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## 1. Executive Summary

Campus Overview \& Assessment Details

## General Information

| Property Type | Public Library |
| :---: | :---: |
| Main Address | 225 Northwest Adams Street, McMinnville Oregon 97128 |
| Site Developed | Library - Constructed 1983 <br> Carnegie Building - Constructed 1912 |
| Site Area | 0.68 acres |
| Parking Spaces | 79 total spaces all in open lots; 4 of which are accessible |
| Building Area | 22,000 SF |
| Number of Buildings | Two |
| Number of Stories | Two |
| Current Occupants | Library Staff, Public |
| Percent Utilization | 100\% |
| Date(s) of Visit | October 12, 2018 |
| Management Point of Contact | Mike Bisset, <br> City Engineer 503.434.7312 phone <br> Mike.bisset@mcminnvilleoregon.gov email |
| On-site Point of Contact (POC) | Jenny Berg |
| Assessment and Report Prepared By | David Easdon |
| Reviewed By | Alex Israel, Technical Report Reviewer for Matthew Anderson Program Manager manderson@emgcorp.com $800.733 .0660 \times 7613$ |

## Campus Findings \& Deficiencies

## Historical Summary

The Carnegie building was constructed in 1912, and the Library was constructed in 1983. It appears the Carnegie building was renovated during the 1983 construction of the Library.

## Architectural

Both buildings have been well maintained since construction, with periodic lifecycle replacements. Lifecycle interior finish, exterior finish, and roof replacements are budgeted and anticipated.

## Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing \& Fire (MEPF)

Although the architectural finishes have had periodic lifecycle replacements, most of the MEPF infrastructure has not. Other than the cooling tower and a few minor components, the mechanical, electrical, and plumbing equipment date to the 1983 construction.

## Site

The parking lots and sidewalks have been replaced as-needed over the years. Landscaping features include an irrigation system throughout various locations. The plaza has planters and bike art. Beyond the plaza in the square there is a concrete water fountain. Lifecycle replacements are budgeted and anticipated.

## Recommended Additional Studies

The HVAC equipment is original to the construction and will require replacement. Due to the complexity and reported balancing issues, a professional engineer must be retained to analyze the existing condition, provide recommendations and, if necessary, estimate the scope and cost of any required repairs. The cost of this study is included in the cost tables. A budgetary cost allowance to replace the equipment is also included.

## Facility Condition Index (FCI)

One of the major goals of the FCA is to calculate each building's Facility Condition Index (FCI), which provides a theoretical objective indication of a building's overall condition. By definition, the FCI is defined as the ratio of the cost of current needs divided by current replacement value (CRV) of the facility. The chart below presents the industry standard ranges and cut-off points.

## FCI Ranges and Description

| $\mathbf{0 - 5 \%}$ | In new or well-maintained condition, with little or no visual evidence of wear or other <br> deficiencies. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{5 - 1 0 \%}$ | Subjected to wear but is still in a serviceable and functioning condition. |
| $\mathbf{1 0 - 3 0 \%}$ | Subjected to hard or long-term wear. Nearing the end of its useful or serviceable life. |
| $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ and above | Has reached the end of its useful or serviceable life. Renewal is now necessary. |

The deficiencies and lifecycle needs identified in this assessment provide the basis for a portfolio-wide capital improvement funding strategy. In addition to the current FCI, extended FCIs have been developed to provide owners the intelligence needed to plan and budget for the "keep-up costs" for their facilities. As such the 3-year, 5 -year, and 10-year FCls are calculated by dividing the anticipated needs of those respective time periods by current replacement value. As a final point, the FCIs ultimately provide more value when used to relatively compare facilities across a portfolio instead of being over-analyzed and scrutinized as stand-alone values. The table below summarizes the individual findings for this FCA:

| Facility (year built) | Cost/SF | Total SF | Replacement Value | Current | 3-Year | 5-Year | 10-Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Library \& Carnegie Building / Carnegie Building (1924) | \$324 | 4,620 | \$1,386,000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.0\% | 32.0\% |
| Library \& Carnegie Building / Library (1981) | \$324 | 15,161 | \$4,548,300 | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 13.0\% | 20.0\% |

## Immediate Needs

| Facility/Building | Total Cost |  |  |  | Total Items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Library \& Carnegie |  | \$46,819 |  |  | 2 |
| Total : |  | \$46,819 |  |  | 2 |
| Library \& Carnegie |  |  |  |  |  |
| ID Location | UF Code | Description | Condition | Plan Type | Cost |
| [8) 1075286 Library \& Carnegie / Library-Carnegie Boulevard | Z108X | ADA, Restroom, Lavatory Hardware, Modify | NA | Accessibility | \$949 |
| [8) 1076949 Library \& Carnegie / Library | D5037 | Fire Alarm System, Office Building, Install | Failed | Performance/Integrity | \$45,871 |

## Plan Types

Each line item in the cost database is assigned a Plan Type, which is the primary reason or rationale for the recommended replacement, repair, or other corrective action. This is the "why" part of the equation. A cost or line item may commonly have more than one applicable Plan Type; however, only one Plan Type will be assigned based on the "best" fit, typically the one with the greatest significance.

Plan Type Descriptions

| Safety | An observed or reported unsafe condition that if left unaddressed could <br> result in injury; a system or component that presents potential liability risk. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance/Integrity | Component or system has failed, is almost failing, performs unreliably, <br> does not perform as intended, and/or poses risk to overall system stability. |
| Accessibility | Does not meet ADA, UFAS, and/or other handicap accessibility <br> requirements. |
| Environmental | Improvements to air or water quality, including removal of hazardous <br> materials from the building or site. |
| Retrofit/Adaptation | $\boxed{l}$Components, systems, or spaces recommended for upgrades in in order <br> to meet current standards, facility usage, or client/occupant needs. |
| Lifecycle/Renewal | Any component or system that is not currently deficient or problematic but <br> for which future replacement or repair is anticipated and budgeted. |

Plan Type Distribution (by Cost)


Ten year total: \$1,803,200

- Performance/Integrity \$45,900
- Accessibility $\$ 900$
Lifecycle/Renewal
\$1,756,400


## 2. Library



## Library: Systems Summary

| Address | 225 Northwest Adams Street, McMinnville Oregon |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Constructed/ <br> Renovated | 1983 | Condition |
| Building Size | 17,158 SF | Good |
| System | Description | Fair |
| Structure | Conventional wood-framed structure on concrete slabs | Fair |
| Façade | Stucco with steel-framed windows | Fair |
| Roof | Primary: Crossed hip construction with asphalt shingles <br> Secondary: Flat construction with modified bituminous finish | Excellent |
| Interiors | Walls: Painted gypsum board <br> Floors: Carpet, VCT, ceramic tile <br> Ceilings: Painted gypsum board, exposed | Fair |
| Elevators | Hydraulic: One car serving both floors | Fair |
| Plumbing | Copper supply, cast iron waste and vent <br> Electric water heaters | Fair |
| HVAC | Central system with a chiller, a cooling tower, air handlers, and duct <br> heaters. <br> Supplemental components: unit heaters | Fire |

## Library: Systems Summary

| Electrical | Source and Distribution: Main switchboard, and panels with copper <br> wiring fed from exterior pad mounted transformer. <br> Interior Lighting: T-8, LED, CFL <br> Emergency: None | Fair |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fire Alarm | Alarm panel, smoke detectors, alarms, pull stations, back-up <br> emergency lights, and exit signs | Failed |
| Equipment/Special | None | -- |
| Accessibility | Presently it does not appear an accessibility study is needed for this building. See <br> Appendix C. |  |
| Key Issues and <br> Findings | The fire alarm panel is new but the fire alarm system appears to be somewhat <br> antiquated, lacking in strobes, and has a compatibilty issue with the new fire panel. <br> Although well maintained, most of the mechanical, plumbing, and electrical <br> equipment dates to the 1983 construction. |  |

## Library: Systems Expenditure Forecast

| System | Immediate | Short Term (3 yr) | Near Term (5 yr) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Med Term } \\ & \text { (10 yr) } \end{aligned}$ | Long Term (20 yr) | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Facade | - | - | \$196,400 | \$14,800 | \$65,900 | \$277,100 |
| Roofing | - | - | - | \$93,400 | \$4,900 | \$98,300 |
| Interiors | - | - | \$188,000 | \$23,400 | \$269,200 | \$480,600 |
| Plumbing | - | \$8,700 | \$1,400 | \$9,600 | \$19,100 | \$38,800 |
| Fire Suppression | - | - | \$29,000 | - | - | \$29,000 |
| HVAC | - | \$105,800 | \$107,600 | \$1,700 | \$56,200 | \$271,200 |
| Electrical | - | - | \$96,900 | \$240,700 | - | \$337,600 |
| Fire Alarm \& Comm | \$45,900 | \$1,800 | - | - | \$9,900 | \$57,600 |
| Equipment/Special | - | - | \$10,000 | - | \$1,100 | \$11,100 |
| Site Development | - | - | \$1,600 | - | \$2,100 | \$3,700 |
| TOTALS | \$45,900 | \$116,300 | \$630,900 | \$383,600 | \$428,400 | \$1,605,000 |

The graph below indicates the capital expenditure needs of each year (reference left axis). The purple line forecasts what would happen to the FCl over time, assuming zero capital expenditures (reference right axis).

Needs by Year with Unaddressed FCI Over Time

## Library

Replacement Value: $\$ 4,548,300$; Inflation rate: 3.0\%


## 3. Carnegie Building



## Carnegie Building: Systems Summary

| Address | 225 Northwest Adams Street, McMinnville Oregon |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Constructed/ <br> Renovated | $1912 / 1983$ |  |
| Building Size | 4,842 SF | Condition |
| System | Description | Good |
| Structure | Masonry bearing walls and wood-framed roofs | Good |
| Façade | Brick with wood-framed windows | Fair |
| Roof | Primary: Hip construction with asphalt shingles <br> Secondary: Flat construction with single-ply TPO/PVC membrane | Fair |
| Interiors | Walls: Painted gypsum board <br> Floors: Carpet, VCT, ceramic tile <br> Ceilings: Painted gypsum board, exposed | Fair |
| Elevators | None | Fair |
| Plumbing | Copper supply, cast iron waste and vent <br> Electric water heaters | Fair |
| HVAC | Central system with chilled water air handlers and duct heaters fed <br> from the Library chiller. |  |

Fire Suppression Wet-pipe sprinkler system, hydrants, fire extinguishers Fair

Carnegie Building: Systems Summary

| Electrical | Source and Distribution: Distribution panels with copper wiring fed from <br> the Library. <br> Interior Lighting: T-8, LED, CFL <br> Emergency: None | Fair |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fire Alarm | Smoke detectors, alarms, back-up emergency lights, and exit signs | Fair |
| Equipment/Special | None | -- |
| Accessibility | Presently it does not appear an accessibility study is needed for this building. See <br> Appendix C. |  |
| Key Issues and <br> Findings | Although well maintained, most of the mechanical, plumbing, and electrical <br> equipment dates to 1983. |  |

Carnegie Building: Systems Expenditure Forecast

| System | Immediate | Short Term (3 yr) | Near Term (5 yr) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Med Term } \\ & \text { (10 yr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Long Term } \\ & \text { (20 yr) } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Facade | - | - | \$6,800 | \$229,900 | \$9,100 | \$245,800 |
| Roofing | - | - | - | \$22,800 | \$29,800 | \$52,500 |
| Interiors | - | - | \$66,200 | \$18,700 | \$88,900 | \$173,700 |
| Plumbing | - | \$2,200 | \$10,300 | - | \$6,700 | \$19,100 |
| Fire Suppression | - | - | \$8,200 | - | - | \$8,200 |
| HVAC | - | \$64,200 | - | - | \$14,500 | \$78,600 |
| Electrical | - | - | \$20,300 | \$113,200 | - | \$133,500 |
| Equipment/Special | - | - | \$900 | \$8,100 | - | \$9,000 |
| Accessibility | \$900 | - | - | - | - | \$900 |
| TOTALS | \$900 | \$66,400 | \$112,700 | \$392,700 | \$149,000 | \$721,300 |

The graph below indicates the capital expenditure needs of each year (reference left axis). The purple line forecasts what would happen to the FCl over time, assuming zero capital expenditures (reference right axis).

## Needs by Year with Unaddressed FCI Over Time

## Carnegie Building

Replacement Value: $\$ 1,386,000$; Inflation rate: $3.0 \%$


## 4. Site Summary



## Site Information

| Lot Size | 0.68 acres (estimated) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Parking Spaces | 79 total spaces all in open lots; 4 of which are accessible | Condition |  |
| System | Description | Fair |  |
| Pavement/Flatwork | Asphalt lots with areas of concrete and concrete sidewalks, curbs | Good |  |
| Site Development | Property entrance signage, <br> Lightly furnished with bike art, and concrete water fountain | Fair |  |
| Landscaping and <br> Topography | No significant landscaping features <br> lrrigation present <br> Low site slopes throughout | Good |  |
| Utilities | Municipal water and sewer <br> Local utility-provided electric | Fair |  |
| Site Lighting | Pole-mounted: metal halide <br> Building-mounted: CFL, metal halide | -- |  |
| Ancillary <br> Structures | None | Nresently it does not appear an accessibility study is needed for the exterior site <br> accessibility | areas. See Appendix C. |
| Key Issues and <br> Findings | None |  |  |

## Site: Systems Expenditure Forecast

| System | Immediate | Short Term (3 yr) | Near Term (5 yr) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Med Term } \\ & \text { (10 yr) } \end{aligned}$ | Long Term (20 yr) | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Facade | - | - | \$203,200 | \$244,700 | \$75,100 | \$523,000 |
| Roofing | - | - | - | \$116,200 | \$34,700 | \$150,900 |
| Interiors | - | - | \$254,200 | \$42,100 | \$358,100 | \$654,400 |
| Plumbing | - | \$10,800 | \$11,600 | \$9,600 | \$25,800 | \$57,900 |
| Fire Suppression | - | - | \$37,200 | - | - | \$37,200 |
| HVAC | - | \$169,900 | \$107,600 | \$1,700 | \$70,600 | \$349,800 |
| Electrical | - | - | \$117,200 | \$354,000 | - | \$471,100 |
| Fire Alarm \& Comm | \$45,900 | \$1,800 | - | - | \$9,900 | \$57,600 |
| Equipment/Special | - | - | \$11,000 | \$8,100 | \$1,100 | \$20,100 |
| Pavement | - | - | \$22,800 | \$19,500 | \$255,700 | \$298,000 |
| Site Development | - | - | \$1,600 | - | \$177,500 | \$179,100 |
| Site Lighting | - | - | \$11,800 | - | \$15,900 | \$27,700 |
| Accessibility | \$900 | - | - | - | - | \$900 |
| TOTALS | \$46,800 | \$182,500 | \$778,200 | \$795,900 | \$1,024,400 | \$2,827,700 |

## 5. Property Space Use \& Observed Areas

## Unit Allocation

All 22,000 square feet of the property are occupied by the city of McMinnville and used as a public library. The spaces are mostly a combination of offices, open library space, and supporting restrooms.

## Areas Observed

The interior spaces were observed in order to gain a clear understanding of the property's overall condition. Other areas accessed included the site within the property boundaries, the exterior of the property, and the roofs.

## Key Spaces Not Observed

All key areas of the property were accessible and observed.

## 6. ADA Accessibility

Generally, Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) prohibits discrimination by entities to access and use of "areas of public accommodations" and "commercial facilities" on the basis of disability. Regardless of its age, these areas and facilities must be maintained and operated to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines (ADAAG).
Buildings completed and occupied after January 26, 1992 are required to comply fully with the ADAAG. Existing facilities constructed prior to this date are held to the lesser standard of compliance to the extent allowed by structural feasibility and the financial resources available. As an alternative, a reasonable accommodation pertaining to barrier removal must be made.
During the FCA, EMG performed a limited high-level accessibility review of the facility non-specific to any local regulations or codes. The scope of the visual observation was limited to those areas and categories set forth in the tables throughout this report. It is understood by the Client that the limited observations described herein do not comprise a full ADA Compliance Survey, and that such a survey is beyond the scope of EMG's undertaking. Only a representative sample of areas was observed and actual measurements were not taken to verify compliance.
The facility was originally constructed in 1912. The facility was significantly renovated in 1983. Complaints about accessibility issues have not been received by the property management. The property does not have litigation related to existing barriers or previously removed barriers.
An accessibility study has not been performed at the site. Although no significant issues were identified, a comprehensive ADA Compliance Survey would reveal specific aspects of the property that are not in full compliance.
Removal of barriers to accessibility should be addressed from a liability standpoint in order to comply with federal law, but the barriers may or may not be building code violations. The Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines are part of the ADA federal civil rights law pertaining to the disabled and are not a construction code. State and local jurisdictions have adopted the ADA Guidelines or have adopted other standards for accessibility as part of their construction codes.

## 7. Purpose and Scope

## Purpose

EMG was retained by the client to render an opinion as to the Property's current general physical condition on the day of the site visit.
Based on the observations, interviews and document review outlined below, this report identifies significant deferred maintenance issues, existing deficiencies, and material code violations of record, which affect the Property's use. Opinions are rendered as to its structural integrity, building system condition and the Property's overall condition. The report also notes building systems or components that have realized or exceeded their typical expected useful lives.
The physical condition of building systems and related components are typically defined as being in one of five condition ratings. For the purposes of this report, the following definitions are used:

## Condition Ratings

| Excellent | New or very close to new; component or system typically has been installed within <br> the past year, sound and performing its function. Eventual repair or replacement will <br> be required when the component or system either reaches the end of its useful life or <br> fails in service. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Good | Satisfactory as-is. Component or system is sound and performing its function, <br> typically within the first third of its lifecycle. However, it may show minor signs of <br> normal wear and tear. Repair or replacement will be required when the component <br> or system either reaches the end of its useful life or fails in service. |
| Fair | Showing signs of wear and use but still satisfactory as-is, typically near the median <br> of its estimated useful life. Component or system is performing adequately at this <br> time but may exhibit some signs of wear, deferred maintenance, or evidence of <br> previous repairs. Repair or replacement will be required due to the component or <br> system's condition and/or its estimated remaining useful life. |
| Poor | Component or system is significantly aged, flawed, functioning intermittently or <br> unreliably; displays obvious signs of deferred maintenance; shows evidence of <br> previous repair or workmanship not in compliance with commonly accepted <br> standards; has become obsolete; or exhibits an inherent deficiency. The present <br> condition could contribute to or cause the deterioration of contiguous elements or <br> systems. Either full component replacement is needed or repairs are required to <br> restore to good condition, prevent premature failure, and/or prolong useful life. |
| Failed | Component or system has ceased functioning or performing as intended. <br> Replacement, repair, or other significant corrective action is recommended or <br> required. |
| Not Applicable | Assigning a condition does not apply or make logical sense, most commonly due to <br> the item in question not being present. |

## Definition of Exceedingly Aged

A fairly common scenario encountered during the assessment process, and a frequent source of debate, occurs when classifying and describing "very old" systems or components that are still functioning adequately and do not appear nor were reported to be in any way deficient. To help provide some additional intelligence on these items, such components will be tagged in the database as Exceedingly Aged. This designation will be reserved for mechanical or electrical systems or components that have aged well beyond their industry standard lifecycles, typically at least 15 years beyond and/or twice their Estimated Useful Life (EUL). In tandem with this designation, these items will be assigned a Remaining Useful Life (RUL) not less than two years but not greater than $1 / 3$ of their standard EUL. As such the recommended replacement time for these components will reside outside the typical Immediate Repair window but will not be pushed 'irresponsibly' (too far) into the future.

## Scope

The standard scope of the Facility Condition Assessment includes the following:

- Visit the Property to evaluate the general condition of the building and site improvements, review available construction documents in order to familiarize ourselves with, and be able to comment on, the in-place construction systems, life safety, mechanical, electrical, and plumbing systems, and the general built environment.
- Identify those components that are exhibiting deferred maintenance issues and provide cost estimates for Immediate Costs and Replacement Reserves based on observed conditions, maintenance history and industry standard useful life estimates. This will include the review of documented capital improvements completed within the last five-year period and work currently contracted for, if applicable.
- Provide a full description of the Property with descriptions of in-place systems and commentary on observed conditions.
- Provide a high-level categorical general statement regarding the subject Property's compliance to Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act. This will not constitute a full ADA survey, but will help identify exposure to issues and the need for further review.
- Obtain background and historical information about the facility from a building engineer, property manager, maintenance staff, or other knowledgeable source. The preferred methodology is to have the client representative or building occupant complete a Pre-Survey Questionnaire (PSQ) in advance of the site visit. Common alternatives include a verbal interview just prior to or during the walk-through portion of the assessment.
- Review maintenance records and procedures with the in-place maintenance personnel.
- Observe a representative sample of the interior spaces/units, including vacant spaces/units, to gain a clear understanding of the property's overall condition. Other areas to be observed include the exterior of the property, the roofs, interior common areas, and the significant mechanical, electrical and elevator equipment rooms.
- Provide recommendations for additional studies, if required, with related budgetary information.
- Provide an Executive Summary at the beginning of this report, which highlights key findings and includes a Facility Condition Index as a basis for comparing the relative conditions of the buildings within the portfolio.


## 8. Opinions of Probable Costs

Cost estimates are attached throughout this report, with the Replacement Reserves in the appendix.
These estimates are based on Invoice or Bid Document/s provided either by the Owner/facility and construction costs developed by construction resources such as R.S. Means, CBRE Whitestone, and Marshall \& Swift, EMG's experience with past costs for similar properties, city cost indexes, and assumptions regarding future economic conditions.
Opinions of probable costs should only be construed as preliminary, order of magnitude budgets. Actual costs most probably will vary from the consultant's opinions of probable costs depending on such matters as type and design of suggested remedy, quality of materials and installation, manufacturer and type of equipment or system selected, field conditions, whether a physical deficiency is repaired or replaced in whole, phasing or bundling of the work (if applicable), quality of contractor, quality of project management exercised, market conditions, use of subcontractors, and whether competitive pricing is solicited, etc. Certain opinions of probable costs cannot be developed within the scope of this guide without further study. Opinions of probable cost for further study should be included in the FCA.

## Methodology

Based upon site observations, research, and judgment, along with referencing Expected Useful Life (EUL) tables from various industry sources, EMG opines as to when a system or component will most probably necessitate replacement. Accurate historical replacement records, if provided, are typically the best source of information. Exposure to the elements, initial quality and installation, extent of use, the quality and amount of preventive maintenance exercised, etc., are all factors that impact the effective age of a system or component. As a result, a system or component may have an effective age that is greater or less than its actual chronological age. The Remaining Useful Life (RUL) of a component or system equals the EUL less its effective age, whether explicitly or implicitly stated. Projections of Remaining Useful Life (RUL) are based primarily on age and condition with the presumption of continued use and maintenance of the Property similar to the observed and reported past use and maintenance practices, in conjunction with the professional judgment of EMG's assessors. Significant changes in occupants and/or usage may affect the service life of some systems or components.
Where quantities could not be or were not derived from an actual construction document take-off or facility walkthrough, and/or where systemic costs are more applicable or provide more intrinsic value, budgetary square foot and gross square foot costs are used. Estimated costs are based on professional judgment and the probable or actual extent of the observed defect, inclusive of the cost to design, procure, construct and manage the corrections.

## Immediate Repairs

Immediate repairs are opinions of probable costs that require immediate action as a result of: (1) material existing or potential unsafe conditions, (2) failed or imminent failure of mission critical building systems or components, or (3) conditions that, if not addressed, have the potential to result in, or contribute to, critical element or system failure within one year or will most probably result in a significant escalation of its remedial cost.

## Replacement Reserves

Cost line items traditionally called Replacement Reserves (equivalently referred to as Lifecycle/Renewals) are for recurring probable renewals or expenditures, which are not classified as operation or maintenance expenses. The replacement reserves should be budgeted for in advance on an annual basis. Replacement Reserves are reasonably predictable both in terms of frequency and cost. However, Replacement Reserves may also include components or systems that have an indeterminable life but, nonetheless, have a potential for failure within an estimated time period.

Replacement Reserves generally exclude systems or components that are estimated to expire after the reserve term and are not considered material to the structural and mechanical integrity of the subject property. Furthermore, systems and components that are not deemed to have a material effect on the use of the Property are also excluded. Costs that are caused by acts of God, accidents, or other occurrences that are typically covered by insurance, rather than reserved for, are also excluded.

Replacement costs are solicited from ownership/property management, EMG's discussions with service companies, manufacturers' representatives, and previous experience in preparing such schedules for other similar facilities. Costs for work performed by the ownership's or property management's maintenance staff are also considered.

EMG's reserve methodology involves identification and quantification of those systems or components requiring capital reserve funds within the assessment period. The assessment period is defined as the effective age plus the reserve term. Additional information concerning system's or component's respective replacement costs (in today's dollars), typical expected useful lives, and remaining useful lives were estimated so that a funding schedule could be prepared. The Replacement Reserves Schedule presupposes that all required remedial work has been performed or that monies for remediation have been budgeted for items defined in the Immediate Repair Cost Estimate.

## 9. Certification

The City of McMinnville (the Client) retained EMG to perform this Facility Condition Assessment in connection with its continued operation of Library and Carnegie Building, 225 Northwest Adams Street, McMinnville, Oregon 97128 , the "Property". It is our understanding that the primary interest of the Client is to locate and evaluate materials and building system defects that might significantly affect the value of the property and to determine if the present Property has conditions that will have a significant impact on its continued operations.
The conclusions and recommendations presented in this report are based on the brief review of the plans and records made available to our Project Manager during the site visit, interviews of available property management personnel and maintenance contractors familiar with the Property, appropriate inquiry of municipal authorities, our Project Manager's walk-through observations during the site visit, and our experience with similar properties.
No testing, exploratory probing, dismantling or operating of equipment or in-depth studies were performed unless specifically required under the Purpose and Scope section of this report. This assessment did not include engineering calculations to determine the adequacy of the Property's original design or existing systems. Although walk-through observations were performed, not all areas may have been observed (see Section 1 for specific details). There may be defects in the Property, which were in areas not observed or readily accessible, may not have been visible, or were not disclosed by management personnel when questioned. The report describes property conditions at the time that the observations and research were conducted.
This report has been prepared on behalf of and exclusively for the use of the Client for the purpose stated within the Purpose and Scope section of this report. The report, or any excerpt thereof, shall not be used by any party other than the Client or for any other purpose than that specifically stated in our agreement or within the Purpose and Scope section of this report without the express written consent of EMG.
Any reuse or distribution of this report without such consent shall be at the Client and the recipient's sole risk, without liability to EMG.

## Prepared by: David Easdon, Project Manager

## Reviewed by:



Alex Israel, Technical Report Reviewer for
Matthew Anderson
Program Manager
manderson@emgcorp.com
800.733.0660 x7613
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## Appendix A: <br> Photographic Record


\#1 LIBRARY FRONT ELEVATION

\#5 LIBRARY ROOF, ASPHALT SHINGLE

LIBRARY ROOF, MODIFIED BITUMINOUS


\#18
LIBRARY CHILDREN'S ROOM


\#29
CARNEGIE WINDOW, WOOD HISTORICAL
\#30
CARNEGIE LIBRARY



\#45
WATER HEATER, ELECTRIC





\#69
INTERIOR FLOOR FINISH, VINYL SHEETING




## Appendix B: Site Plan


SOURCE:
Google Maps

ON-SITE DATE:
October 12, 2018

|  | Major Issues <br> （ADA study recommended） | Moderate Issues （ADA study recommended） | Minor／No Issues |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exterior Accessible Route | $\square$ | $\square$ | 区 |
| Interior Accessible Route | $\square$ | $\square$ | 区 |
| Public Use Restrooms | $\square$ | $\square$ | 区 |
| Elevators | $\square$ | $\square$ | 区 |
| Kitchens／Kitchenettes | $\square$ | $\square$ | 区 |
| Carnegie Accessibility Issues |  |  |  |
|  | Major Issues <br> （ADA study recommended） | Moderate Issues （ADA study recommended） | Minor／No Issues |
| Exterior Accessible Route | $\square$ | $\square$ | 区 |
| Interior Accessible Route | $\square$ | $\square$ | 区 |
| Public Use Restrooms | $\square$ | $\square$ | 区 |
| Elevators | $\square$ | $\square$ | 区 |
| Kitchens／Kitchenettes | $\square$ | $\square$ | 区 |
| Site Accessibility Issues |  |  |  |
|  | Major Issues <br> （ADA study recommended） | Moderate Issues （ADA study recommended） | Minor／No Issues |
| Parking | $\square$ | $\square$ | 区 |
| Exterior Accessible Route | $\square$ | $\square$ | 区 |

## Appendix D: Pre-Survey Questionnaire

## FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT: PRE-SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE

This questionnaire must be completed by the property owner, the owner's designated representative, or someone knowledgeable about the subject property. The completed form must be presented to EMG's Field Observer on the day of the site visit. If the form is not completed, EMG's Project Manager will require additional time during the on-site visit with such a knowledgeable person in order to complete the questionnaire. During the site visit, EMG's Field Observer may ask for details associated with selected questions. This questionnaire will be utilized as an exhibit in EMG's final Property Condition Report.

## Name of person completing form: Jenny Berg

Title / Association with property: Library Director
Length of time associated w/ property: 6 years as Library Director (17 years total)
Date Completed: October
Phone Number: 503-435-5550 (work), 503-929-3141 (cell)
Building / Station Name: Library
Directions: Please answer all questions to the best of your knowledge and in good faith. Please provide additional details in the Comments column, or backup documentation for any Yes responses.

| DATA OVERVIEW |  | RESPONSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Year constructed | 1912 Carnegie Library, 1983 addition |
| 2 | Building size in SF | 22,000 |
| 3 | Acreage |  |
| 4 | Number of parking spaces | 79, shared with City Park and Aquatic Center |
| 5 | Age of roof (known or estimated); active warranty w/ expiration date? | Repaired flashing and membrane of Carnegie building in 2012, roof replaced on library 1995 |
| QUESTION |  | RESPONSE |
| 6 | List all major renovations or rehabilitations since construction (with estimated dates). | 2018 - elevator modernization <br> 2013 \& 2006 - stucco and window sealant reseal 1995/1996 - replacement of roofing and flashing, drain tile system, repair and reseal of stucco joints and windows, seismic upgrades |
| 7 | List other somewhat lesser but still significant capital improvements, focused within recent years (provide approximate year completed). | 2018 - Children's Room update (carpet, lighting, paint, furniture) <br> 2017 - new drinking fountain in lobby <br> 2016 - Plaza remodel (paving, lighting, painting, bike racks, frog and mosaic) <br> 2014 - replaced <br> cooling tower |
| 8 | List any major capital expenditures planned/requested for the next few years. Have they been budgeted? | Fire alarm upgrade, not budgeted Lobby upgrade/remodel |
| 9 | Describe any extremely problematic, historically chronic, or immediate facility needs. | HVAC is $\sim 35$ years old and needs regular repair |
| 10 | Describe any shared building or site elements or unique arrangements with neighboring properties. | The library is officially "in the park", on City Park property. |
| 11 | Does the building have an indoor exhaust removal system. | no |


| Mark the column corresponding to the appropriate response. Please provide additional details in the Comments column, or <br> backup documentation for any Yes responses. (NA indicates "Not Applicable", Unk indicates "Unknown') |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| QUESTION | RESPONSE |  | COMMENTS |  |  |


| QUESTION |  | RESPONSE |  |  |  | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes | No | Unk | NA |  |
| 27 | Are there any known unresolved building, fire, or zoning code issues with the governing municipality? | x |  |  |  | Fire alarm system additional work needs to be done |
| 28 | Is there any pending litigation concerning the property? |  | x |  |  |  |
| 29 | Are there outstanding accessibility issues at the building? |  | x |  |  |  |
| 30 | Are there any other unresolved construction defects or significant issues/hazards at the property that have not yet been identified? |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |

## Appendix E: Replacement Reserves

## 12/26/2018

| Location |
| :--- |
| Library \& Carnegie Building |


| Library \& Carnegie Builiding / Carnegie Building | 9 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Library \& Carnegie Building / Library | \$45,8 |
| Library \& Carnegie Building / Site | \$0 |



| 2032 | 2033 | 2034 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| so | so | so |
| \$88,584 | \$44,564 | so |
| \$198,665 | \$102,328 | \$41,068 |
| \$22,599 | \$15,865 | \$210,905 |

$\qquad$ $\begin{array}{rrr}2036 & 2037 & 2038 \\ \text { S0 } & \text { S0 } & \text { S0 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{r}2038 \\ \$ 0 \\ \$ 5,366 \\ \hline 5167239\end{array}$

Total Escalated Estimate

546,829
\$182,580
$\$ 0 \quad \$ 175$
67,229
$\$ 0$
$\qquad$
Library \& Carnegie Building

| Library \& Carnegie Building / Carnegie Building Uniformat CodeID Cost Description |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| B2011 10 | 1075216 Exterior Wall, Painted Sufface, 1-2 Stories, Prep \& Paint |
| B2021 10 | 1075249 Window, Wood Historical 24 SF, |
| B2031 10 | 1075319 Exerior Door, Fully-Glazed Aluminum-Framed Swinging, Replace |
| B2032 | 1075258 Exereior Door, Wood Solid-Core, Replace |
| B3011 10 | 1075292 Roof, Asphalt Shingle Premium Grade, Replace |
| B3011 | 1075236 Roof, Single-Ply TPo/PVC Membrane, Replace |
| C1021 | 1075276 Interior Door, Wood Solid-Core, Replace |
| C1021 | 1075256 Interio Door, Steel, Replace |
| C1031 10 | 1075297 Toilet Partitions, Metal Overhead-Brace, Replace |
| C3012 | 1075248 Interior Wall Finish, Wood Paneling, Reffish |
| C3025 10 | 1075210 Interior Floor Finst, Carpet Standard-Commercial Medium-Trafic, Replace |
| C3031 10 | 1075279 Interior Celiling and Wall Finish, Exposed/Generic, Prep \& Paint |
| D2011 | 1075309 Toiet, Flush Tank (Water Closet), Replace |
| D2012 | 1075260 U Uinal, Vitreous China, Replace |
| D2014 | 1075295 SinkLLavator, V, Vireous China, Replace |
| D2014 10 | 1075311 SinkLLavator, Stainless Steel, Replace |
| D2018 | 1075245 Drinking Fountain, Stainless, Replace |
| D2023 10 | 1075226 Water Heater, 30 GAL, Replace |
| D2023 10 | 1075228 Water Heater, Instant Hot, Electric, Replace |
| D3041 10 | 1075267 Air Hander, Interior, 5,201 to 6,500 CFM, Replace |
| D3041 10 | 1075321 Electric Heater, 7 kW , Replace |
| D3041 10 | 1075229 Air Hander, Interior, 5,201 to 6,500 CFM, Replace |
| D3041 10 | 1075274 Electric Heater, 7 KW , Replace |
| D4019 10 | 1075332 Sprinkler Heads (per SF), , Replace |
| D5012 10 | 1075250 Distribution Panel, 225 AMP, Replace |
| D5012 10 | 1075254 Distribution Panel, 225 AMP, Replace |
| D5029 10 | 1075269 Lighting System, Interio, School, Upgrade |
| E1094 10 | 1075270 Residential Appliances, Range, Gas, Replace |
| E1094 10 | 1075230 Residential Appliances, Reffigerator, 14-18 CF, Replace |
| E2012 10 | 1075225 Kitchen Cabinet, Base and Wall Section, Wood, Replace |
| Z108X 10 | 1075286 ADA, Restroom, Lavatoy Hardware, Modify |
| Totals, Unescalated |  |

Totals, Escalated ( $3.0 \%$ inflation, compounded annually)

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { B2011 } & 1075243 \text { Exterior Wall, Painted Surface, } 1-2 \text { Stories, Prep \& Paint } \\ \text { B2021 }\end{array}$
1075302 Window, SF, Replace
B2021 1075240 Window, SF, Replace



| Unitorma | Cost Descripition | Lifespan (E) |  | RUL | Quantity |  | Unit Cost w | w/ Markup *s | Subtotal | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 202 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | 2035 | 2036 | 2037 | 2038 | y Repair Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B2031 | 1075289 Interior Door, Fully-Glazed Aluminum-Framed Sliding, Replace | 25 | 10 | 15 | , | EA | \$2,34, 31 | \$2,644.89 | \$2,645 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,645 |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,645 |
| B2032 | 1075266 Exterio Door, Wood Solid-Core, Replace | 25 | 18 | 7 | 1 | EA | \$1,423.11 | \$1,612.45 | \$1,612 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,612 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,612 |
| B3011 | 1075313 Roof, Asphalt Shingle Premium Grade, Replace | 30 | ${ }^{23}$ | 7 | 13300 | SF | 55.04 | ${ }_{55} .71$ | \$75,951 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$75,951 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 875,951 |
| B3011 | 1075203 Roof, Modified Bituminous, Replace | 20 | 9 | 11 | 350 | SF | 59.00 | - \$10.20 | \$3,569 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,569 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,569 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}_{1021}$ | 1075291 Interio Door, Steel, Replace | 25 | 18 | 7 | 1 | EA | \$950. 12 | \$ \$1,001.43 | \$1,001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,001 |
| ${ }^{1} 1021$ | 1075296 Interior Door, Wood Solid-Core, Replace | 20 | 5 | 15 | 4 | EA | \$1,423.11 | \$1,612.45 | 96,450 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 96,450 |  |  |  |  |  | 56,450 |
| C1021 | 1075207 Interior Door, Fully-Glazed Aluminum-Framed Swinging, Replace | ${ }^{30}$ | 15 | 15 | 2 | EA | \$2,10.57 | \$2,386.85 | \$4,774 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$4,774 |  |  |  |  |  | \$4,774 |
| ${ }^{1} 1021$ | 1075268 Interior Door, Wood Solid-Core, Replace | 20 | 5 | 15 | 3 | EA | \$1,423.11 | \$1,612.45 | \$4,837 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$4,837 |  |  |  |  |  | \$4,837 |
| C3012 | 1075239 Interior Ceiling and Wall Finish, Generic Surface, Prep \& Paint | 8 | 4 | 4 | 16000 | sF | \$1.45 | \$1.64 | \$26,287 |  |  |  |  | \$26,887 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$26,287 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$26,287 | \$78,860 |
| C3021 | 1075255 Interio Floor Finish, Concrete, Prep \& Seal | 10 | 5 | 5 | 2000 | sF | \$9.23 | \$10.46 | \$20,916 |  |  |  |  |  | \$20,916 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$20,916 |  |  |  |  |  | \$41,832 |
| C3024 | 1075219 Interior Floor Firish, Viny Sheeiting, Replace | 15 | 10 | 5 | 500 | SF | 57.01 | \$7.94 | \$3,971 |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,971 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,971 | \$7,943 |
| C3025 | 1075257 Interior Floor Finish, Carpet Standard-Commercial Medium-Trafic, Replace | - 10 | 6 | 4 | 14000 | sF | \$7.26 | 58.23 s | \$115,163 |  |  |  |  | \$115,163 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$115,163 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$230,326 |
| C3025 | 1075263 Interior Floor Finish, Carpet Standard-Commercial Medium-Trafic, Replace | - 10 | 0 | 10 | 2000 | sF | \$7.26 | \$8.23 | \$16,452 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$16,452 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$16,452 | \$32,904 |
| D2011 | 1075316 Toielt, Tankless (Water Closet), Replace | 20 | 7 | 13 | 2 | EA | \$842.97 | \$955.13 | \$1,910 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,910 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,910 |
| D2014 | 1075304 SinkL Lavator, Stainless Steel, Replace | 20 | 15 | 5 | 1 | EA | \$1,054.05 | \$1,194.29 | \$1,194 |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,194 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,194 |
| 02014 | 1075317 Service Sink, Floor, Replace | ${ }^{35}$ | 25 | 10 | 1 | EA | \$1,599.51 | \$1,812.32 | \$1,812 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,812 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,812 |
| D2014 | 1075307 SinkLLavator, Vitreous China, Replace | 20 | 8 | 12 | 2 | EA | 5881.51 | \$976.13 | \$1,952 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,952 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,952 |
| ${ }^{2021}$ | 1075310 Backitow Preventer, 4 INCH , Replace | 15 | ${ }^{12}$ | 3 | 1 | EA | \$6,001.42 | \$6,799.91 | 56,800 |  |  |  | s6,800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 96,800 |  |  | \$13,600 |
| ${ }^{\text {20223 }}$ | 1075293 Water Heater, 12 GAL, Replace | 15 | 12 | 3 | 1 | EA | \$1,014.17 | \$1,149.11 | \$1,149 |  |  |  | \$1,149 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,149 |  |  | \$2,298 |
| D2091 | 1075308 Air Compressor, 75 HP, Replace | 20 | 10 | 10 | 1 | EA | \$4,69.77 | \$5,321.68 | \$5,322 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$5,322 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$5,322 |
| ${ }^{\text {D3031 }}$ | 1075244 Chiler, Reciprocal Water-Cooled, 70 Ton, Replace | 25 | ${ }^{21}$ | 4 | 1 | EA | \$88,399.85 | \$95,572.60 | \$95,573 |  |  |  |  | \$95,573 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$99,573 |
| ${ }^{\text {D3031 }}$ | 1075208 Cooling Tower, 51 to 75 Ton, Replace | 20 | 4 | 16 | 1 | EA | \$22,586.75 | \$25,591.92 | \$25,592 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$25,992 |  |  |  |  | \$25,592 |
| D3041 | 1075246 Air Hander, Interior, 4,701 to 5,200 CFM, Replace | 30 | 27 | 3 | 1 | EA | \$19,551.33 | \$22,152.63 | \$22,153 |  |  |  | \$22,153 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$22,153 |
| D3041 | 1075341 Air Hander, Interior, 4,701 to 5,200 CFM, Replace | 30 | 27 | 3 | 1 | EA | \$19,551.33 | \$22,152.63 | \$22,153 |  |  |  | \$22,153 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$22,153 |
| ${ }^{\text {D3041 }}$ | 1075238 Electicic Heater, 27.5 kW , Replace | 15 | 12 | 3 | 2 | EA | \$3,944.70 | \$4,435.55 | 98,871 |  |  |  | 58,871 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98,871 |  |  | \$17,742 |
| D3041 | 1075215 Air Hander, Interior, 6,501 to 8,000 CFM, Replace | 30 | 27 | 3 | 1 | EA | \$26,016.62 | \$29,478.13 | \$29,478 |  |  |  | \$29,478 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$29,478 |
| D3045 | 1075290 Distribution Pump, Chiller \& Condenser Water, 10 HP, Replace | 20 | 17 | 3 | 2 | EA | \$6,237.69 | \$7,067.61 | \$14,135 |  |  |  | \$14,135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$14,135 |
| ${ }^{\text {D3051 }}$ | 1075882 Unit Heater, $1-2 \mathrm{~kW}$, Replace | 20 | 10 | 10 | 1 | EA | \$1,09.84 | \$1,241.64 | \$1,242 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,242 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,242 |
| D4019 | 1075278 Sprinker Heads (per SF), Replace | ${ }^{20}$ | 16 | 4 | 17100 | SF | \$1.33 | \$1.51 | \$25,769 |  |  |  |  | \$25,769 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$25,769 |
| D5012 | 1075241 Distribution Panel, 100 AMP, Replace | 30 | 26 | 4 | 1 | EA | \$5,07.93 | \$5,755.81 | \$5,75 |  |  |  |  | \$5,756 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$5,756 |
| D5012 | 1075235 Distribution Panel, 400 AMP, Replace | 30 | 26 | 4 | 1 | EA | \$9,487.85 | \$10,750.21 | \$10,750 |  |  |  |  | \$10,750 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$10,750 |
| D5012 | 1075214 Secondary Transtormer, 112 kVA, Replace | 30 | 26 | 4 | 1 | EA | \$11,920.05 | \$13,506.01 | \$13,506 |  |  |  |  | \$13,506 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$13,506 |
| D5012 | 1075227 Distribution Pane, 400 AMP, Replace | 30 | 26 | 4 | 1 | EA | \$9,487.85 | \$10,750.21 | \$10,750 |  |  |  |  | \$10,750 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$10,750 |
| D5012 | 1075252 Distribution Pane, 100 AMP, Replace | 30 | ${ }^{26}$ | 4 | 1 | EA | \$5,07.93 | \$5,755.81 | 95,756 |  |  |  |  | 55,756 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$5,756 |
| D5012 | 1075242 Switchboard, 600 AMP, Replace | 30 | 26 | 4 | 1 | EA | \$24,768.06 | \$28,063.45 | \$28,063 |  |  |  |  | \$28,063 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$28,063 |
| D5012 | 1075233 Distribution Panel, 100 AMP, Replace | 30 | 26 | 4 | 1 | EA | \$5,099.93 | \$5,75.81 | \$5,756 |  |  |  |  | \$5,756 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$5,756 |
| D5012 | 1075275 Distribution Pane, 100 AMP, Replace | 30 | ${ }^{26}$ | 4 | 1 | EA | \$5,09.93 | \$5,755.81 | \$5,756 |  |  |  |  | \$5,756 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$5,756 |
| D5029 | 1075261 Lighting System, Interio, School, Upgrade | 25 | 15 | 10 | 10290 | SF | \$15.36 | \$17.40 \$ | \$179,084 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$179,084 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$179,084 |
| D5037 | 1076949 Fire Alarm System, Office Builiding, Instal | ${ }^{20}$ | 20 | 0 | 17158 | sF | \$2.36 | \$2.67 | \$45,880 | \$45,880 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$45,880 | \$91,761 |
| D5037 | 1075285 Anuunciator Alarm Panel, Replace | 15 | 12 | 3 | 1 | EA | \$1,48.32 | \$1,641.02 | \$1,641 |  |  |  | \$1,641 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,641 |  |  | ${ }_{53,282}$ |
| D5037 | 1075212 Fire Alarm Control Panel, Multiplex, Replace | 15 | 2 | 13 | 1 | EA | \$4,284.35 | \$4,854.38 | \$4,854 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$4,854 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54,854 |
| E2012 | 1075300 Kithen Cabinet, Base and Wall Section, Wood, Replace | 20 | 15 | 5 | 15 | LF | ${ }^{5467.63}$ | \$529.85 | \$7,948 |  |  |  |  |  | \$7,948 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$7,948 |
| E2012 | 1075211 Kitchen Counter, Plastic Laminate, Postormed, Replace | 10 | 5 | 5 | 15 | LF | \$43.90 | ${ }^{546.27}$ | \$694 |  |  |  |  |  | \$694 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5694 |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,388 |
| 62041 | 1075280 Decking, Wood Board, Refinish | 10 | 6 | 4 | 800 | sF | \$1.57 | \$1.78 | \$1,423 |  |  |  |  | \$1,423 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,423 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,846 |
| Totals, Unescalat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$45,880 | so |  | S106,380 | \$365,062 | \$189,816 |  | 578,564 | so |  | \$213,458 | 53,569 | \$28,239 | 56,765 | \$131,341 | \$65,680 | \$25,992 |  | \$18,461 | so | \$92,590 | \$1,37,399 |
| Totals, Escalated | ed (3.0\% inflation, compounded annualy) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$4, 880 | so |  | \$116,244 | \$410,881 | \$220,049 |  | s96,624 | so |  | \$286,870 |  | \$40,262 | s9,94 | \$198,665 | \$102,328 | \$41,068 |  | \$3,429 |  | \$167,29 | \$1,72,403 |
| - Markuplocationea | FFactor (1.054) has been inoluded in unit cosss. Marku inoludes a and 7.5\% Design and Permitinn | ting tactors app | to the | saion ad | dissted unit os. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Library \& Carneg Uniformat CodeID | egie Building / Site ID Cost Description | Lifespan (EUL) |  | RuL | Quantity |  | Unit Cost w/ M | Markup 'Subta | btotal | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2025 | 225 | 2027 | 2028 | 282029 | 203 | 30203 | 1 | 23233 | 2034 | 42035 | 2036 | 2037 |  | 8 Deficience | Estimate |
| $62022 \quad 1$ | 1075231 Parking Lots, Asphat Pavement, Seal \& Stipe | 5 | go | 4 | 34700 | SF | S0.38 | S0.43 $\$ 14$ | 14,940 |  |  |  |  | 4,940 |  |  |  | \$14,940 |  |  |  |  | \$14,94 |  |  |  |  | \$14,940 |  |  | \$59,762 |
| 62022 | 1075234 Parking Lots, Asphat Pavement, Mil \& Overlay | 25 | 9 | 16 | 34700 | sF | \$3.28 | \$3.72 \$128 | 28,959 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$128,959 |  |  |  |  |  | \$128,599 |
| 62031 | 1075315 Pedestrian Pavement, Sidewalk, Concrete Sections/mall Areas, Replace | 30 | 26 | 4 | 250 | SF | \$19.00 | ${ }^{521.53}$ \$5 | 55,382 |  |  |  |  | 5,382 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55,382 |
| 62044 | 1075294 Signage, Property, MonumentPy yon, Replace/lnstall | 20 | 9 | 11 | 1 | EA | s8,602.00 s9, | \$9,746.50 59,7 | s9,746 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99,74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$9,746 |
| 62044 | 1075206 Signage, Property, Monumentrylon, Replacellnstall | 20 | 9 | 11 | 12 | EA | \$8,602.00 s9, | \$9,746.50 \$116, | 16,958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$116,958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$116,958 |
| 62045 | 1114419 Site Furrishings, Bike Rack, Replace | 25 | 9 | 16 | 2 | EA | \$1,090.00 \$1,230 | \$1,235.02 | \$2,470 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,470 |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,47e< |
| 62057 | 1113600 lrigation System, Replace | 25 | 21 | 4 | 5500 | SF | \$3.16 | \$3.58 $\$ 19$ | 19,692 |  |  |  |  | 9,692 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$19,692 |

## Appendix F: <br> Equipment Inventory List



