individuals taking enforcement action or becoming physical with other individuals – we would suggest calling the police to deal with the situation if at all possible.

Offensive Littering – ORS 164.805

A person commits the crime of offensive littering if the person creates an objectionable stench or degrades the beauty or appearance of property or detracts from the natural cleanliness or safety of property by intentionally discarding or depositing any rubbish, trash, garbage, debris or other refuse upon the land of another without permission of the owner, or upon any public way or in or upon any public transportation facility. Class C misdemeanor.

Scenario #1 – An employee observes a transient dropping his trousers and leaving excrement on the steps of the business.

She will need to provide a reasonable description of the subject and his clothing in order to prove the crime. but he has committed the crime of littering as well as a city ordinance violation for Public Elimination of Human Waste.

Scenario #2 – a group of teens and young adults are standing around the rear of a store and smoking. The owner calls the police and wants them arrested for the cigarette butts that were left on the ground by the group.

The police cannot arrest anyone as the owner did not witness a specific person littering and the “group” cannot be arrested. If a single individual is witnessed and identified for any form of littering, then they can get arrested.

PUNISHMENTS

All of the crimes listed above are misdemeanors, as opposed to more serious felonies or less serious violations. The maximum jail time and fines for each type of misdemeanor is listed below. The actual punishment imposed will generally be less, and will vary based on a number of factors including negotiated plea, prior criminal history, aggravated nature of crime, etc.

Misdemeanor	Max Jail	Max Fine
Class A	1 year	\$6,250
Class B	6 months	\$2,500
Class C	30 days	\$1,250

ORDINANCE NO. 5006

An Ordinance authorizing the designation of the Downtown Exclusion Zone, establishing boundaries, procedures, charges and penalties therein, and setting a three year sunset clause.

RECITALS

The City Council has previously passed Ordinances enacting an "Enhanced Enforcement Area" in the downtown core of McMinnville. These Ordinances have since been repealed, and alternative methods of enforcement were enacted. The alternative methods of enforcement have proven to be inefficient and the City Council recognizes the need for an updated "Downtown Exclusion Zone."

The area designated as the Downtown Exclusion Zone (Zone) along the NE Third Street corridor from NE Adams Street to NE Johnson Street, is a 9-block portion of the McMinnville Downtown District that represents a unique mixed use area within the City. The Zone has been the focus of intensive efforts by the City for 30 years to improve the character of the area, and local property owners are subject to a special assessment that supports economic development, livability projects, and tourism promotion. Since 1986, the Zone has experience a reduction in its vacancy rate from 17% to 3%, which is well below the national average of 11%.

The Zone is a pedestrian focused corridor with numerous street level improvements and art displays that are designed to attract visitors and enhance livability within the City. It is host to: numerous festivals, outdoor concerts, a weekly farmers market, and other activities designed to attract visitors and enhance livability within the City; 21 historic downtown buildings, which are promoted to attract visitors to the area; several wineries and tasting rooms that support the regional viticulture industry and attract visitors to the community; and, is home to businesses, including restaurants with outdoor seating and retail shops with outdoor displays that are especially vulnerable to impacts associated with criminal anti-social behaviors, which can negatively impact customer traffic and result in loss of property.

The Zone hosts thousands of out-of-town visitors each year, which are especially vulnerable to negative impacts associated with criminal anti-social behaviors as these visitors are less familiar with their surroundings. The DEZ will benefit the community by keeping the area welcoming to businesses, citizens and tourists.

The Downtown Exclusion Zone is designed to be an effective enforcement tool for the McMinnville Police department. The tool will protect the congested commercial district from persons whose unlawful activities and/or criminal conduct poses a threat to

the public's peace, dignity, safety and welfare of the public at large by providing the ability to temporarily exclude certain repeat offenders from the Zone.

Now, therefore, THE COMMON COUNCIL FOR THE CITY OF McMINNVILLE
ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

1. The provisions set forth in the Attached Exhibit 1, which are incorporated by this reference, are hereby adopted.


2. This Ordinance shall take affect 30 days after its passage by the Council.

Passed by the Council this 9th day of August 2016, by the following votes:

Ayes: Hill, Jeffries, Menke, Ruden, Yoder

Nays: _____

Approved this 9th day of August 2016.



MAYOR

Attest:

Approved as to form:



CITY RECORDER



CITY ATTORNEY

EXHIBIT 1

9.42 Exclusion Zones

SECTIONS:

- 9.42.010 Downtown Exclusion Zone
- 9.42.020 Exclusion
- 9.42.030 Term of Exclusion
- 9.42.040 Exclusion Enforcement
- 9.42.050 Exceptions to Exclusion Order
- 9.42.060 Sunset Clause

9.42.010 Downtown Exclusion Zone. The McMinnville Downtown Exclusion Zone (DEZ) is designated to protect the public in a congested commercial district from persons whose violation activity or criminal conduct poses a threat to the peace, dignity, safety and welfare of the public at large. The boundaries of the DEZ are described as follows:

Beginning at the northeast corner of the intersection of NE Second Street and NE Adams Street, then north along east side of NE Adams Street to the southeast corner of NE Fourth Street, then east along south side of NE Fourth Street to the southwest corner of NE Johnson Street, then south along the west side of NE Johnson Street to the northwest corner of NE Second Street, then west along the north side of NE Second Street to the point of beginning.

9.42.020 Exclusion. As a condition of probation in the Municipal Court, a person may be subject to an Exclusion Order, prohibiting such person from entering or remaining in the DEZ for the period of time described in Section 9.42.030, when that person is convicted of a violation or crime that occurred within the boundaries of the DEZ.

9.42.030 Term of Exclusion.

- A. Unless otherwise provided in the Exclusion Order, the Term of Exclusion shall take effect immediately upon conviction of a violation or crime described in MMC 9.42.020, and shall continue for the number of days described in the Exclusion Order.
- B. The Term of Exclusion shall be recommended by the City Prosecutor and determined by the Municipal Judge based on the nature of the underlying crime, the number of prior violation and criminal convictions the person has received during the 2 year period immediately preceding the date of the underlying crime, the term of probation, and other factors related to the peace, dignity, safety and welfare of the public at large.

C. The maximum Term of Exclusion that may be imposed as a condition of probation shall be:

1. 180 days, if convicted of a Violation.
2. 240 days, if convicted of a crime classified as a Class C Misdemeanor.
3. 300 days, if convicted of a crime classified as a Class B Misdemeanor.
4. 360 days, if convicted of a crime classified as a Class A Misdemeanor.

D. If a person is convicted of more than one violation or crime related to the same incident, then the most serious violation or crime shall be used for the purpose of calculating the maximum Term of Exclusion.

9.42.040 Exclusion Enforcement. If a person excluded from the DEZ is found within the DEZ during the Term of Exclusion, that person may be cited, summoned and/or ordered into Municipal Court for a probation violation hearing. A person is not considered to be within the DEZ if the person is within a vehicle that is passing through the DEZ.

9.42.050 Exceptions to Exclusion Order.

A. In any probation violation hearing in which the violation of an Exclusion Order issued pursuant to this Chapter is a basis for the violation, it is a defense that the person was within the DEZ for one or more of the following reasons:

1. The person owns or rents a residence within the DEZ, and resides at that residence.
2. The person owns a business or is employed within the DEZ.
3. The person was visiting the residence of an immediate family member that is located within the DEZ.
4. The person was consulting with an attorney whose primary office is located within the DEZ.
5. The person was attending religious services within the DEZ.
6. The person was receiving social services, government services, or mental health, medical, alcohol or drug treatment services within the DEZ.
7. The person was conducting banking, investing or other similar financial services activities within the DEZ.
8. The person was performing court ordered community service obligations within the DEZ.

B. For the purposes of this Section, the term "immediate family member" shall mean the spouse, parent, stepparent, grandparent, child, grandchild, sibling, stepsibling, son-in-law, or daughter-in-law of the person.

9.42.060 Sunset Clause

The provisions of this Chapter shall be automatically repealed on July 1, 2019.

Agenda Item #5 – Downtown Police Call Data – September 2016 to September 2017


Call type 2016-2017	1st St	2nd St	3rd St	4th St	5th St	6th St	Adams
Area check	4	49	97	2	2		
Alarm audible		25	50	2	7	4	3
Alarm holdup			3		1		
Alarm silent		3	3		1		
Animal abuse	2	4	7	3		1	
Animal bite					1		
Animal nuisance			3				
Arson							
Assault cold		2	12		3		
Assault now		7	8	3	2		
Burglary cold			1				
Burglary attempted							
Burglary now	1	1	1		1		
Criminal mischief cold	1	3	11	1	3		
Criminal mischief now		1	4	3			1
Curfew			1				
Custodial interference	4		1				
Custodial interference now	1						
Disturbance misc	19	29	50	5	6		1
Domestic cold		1					
Domestic now	6	4	9	2	3		
Drug violation	2	12	16	1	5	1	
DUII	1	2	11		1		
Driving while suspended	1	1	3	4			1
Extra patrol		2			2		
Fight cold					1		
Fight now	5	5	23		2		
Fraud		3	8	1	1		
Hit and Run	2	11	14	1	5		3
Harassment	1	9	29	4	6	4	1
Intoxicated person	1	6	25	1		2	1
Kidnap			1				
Mental non violent		7	3	1	2		1
Mental violent							
Misc arrest	7	19	14	2	7	24	2
Menacing			1				
Menacing now	1		2	1			
Noise misc	1	2	8	1	3	2	1
Open container			1				
Ordinance	4	3	18	1	2	2	
Prowler							
Street race					1		

Call type 2016-2017	1st St	2nd St	3rd St	4th St	5th St	6th St	Adams
Reckless Driver	2	13	9	1	3		6
Robbery			1				
Robbery now							
Restraining Order violation cold			2		10	1	
Restraining order violation now	1	3			1		
Shooting/stabbing			1				
Shooting/stabbing now							
Stolen vehicle		1	3		1	1	
Subject contact	18	52	85	12	12	4	7
Suicide			2	2	1	1	
Sex crime misc		2			4	1	
Sex crime now			2				
Traffic collision non injury	7	16	18	3	5		5
Traffic collision injury	3	15			3		8
Theft cold	3	13	40	2	1		
Theft attempted			3				
Theft now		2	22	2			1
Traffic hazard	8	12	13	6	6		8
Traffic Stop				129	118	26	129
Traffic complaint	1	5	2		3		3
Trespass cold		2	5	2	2		
Trespass now	9	6	24	4			
Unknown problem			1		1		
Unwanted	1	2	9		1	1	
Welfare check	7	10	29	9	8		1
Weapon complaint	1		2		2		
Warrant Service	11	11	23	3	16	34	
Total	136	376	734	214	266	109	183


Call type 2016-2017	Baker	Cowls	Davis	Evans	Ford	Galloway	Total calls for service by type
Area check	13	11	213	28	1	1	421
Alarm audible	4		6	8		2	111
Alarm holdup							8
Alarm silent	1						8
Animal abuse			2	1	1		21
Animal bite							1
Animal nuisance			1				4
Arson							0
Assault cold	1			1		2	21
Assault now	2	1	1	2		3	29
Burglary cold						1	2
Burglary attempted							0
Burglary now							4
Criminal mischief cold			2			3	24
Criminal mischief now	1		2	1			13
Curfew							1
Custodial interference				1			6
Custodial interference now							1
Disturbance misc	5	8	22	16		4	165
Domestic cold							1
Domestic now	3	2	1	4	4	4	42
Drug violation			11	2	1	1	52
DUII		1	3	5	2		26
Driving while suspended	2	1	2	1	2		18
Extra patrol	1			1		1	7
Fight cold			1				2
Fight now		2	8	6		2	53
Fraud	3	4		1	1		22
Hit and Run	4	1	2	7	3	1	54
Harassment	1	2	5	3	2	3	70
Intoxicated person	1		9	2	2		50
Kidnap							1
Mental non violent	1		1	15			31
Mental violent				1			1
Misc arrest	6	1	4	6	1	2	95
Menacing		1				1	3
Menacing now	2		1	1			8
Noise misc			1	1			20
Open container			1				2
Ordinance	1		28	2	2	1	64
Prowler							0
Street race	1						2

Call type 2016-2017	Baker	Cowls	Davis	Evans	Ford	Galloway	Total calls for service by type
Reckless Driver	4			2		1	41
Robbery							1
Robbery now							0
Restraining Order violation cold			2	5			20
Restraining order violation now	1	1	1	2		1	11
Shooting/stabbing							1
Shooting/stabbing now							0
Stolen vehicle		1	5	1		1	14
Subject contact	15	15	29	9	16	10	284
Suicide	1		2	5		1	15
Sex crime misc		1		1	1		10
Sex crime now							2
Traffic collision non injury	11	3		2	7		77
Traffic collision injury	7	1	3		1		41
Theft cold		1	5	4	6	2	77
Theft attempted				1			4
Theft now	2					1	30
Traffic hazard	8	3	7	3	2		76
Traffic Stop	183	88		55	37	29	794
Traffic complaint	4		1				19
Trespass cold	1	1	5				18
Trespass now	3	1	6	2	3		58
Unknown problem			2	3		1	8
Unwanted			1	3			18
Welfare check	2	4	13	12	4	4	103
Weapon complaint							5
Warrant Service	3	8	7	5	2	1	124
Total	298	163	416	231	101	84	3315


Calls for service




Calls for service



Calls for service



Calls for service



Agenda Item #6 – Identification of Additional Data Needs – Next Steps

The work of the task force must be data driven pursuant to the Council resolutions. The task force should determine if additional data is needed, what data is needed, and then determine how to obtain additional data as we move forward to solutions.

The City staff contacted the McMinnville Downtown Association for any data they may have related to these behaviors. It sounds like there is not a quantifiable database or tracking of issues although clearly they deal with behaviors and complaints on a regular, daily basis. Because of the data driven nature of the Task Force's work, we came up with one potential next step to determine a baseline for our current conditions. The Task Force may have other ideas as well and this discussion should be interesting and informative with many different perspectives around the table.

Initial Idea: Survey businesses and residents in the EID and ask them to rate their experiences within the issue categories. For example, the task force could send out a survey that asks questions such as:

In the past 12 months, how many times have you personally not felt safe in our downtown?

In the past 12 months, how many times have your customers indicated they did not feel safe in our downtown?

In the past 12 months, how many times have you personally dealt with garbage, graffiti, needles or other trash on your property?

In the past 12 months, how many times have your customers commented on garbage, graffiti, needles or other trash in our downtown?

In the past 12 months, how many times have you had to personally deal with camping, loitering or sleeping on your property? Etc....

Questions could be crafted to relate to each of the behavior categories.

Please bring other ideas about data needs and collection ideas to start the discussion.