

ORDINANCE NO. 5086

AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A PLANNED DEVELOPMENT AMENDMENT TO AMEND THE CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL AND REDUCE THE SIZE OF AN EXISTING PLANNED DEVELOPMENT OVERLAY DISTRICT AT THE NORTHEAST QUADRANT OF THE INTERSECTION OF NW HILL ROAD AND NW BAKER CREEK ROAD

RECITALS:

The Planning Department received an application (PDA 2-19) from Stafford Development Company, LLC requesting approval of a Zone Change to amend an existing Planned Development Overlay District to reduce the size of the existing Planned Development Overlay District to the size of a proposed 6.62 acre C-3 (General Commercial) site and amending the conditions of approval of the Commercial Planned Development Overlay District to allow up to 120 multiple family dwelling units and require a minimum of 2 acres of neighborhood commercial uses on the site; and

The subject property is located at the northeast quadrant of the intersection of NW Hill Road and NW Baker Creek Road. The property is described as Exhibit C in Instrument No. 201904865, Yamhill County Deed Records, and a portion of Exhibit C in Instrument No. 201904867, Yamhill County Deed Records. The property is also identified as a portion of Tax Lot 100, Section 18, T. 4 S., R. 4 W., W.M.; and

A public hearing was held on December 5, 2019 at 6:30 p.m., before the McMinnville Planning Commission after due notice had been provided in the local newspaper on November 26, 2019, and written notice had been mailed to property owners within 300 feet of the affected property; and

At said public hearing, the application materials and a staff report were presented, and applicant and public testimony was received; and

The Planning Commission, being fully informed about said requests, found that the requested amendments conformed to the applicable Comprehensive Plan goals and policies, as well as the Planned Development Amendment review criteria listed in Section 17.74.070 of the McMinnville Municipal Code based on the material submitted by the applicant and the findings of fact and conclusionary findings for approval contained in Exhibit A; and

The Planning Commission recommended approval of said Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment to the City Council; and

The City Council having received the Planning Commission recommendation and staff report, elected to schedule a second public hearing on the application; and

A public hearing was held on January 28, 2020 at 7:00 p.m., before the McMinnville City Council after due notice had been provided in the local newspaper on January 21, 2020, and written notice had been mailed to property owners within 300 feet of the affected property; and

At said public hearing, the application materials and a staff report were presented, and applicant and public testimony was received; and

The City Council decided to close the public hearing on January 28, 2020, but left the record open for the submittal of additional written testimony. The City Council provided seven additional days for the submittal of additional written testimony until February 4, 2020. The City Council then provided

another seven days for the submittal of rebuttal testimony until February 11, 2020. The City Council then provided another seven days for the applicant to submit final written argument until February 18, 2020; and

The City Council having completed the public hearing, received the Planning Commission recommendation and staff report, received all additional written testimony, and having deliberated; and

NOW, THEREFORE, THE COMMON COUNCIL FOR THE CITY OF MCMINNVILLE ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

1. That the Council adopts the Findings of Fact, Conclusionary Findings, and Decision as documented in Exhibit A; and
2. That the requested Planned Development Amendment is approved, subject to the following conditions:
 1. That Ordinance 4633 is repealed in its entirety.
 2. That up to 120 multiple family dwelling units are allowed within the Planned Development Overlay District, but only if the multiple family units are integrated with neighborhood commercial uses. "Integrated" means that uses are within a comfortable walking distance and are connected to each other with direct, convenient and attractive sidewalks and/or pathways. This integration of multiple family units and neighborhood commercial uses shall either be within a mixed use building or in a development plan that integrates the uses between buildings in a manner found acceptable to the Planning Commission.
 3. For the purposes of this Planned Development Overlay District, allowed neighborhood commercial uses are defined as those that are permitted in the C-1 (Neighborhood Business) zone in Section 17.27.010 of the MMC. In addition, "Restaurant" shall be permitted as a neighborhood commercial use in this Planned Development Overlay District. No retail uses should exceed 10,000 square feet in size, except for grocery stores. The applicant may request any other use to be considered permitted within the Planned Development Overlay District at the time of the submittal of detailed development plans for the site.
 4. That stand-alone drive-through facilities shall be prohibited within the Planned Development Overlay District.
 5. Detailed development plans showing elevations, site layout, signing, landscaping, parking, and lighting must be submitted to and approved by the Planning Commission before actual development may take place. The provisions of Chapter 17.51 of the McMinnville Zoning Ordinance may be used to place conditions on any development and to determine whether or not specific uses are permissible. The detailed development plans shall identify the site design components listed below. The applicant may propose alternative design components when detailed development plans are submitted for review. The Planning Commission may review and approve these alternative design components if they are found to be consistent with the intent of the required site design components listed below.
 - a. That the future commercial development of the site is designed with shared access points and shared internal circulation. Parking and vehicle drives shall be located away from building entrances, and not between a building

- entrance and the street, except as may be allowed when a direct pedestrian connection is provided from the sidewalk to the building entrance.
- b. Parking shall be oriented behind the buildings or on the sides. Surface parking shall not exceed 110% of the minimum parking requirements for the subject land uses. Shared parking is encouraged. The applicant may request a reduction to or waiver of parking standards based on a parking impact study. The study allows the applicant to propose a reduced parking standard based on estimated peak use, reductions due to easy pedestrian accessibility; and a significant bicycle corral that is connected to the BPA bicycle/pedestrian trail. Parking lot landscaping will meet or exceed city standards.
 - c. Buildings shall be oriented towards the surrounding right-of-ways and must have at least one primary entrance directly fronting a public right-of-way. Building facades shall be designed to be human scale, for aesthetic appeal, pedestrian comfort, and compatibility with the design character of the surrounding neighborhoods. Special attention should be paid to roof forms, rhythm of windows and doors, and general relationship of buildings to public spaces such as streets, plazas, the public parks and the adjacent neighborhood. No building shall exceed a height of two stories without a variance. If any building is proposed to exceed 35 feet, the building shall be designed with a step back in the building wall above 35 feet to reduce the visual impact of the height of the building.
 - d. Pedestrian connections shall be provided between surrounding sidewalks and right-of-ways. The plans shall also identify how the development provides pedestrian connections to adjacent residential development and the BPA Bike/Pedestrian Trail system located adjacent and to the east of the site.
 - e. The commercial development shall maximize connectivity with the BPA Bike/Pedestrian Trail and the other adjacent public parks but minimize bicycle and pedestrian conflicts within the site.
 - f. Sidewalks and/or plazas will be provided with weather protection (e.g. awnings/canopies). Appropriate pedestrian amenities such as space for outdoor seating, trash cans, sidewalk displays, outdoor café seating and public art will also be provided.
 - g. That landscape plans be submitted to and approved by the McMinnville Landscape Review Committee. A minimum of 14 percent of the site must be landscaped with emphasis placed at the street frontage. All public right-of-ways adjacent to the site will be improved with street tree planting as required by Chapter 17.58 of the MMC.
 - h. The plan must provide a community gathering space that is easily accessible via pedestrian and bicycle access from all of the uses within the commercial development as well as the adjacent BPA Bike/Pedestrian Trail. If multiple family dwelling units are developed on the site, a minimum of 10 percent of the site must be designated as usable open space. The usable open space will be in addition to the minimum 14 percent of the site that must be landscaped, and may be combined with the community gathering space required for the commercial uses. The usable open space shall be in a location of the site that is easily accessible from all buildings and uses, shall not be located in a remnant area of the site, and shall not be disconnected from buildings by parking or driving areas.
 - i. That signs located within the planned development site be subject to the following limitations:

1. All signs, if illuminated, must be indirectly illuminated and nonflashing, and the light source may not be visible from any public right of way and may not shine up into the night sky;
2. No individual sign exceeding thirty-six (36) square feet in size shall be allowed.
3. Internally illuminated, signs on roofs, chimney and balconies, and off-site signage are prohibited.
4. Each building may have a maximum of two signs to identify the name and street address of the building. These signs must be integral to the architecture and building design and convey a sense of permanence. Typically these sign are secondary or tertiary building elements as seen on historic urban buildings. Maximum sign area shall be no more than 6 square feet. Maximum sign height shall be 18 feet above the sidewalk to the top of the sign.
5. Each building may have one directory sign immediately adjacent to a front/main or rear entry to the building. A directory sign is allowed at each entry to a common space that provides access to multiple tenants. Directory signs shall be limited to 12 square feet in area and their design shall integrate with the color and materials of the building.
6. One freestanding monument sign shall be permitted within 20 feet of each driveway access to a public right-of-way. The maximum sign area shall be 24 square feet. Monument signs must be positioned to meet the City's clear vision standards. The maximum height from the ground of the monument sign shall be 6 feet.
7. Each building may have a total of two signs per tenant identifying the leased/occupied space. These signs must be located on the façade containing the primary entry or façade immediately adjacent to the primary entry to the tenant's space. In all cases these signs must be on a wall attached to the space occupied by the tenant. Tenants may select from the following sign types: Awning, Project/Blade or Wall.
 - A. Awning Sign
 - i. Maximum sign area shall be 6 square feet on the main awning face or 3 square feet of the awning valance.
 - ii. Lettering may appear but shall not dominate sloped or curved portions, and lettering and signboard may be integrated along the valance or fascia, or free-standing letters mounted on top of and extending above the awning fascia.
 - iii. Lettering and signboard may be integrated along the valance or awning fascia.
 - B. Projecting and Blade Sign
 - i. Maximum sign area shall be 4 square feet (per side).
 - ii. The sign must be located with the lower edge of the signboard no closer than 8 feet to the sidewalk and the top of the sign no more 14 feet above the sidewalk.
 - iii. For multi-story buildings, at the ground floor tenant space signage, the top signboard edge shall be no higher than the sill or bottom of the average second story window height.
 - iv. Distance from building wall to signboard shall be a maximum of 6 inches.

- v. Maximum signboard width shall be 3 feet with no dimension to exceed 3'.
- vi. Occupants/tenants above the street level are prohibited from having projecting blade signage.

C. Wall Signs

- i. Maximum sign area shall be a maximum of 10 square feet. For small tenant spaces the ARC may limit sign size to less than 10 square feet.
 - ii. The sign shall be located on the tenant's portion of the building. Maximum sign height for multiple story buildings shall be 14 feet above the sidewalk to the top of the sign. The maximum sign height for single story buildings is 18 feet above the sidewalks to the top of the sign. The measurement is from the top of the sign to the lowest point on the sidewalk directly below the sign.
 - iii. Applied lettering may be substituted for wall signs. Lettering must fit within the size criteria above.
- j. Outside lighting must be directed away from residential areas and public streets.
6. No use of any retail commercial use shall normally occur between the hours of 1:00 a.m. and 5:00 a.m.
7. All business, service, repair, processing, storage, or merchandise displays shall be conducted wholly within an enclosed building except for the following:
- a. Off-street parking and loading;
 - b. Temporary display and sales of merchandise, providing it is under cover of a projecting roof and does not interfere with pedestrian or automobile circulation;
 - c. Seating for food and beverage establishments; and
 - d. Food carts.
8. Prior to any future development of the site, a traffic impact analysis shall be provided. The traffic impact analysis shall include an analysis of the internal circulation system, the shared access points, and the traffic-carrying capacity of all adjacent streets and streets required to provide eventual access to Baker Creek Road. The traffic impact analysis shall include an analysis of the intersection of Baker Creek Road and Michelbook Lane and the intersection of Baker Creek Road and Highway 99W, but shall not be limited to only those intersections.
9. The minimum commercial development shall be five acres. Five acres of this site must retain ground floor commercial uses, allowing multiple family development to occur on the remainder of the site and as part of a mixed-use development. The five acres of commercial development will be calculated based upon all of the development requirements associated with the commercial development including any standards related to the mixed-use residential development.

3. That this Ordinance shall take effect 30 days after its passage by the City Council.

Passed by the Council this 24th day of March, 2020, by the following votes:

Ayes: _____

Nays: _____

MAYOR

Attest:

Approved as to form:

CITY RECORDER

CITY ATTORNEY



**CITY OF MCMINNVILLE
PLANNING DEPARTMENT**
231 NE FIFTH STREET
MCMINNVILLE, OR 97128

503-434-7311
www.mcminnvilleoregon.gov

DECISION, CONDITIONS, FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONARY FINDINGS FOR THE APPROVAL OF A PLANNED DEVELOPMENT AMENDMENT TO AMEND THE CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL AND REDUCE THE SIZE OF AN EXISTING PLANNED DEVELOPMENT OVERLAY DISTRICT AT THE NORTHEAST QUADRANT OF THE INTERSECTION OF NW HILL ROAD AND NW BAKER CREEK ROAD AND AMEND THE EXISTING CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

DOCKET: PDA 2-19 (Planned Development Amendment)

REQUEST: Approval to amend an existing Planned Development Overlay District to reduce the size of the existing Planned Development Overlay District to the size of a proposed 6.62 acre C-3 (General Commercial) site and amending the conditions of approval of the Commercial Planned Development Overlay District to allow up to 120 multiple family dwelling units and require a minimum of 2 acres of neighborhood commercial uses on the site.

LOCATION: The property is described as Exhibit C in Instrument No. 201904865, Yamhill County Deed Records, and a portion of Exhibit C in Instrument No. 201904867, Yamhill County Deed Records. The property is also identified as a portion of Tax Lot 100, Section 18, T. 4 S., R. 4 W., W.M.

ZONING: C-3 (General Commercial)

APPLICANT: Stafford Development Company, LLC

STAFF: Chuck Darnell, Senior Planner

DATE DEEMED COMPLETE: October 11, 2019

HEARINGS BODY & ACTION: The McMinnville Planning Commission makes a recommendation for approval or denial to the City Council.

HEARING DATE & LOCATION: December 5, 2019, Civic Hall, 200 NE 2nd Street, McMinnville, Oregon.

HEARINGS BODY & ACTION: The McMinnville City Council approves or denies the land-use application.

HEARING DATE & LOCATION: January 28, 2020, March 10, 2020, and March 24, 2020, Civic Hall, 200 NE 2nd Street, McMinnville Oregon

PROCEDURE: An application for a Planned Development Amendment is processed in accordance with the procedures in Section 17.72.120 of the McMinnville Municipal Code. The application is reviewed by the Planning Commission in accordance with the quasi-judicial public hearing procedures specified in Section 17.72.130 of the McMinnville Municipal Code.

CRITERIA: The applicable criteria for a Planned Development Amendment are specified in Section 17.74.070 of the McMinnville Municipal Code. In addition, the goals, policies, and proposals in Volume II of the Comprehensive Plan are to be applied to all land use decisions as criteria for approval, denial, or modification of the proposed request. Goals and policies are mandated; all land use decisions must conform to the applicable goals and policies of Volume II. "Proposals" specified in Volume II are not mandated, but are to be undertaken in relation to all applicable land use requests.

APPEAL: As specified in Section 17.72.190 of the McMinnville Municipal Code, the City Council's decision may be appealed to the Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) within 21 (twenty-one) days of the date written notice of decision is mailed. The City's final decision is subject to a 120 day processing timeline, including resolution of any local appeal. The 120 day deadline was February 8, 2020. However, the applicant, on the record during the January 28, 2020 public hearing requested that the deadline be extended to March 10, 2020, and then at the March 10, 2020 City Council meeting, requested that the 120 day deadline be extended to March 24, 2020.

COMMENTS: This matter was referred to the following public agencies for comment: McMinnville Fire Department, Police Department, Engineering Department, Building Department, Parks Department, City Manager, and City Attorney; McMinnville Water and Light; McMinnville School District No. 40; Yamhill County Public Works; Yamhill County Planning Department; Oregon Department of State Lands, Bonneville Power Administration, Recology Western Oregon, Frontier Communications; Comcast; Northwest Natural Gas; and Oregon Department of Transportation. The matter was also referred to the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development. Their comments are provided in this document.

DECISION

Based on the findings and conclusionary findings, the City Council finds the applicable criteria are satisfied and **APPROVES** the Planned Development Amendment (PDA 2-19), **subject to the conditions of approval provided in Section II of this document.**

//
DECISION: APPROVAL WITH CONDITIONS
 //

City Council: _____ Date: _____
 Scott Hill, Mayor of McMinnville

Planning Commission: _____ Date: _____
 Roger Hall, Chair of the McMinnville Planning Commission

Planning Department: _____ Date: _____
Heather Richards, Planning Director

I. APPLICATION SUMMARY:

The applicant has provided information in their application narrative and findings (attached as Attachment 1) regarding the history of land use decisions for the subject site(s) and the request(s) under consideration. The City has found the information provided to accurately reflect the current Planned Development Amendment request and the relevant background, and excerpted portions are provided below to give context to the request, in addition to the City's comments.

Subject Property & Request

The subject property is located at the northeast quadrant of the intersection of NW Hill Road and NW Baker Creek Road. The property is described as Exhibit C in Instrument No. 201904865, Yamhill County Deed Records, and a portion of Exhibit C in Instrument No. 201904867. The property is also identified as a portion of Tax Lot 100, Section 18, T. 4 S., R. 4 W., W.M.

The application (PDA 2-19) is a request for a Planned Development Amendment to reduce the size of the existing Planned Development Overlay District governed by Ordinance 4633 to the size of a proposed 6.62 acre C-3 (General Commercial) site and amending the conditions of approval of the Commercial Planned Development Overlay District to allow up to 120 multiple family dwelling units and require a minimum of 2 acres of neighborhood commercial uses on the site. The Planned Development Amendment request was submitted for review concurrently with five other land use applications, as allowed by Section 17.72.070 of the MMC. The requested amendment is being reviewed concurrently with a Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment, Zone Change, Planned Development, Subdivision, and Landscape Plan Review to allow for the development of a 280 lot subdivision and future commercial development.

Excerpts from Land Use Application Narrative and Findings:

“The applicant is requesting to amend the boundary of the planned development overlay, as previously delineated by Ordinance 4633, to correspond to the current boundary of Parcel A of this application. Furthermore, the applicant requests to replace the two conditions of approval of the planned development overlay created under Ordinance 4633 with the following conditions:

1. No more than 120 multi-family units may be developed on the site.
2. At least 2-acres of neighborhood commercial uses shall be developed on the site.

The proposed conditions of approval would allow for a future development application to include a request for neighborhood commercial and multi-family residential uses allowed in the C3 zone.”


See Vicinity Map (Figure 1), Ordinance No. 4633 Planned Development Boundary (Figure 2), and CPA 1-19 Commercially Designated Area - Proposed Site Plan (Figure 3) below.

Figure 1. Vicinity Map (Subject Site Area Approximate)



Figure 2. Ordinance No. 4633 Planned Development Boundary

Existing Comprehensive Plan Map & Planned Development (Ord No. 4633) Boundary Baker Creek North Area



City of McMinnville
Planning Department
231 NE Fifth Street
McMinnville, OR 97128
(503) 434-7311

-  Tax Lots
-  Existing PD Boundary (Ord 4633)

Comprehensive Plan

-  Residential
-  Commercial
-  Industrial
-  Mixed Use Urban
-  Flood Plain





Figure 3. CPA 1-19 Commercially Designated Area

***Note – Parcel A identifies proposed reduced size of Planned Development Overlay District**



Background

Excerpts from Land Use Application Narrative and Findings:

“Ordinance 4633 was approved in October of 1996 resulting in the commercial designation of 11.3 acres of the site and a commercial planned development overlay (C3-PD) which restricts development with two conditions of approval.

* * *

The applicant is requesting to amend the boundary of the planned development overlay, as previously delineated by Ordinance 4633, to correspond to the current boundary of Parcel A of this application. Furthermore, the applicant requests to replace the two conditions of approval of the planned development overlay created under Ordinance 4633 with the following conditions:

1. No more than 120 multi-family units may be developed on the site.
2. At least 2-acres of neighborhood commercial uses shall be developed on the site.

The proposed conditions of approval would allow for a future development application to include a request for neighborhood commercial and multi-family residential uses allowed in the C3 zone.

It is the applicant's understanding that this area was designated commercial at a time when expansion of the City's urban growth boundary (UGB) westward was being pursued and this commercial area was hoped to be a large commercial center for McMinnville's (north)westward expansion. This UGB expansion to the northwest did not materialize. This has left the site with a glut of commercial land on the fringe of the urban area in a market that cannot support that much commercial land on the edge of town. The applicant, being a developer who has owned the site for almost four years, and having purchased it from a bankruptcy trustee, attests to this lack of demand for so much commercial land based on the lack of interest from others in the property for such uses. The commercially designated area is too large for the current pattern of development in McMinnville. A large commercial development is not appropriate since it would drain economic activity from the downtown commercial core and other established commercial centers in McMinnville. The proposed planned development amendment as established by Ordinance No. 4633, and an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan Map to decrease the area designated commercial as proposed, will allow the remaining commercial area to be regulated under current C3 zone standards. This will allow the property to more freely meet the market needs for uses allowed by the C3 zone, supporting a mix of uses such as neighborhood commercial and needed multi-family housing. The proposed amended planned development conditions will ensure this outcome."

Clarification of Land Use History

Ordinance 4633, which is the subject of this Planned Development Amendment, was adopted on October 6, 1996, and adopted a Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment of approximately 12.34 acres of land as a Commercial designation (Section 2 of Ordinance No. 4633), and also placed a Planned Development Overlay District on the same property (Section 3 of Ordinance No. 4633). These actions were processed under land use application docket number CPA 2-96.

The Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment reviewed and approved under docket number CPA 2-96 and Ordinance 4633 was applied for in response to another Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment and Planned Development request reviewed under land use application dockets CPA 1-96 and ZC 1-96. Applications CPA 1-96 and ZC 1-96 were associated with land south of Baker Creek Road, and amended the Comprehensive Plan Map designation of some of that land from Commercial to Residential and also approved a Planned Development Overlay District south of Baker Creek Road.

Ordinance 4633, which adopted a Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment of approximately 12.34 acres of land as a Commercial designation, and placed a Planned Development Overlay District on the same property, was approved with two conditions of approval. Those conditions of approval were identified in Section 3 of Ordinance 4633 in association with the Planned Development Overlay District and read as follows:

1. That development of the site is subject to the requirements of McMinnville Ordinance No. 4605, Section 2(a) – (g).
2. That no multiple-family residential use shall be allowed on the site.

The first condition of approval references a section within Ordinance 4605. However, Ordinance 4605 does not contain subsections (a) – (g) within Section 2, and Ordinance 4605 was associated with the approval of the annexation of property near Highway 18 and Old Sheridan Road into the City of McMinnville. Upon further inspection, condition #1 in Ordinance 4633 was intended to reference Section 2 (a) – (g) of Ordinance 4506, and it appears that there was a clerical error in the drafting of the

language within Ordinance 4633. The staff report on file with the McMinnville Planning Department for docket number CPA 2-96 includes references to Ordinance 4506, and Ordinance 4506 is also attached to the staff report. The staff report suggests that the conditions of approval from Ordinance 4506 be applied to the site that was the subject of CPA 2-96 and eventually approved by Ordinance 4633.

The applicant is requesting that the two existing conditions of approval within Ordinance 4633 be removed and replaced with the new conditions of approval referenced above as a Planned Development Amendment. The City does not believe that Ordinance No. 4626 is applicable to this review, but does agree that the applicant has the right to apply to amend the Planned Development Overlay conditions of approval in Ordinance No. 4633. This will be discussed in more detail in the findings for applicable policies and review criteria in Section VII (Conclusionary Findings) below.

Finally, the Planned Development Overlay District regulated by Ordinance 4633 was more recently amended by Ordinance 5076, which reduced the size of the Planned Development Overlay District to the existing 11.3 acres that are included in the Baker Creek North site. The reduction of the size of the Planned Development Overlay District resulted in the removal of the McMinnville Water and Light substation property from the overlay district. Therefore, the remaining 11.3 acres of the Planned Development Overlay District within the Baker Creek North site is the entirety of the remaining Planned Development Overlay District.

Of these 11.3 acres, CPA 1-19 (Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment application), if approved and is being considered as part of this project bundle of land-use decision, would amend the Comprehensive Plan Map for the commercially designated land associated with the Planned Development Overlay in Ordinance No. 4633 (as amended by Ordinance No. 5076) from 11.3 acres to 6.62 acres.

Summary of Criteria & Issues

The application (PDA 2-19) is subject to Planned Development Amendment review criteria in Section 17.74.070 of the Zoning Ordinance. An amendment to an existing planned development may be either major or minor. Minor changes to an adopted site plan may be approved by the Planning Director. Major changes to an adopted site plan shall be processed in accordance with Section 17.72.120.

The goals and policies in Volume II of the Comprehensive Plan are also independent approval criteria for all land use decisions.

The specific review criteria for Planned Development Amendments in Section 17.74.070 of the McMinnville Zoning Ordinance require the applicant to demonstrate that:

- A. There are special physical conditions or objectives of a development which the proposal will satisfy to warrant a departure from the standard regulation requirements;
- B. Resulting development will not be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan objectives of the area;
- C. The development shall be designed so as to provide for adequate access to and efficient provision of services to adjoining parcels;
- D. The plan can be completed within a reasonable period of time;
- E. The streets are adequate to support the anticipated traffic, and the development will not overload the streets outside the planned area;

- F. Proposed utility and drainage facilities are adequate for the population densities and type of development proposed;
- G. The noise, air, and water pollutants caused by the development do not have an adverse effect upon surrounding areas, public utilities, or the city as a whole.

The applicant has provided findings to support the request for a Planned Development Amendment. These will be discussed in detail in Section VII (Conclusionary Findings) below.

Generally, the purpose of a planned development is to provide greater flexibility and greater freedom of design in the development of land than may be possible under strict interpretation of the provisions of the zoning ordinance. Further, the purpose of a planned development is to encourage a variety in the development pattern of the community; encourage mixed uses in a planned area; encourage developers to use a creative approach and apply new technology in land development; preserve significant man-made and natural features; facilitate a desirable aesthetic and efficient use of open space; and create public and private common open spaces. A planned development is not intended to be simply a guise to circumvent the intent of the zoning ordinance.

Consideration of a planned development request is discretionary in nature and includes weighing the additional benefits provided to the development and city as a whole through the planned development process that go above and beyond what would be provided through a standard land use application against the applicable zoning requirements. In this case, the subject site is already regulated by an existing Planned Development (Ordinance No. 4633) and the request is to reduce the size of the Planned Development Overlay District to the size of the commercially designated area identified by the comprehensive plan map amendment (CPA 1-19) associated with this development project, 6.62 acres that will be rezoned to C-3 (General Commercial) with a zoning map amendment request (ZC 1-19) also associated with this development project, and amend the conditions of approval of the Commercial Planned Development Overlay District to allow up to 120 multiple family dwelling units and require a minimum of 2 acres of neighborhood commercial uses on the site.

The requests for a Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment and Zone Change were submitted for concurrent review with this Planned Development Amendment request, which if all approved, would result in the reduced Planned Development Overlay District having a Commercial designation on the Comprehensive Plan Map and being zoned C-3.

II. CONDITIONS:

1. Ordinance 4633 is repealed in its entirety.
2. That up to 120 multiple family dwelling units are allowed within the Planned Development Overlay District, but only if the multiple family units are integrated with neighborhood commercial uses. "Integrated" means that uses are within a comfortable walking distance and are connected to each other with direct, convenient and attractive sidewalks and/or pathways. This integration of multiple family units and neighborhood commercial uses shall either be within a mixed use building or in a development plan that integrates the uses between buildings in a manner found acceptable to the Planning Commission.
3. For the purposes of this Planned Development Overlay District, allowed neighborhood commercial uses are defined as those that are permitted in the C-1 (Neighborhood Business) zone in Section 17.27.010 of the MMC. In addition, "Restaurant" shall be permitted as a neighborhood commercial use in this Planned Development Overlay District. No retail uses should exceed 10,000 square feet in size, except for grocery stores. The applicant may request

any other use to be considered permitted within the Planned Development Overlay District at the time of the submittal of detailed development plans for the site.

4. That stand-alone drive-through facilities shall be prohibited within the Planned Development Overlay District.
5. Detailed development plans showing elevations, site layout, signing, landscaping, parking, and lighting must be submitted to and approved by the Planning Commission before actual development may take place. The provisions of Chapter 17.51 of the McMinnville Zoning Ordinance may be used to place conditions on any development and to determine whether or not specific uses are permissible. The detailed development plans shall identify the site design components listed below. The applicant may propose alternative design components when detailed development plans are submitted for review. The Planning Commission may review and approve these alternative design components if they are found to be consistent with the intent of the required site design components listed below.
 - a. That the future commercial development of the site is designed with shared access points and shared internal circulation. Parking and vehicle drives shall be located away from building entrances, and not between a building entrance and the street, except as may be allowed when a direct pedestrian connection is provided from the sidewalk to the building entrance.
 - b. Parking shall be oriented behind the buildings or on the sides. Surface parking shall not exceed 110% of the minimum parking requirements for the subject land uses. Shared parking is encouraged. The applicant may request a reduction to or waiver of parking standards based on a parking impact study. The study allows the applicant to propose a reduced parking standard based on estimated peak use, reductions due to easy pedestrian accessibility; and a significant bicycle corral that is connected to the BPA bicycle/pedestrian trail. Parking lot landscaping will meet or exceed city standards.
 - c. Buildings shall be oriented towards the surrounding right-of-ways and must have at least one primary entrance directly fronting a public right-of-way. Building facades shall be designed to be human scale, for aesthetic appeal, pedestrian comfort, and compatibility with the design character of the surrounding neighborhoods. Special attention should be paid to roof forms, rhythm of windows and doors, and general relationship of buildings to public spaces such as streets, plazas, the public parks and the adjacent neighborhood. No building shall exceed a height of two stories without a variance. If any building is proposed to exceed 35 feet, the building shall be designed with a step back in the building wall above 35 feet to reduce the visual impact of the height of the building.
 - d. Pedestrian connections shall be provided between surrounding sidewalks and right-of-ways. The plans shall also identify how the development provides pedestrian connections to adjacent residential development and the BPA Bike/Pedestrian Trail system located adjacent and to the east of the site.
 - e. The commercial development shall maximize connectivity with the BPA Bike/Pedestrian Trail and the other adjacent public parks but minimize bicycle and pedestrian conflicts within the site.
 - f. Sidewalks and/or plazas will be provided with weather protection (e.g. awnings/canopies). Appropriate pedestrian amenities such as space for outdoor seating, trash cans, sidewalk displays, outdoor café seating and public art will also be provided.
 - g. That landscape plans be submitted to and approved by the McMinnville Landscape Review Committee. A minimum of 14 percent of the site must be landscaped with emphasis placed at the street frontage. All public right-of-ways adjacent to the site will be improved with street tree planting as required by Chapter 17.58 of the MMC.

- h. The plan must provide a community gathering space that is easily accessible via pedestrian and bicycle access from all of the uses within the commercial development as well as the adjacent BPA Bike/Pedestrian Trail. If multiple family dwelling units are developed on the site, a minimum of 10 percent of the site must be designated as usable open space. The usable open space will be in addition to the minimum 14 percent of the site that must be landscaped, and may be combined with the community gathering space required for the commercial uses. The usable open space shall be in a location of the site that is easily accessible from all buildings and uses, shall not be located in a remnant area of the site, and shall not be disconnected from buildings by parking or driving areas.
- i. That signs located within the planned development site be subject to the following limitations:
 1. All signs, if illuminated, must be indirectly illuminated and nonflashing, and the light source may not be visible from any public right of way and may not shine up into the night sky;
 2. No individual sign exceeding thirty-six (36) square feet in size shall be allowed.
 3. Internally illuminated, signs on roofs, chimney and balconies, and off-site signage are prohibited.
 4. Each building may have a maximum of two signs to identify the name and street address of the building. These signs must be integral to the architecture and building design and convey a sense of permanence. Typically these sign are secondary or tertiary building elements as seen on historic urban buildings. Maximum sign area shall be no more than 6 square feet. Maximum sign height shall be 18 feet above the sidewalk to the top of the sign.
 5. Each building may have one directory sign immediately adjacent to a front/main or rear entry to the building. A directory sign is allowed at each entry to a common space that provides access to multiple tenants. Directory signs shall be limited to 12 square feet in area and their design shall integrate with the color and materials of the building.
 6. One freestanding monument sign shall be permitted within 20 feet of each driveway access to a public right-of-way. The maximum sign area shall be 24 square feet. Monument signs must be positioned to meet the City's clear vision standards. The maximum height from the ground of the monument sign shall be 6 feet.
 7. Each building may have a total of two signs per tenant identifying the leased/occupied space. These signs must be located on the façade containing the primary entry or façade immediately adjacent to the primary entry to the tenant's space. In all cases these signs must be on a wall attached to the space occupied by the tenant. Tenants may select from the following sign types: Awning, Project/Blade or Wall.
 - A. Awning Sign
 - i. Maximum sign area shall be 6 square feet on the main awning face or 3 square feet of the awning valance.
 - ii. Lettering may appear but shall not dominate sloped or curved portions, and lettering and signboard may be integrated along the valance or fascia, or free-standing letters mounted on top of and extending above the awning fascia.
 - iii. Lettering and signboard may be integrated along the valance or awning fascia.
 - B. Projecting and Blade Sign
 - i. Maximum sign area shall be 4 square feet (per side).

- ii. The sign must be located with the lower edge of the signboard no closer than 8 feet to the sidewalk and the top of the sign no more 14 feet above the sidewalk.
- iii. For multi-story buildings, at the ground floor tenant space signage, the top signboard edge shall be no higher than the sill or bottom of the average second story window height.
- iv. Distance from building wall to signboard shall be a maximum of 6 inches.
- v. Maximum signboard width shall be 3 feet with no dimension to exceed 3'.
- vi. Occupants/tenants above the street level are prohibited from having projecting blade signage.

C. Wall Signs

- i. Maximum sign area shall be a maximum of 10 square feet. For small tenant spaces the ARC may limit sign size to less than 10 square feet.
 - ii. The sign shall be located on the tenant's portion of the building. Maximum sign height for multiple story buildings shall be 14 feet above the sidewalk to the top of the sign. The maximum sign height for single story buildings is 18 feet above the sidewalks to the top of the sign. The measurement is from the top of the sign to the lowest point on the sidewalk directly below the sign.
 - iii. Applied lettering may be substituted for wall signs. Lettering must fit within the size criteria above.
- j. Outside lighting must be directed away from residential areas and public streets.

- 6. No use of any retail commercial use shall normally occur between the hours of 1:00 a.m. and 5:00 a.m.
- 7. All business, service, repair, processing, storage, or merchandise displays shall be conducted wholly within an enclosed building except for the following:
 - a. Off-street parking and loading;
 - b. Temporary display and sales of merchandise, providing it is under cover of a projecting roof and does not interfere with pedestrian or automobile circulation;
 - c. Seating for food and beverage establishments; and
 - d. Food carts.
- 8. Prior to any future development of the site, a traffic impact analysis shall be provided. The traffic impact analysis shall include an analysis of the internal circulation system, the shared access points, and the traffic-carrying capacity of all adjacent streets and streets required to provide eventual access to Baker Creek Road. The traffic impact analysis shall include an analysis of the intersection of Baker Creek Road and Michelbook Lane and the intersection of Baker Creek Road and Highway 99W, but shall not be limited to only those intersections.
- 9. The minimum commercial development shall be five acres. Five acres of this site must retain ground floor commercial uses, allowing multiple family development to occur on the remainder of the site and as part of a mixed-use development. The five acres of commercial development will be calculated based upon all of the development requirements associated with the commercial development including any standards related to the mixed-use residential development.

III. ATTACHMENTS:

1. PDA 2-19 Application and Attachments (on file with the Planning Department)
2. Agency Comments (on file with the Planning Department)
3. Testimony Received (on file with the Planning Department)
 - a. Public Testimony
 - i. Patty O'Leary, 2325 SW Homer Ross Loop, Emailed letter received December 4, 2019 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - ii. Markus Pfahler, 2515 West Wind Drive, Letter received December 5, 2019 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - iii. Patty O'Leary, 2325 SW Homer Ross Loop, Emailed letter received January 24, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - iv. Jeff and Lori Zumwalt, Premier Home Builders, Inc., Letter received January 24, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - v. Patty O'Leary, 2325 SW Homer Ross Loop, Emailed letter received January 26, 2020 (dated January 27, 2020) (on file with the Planning Department)
 - vi. Steve Dow, Black Hawk Homes, LLC, Emailed letter received January 28, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - vii. Vince Vinceri, Symbiotik Development, LLC, Emailed letter received January 28, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - viii. Markus Pfahler, 2515 West Wind Drive, Emailed letter received January 28, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - ix. Mike Colvin, Letter received January 28, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - x. Stafford Development Company (Applicant), Memorandum from Frank Charbonneau received January 28, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - xi. Linda Lindsay, Letter received at public hearing on January 28, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - xii. Sandy Colvin, Traffic report data received January 29, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - xiii. Jim Cena, 15080 NW Blacktail Court, Email received January 30, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - xiv. Larry and Hersheil Steward, 14200 NW Orchard View Road, Email received January 30, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - xv. Caroline Moore, 205 NE 6th Street, Email received January 31, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - xvi. Nancy and Surinder Singh, 2200 SW West Wind Drive, Email received February 1, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - xvii. David Cutter, 15000 NW Blacktail Lane, Emailed letter received February 3, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - xviii. Lane Roemmick, Email received February 3, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - xix. Jim and Jean Semph, 2175 SW Homer Ross Loop, Email received February 3, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - xx. Vincent Taft and Allison Best, 2025 SW Fox Swale Lane, Email received February 3, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - xxi. Patrick Stinson, 2065 NW Willamette Drive, Emailed letter received February 3, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - xxii. Mike Colvin, Letter received February 3, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - xxiii. Gary and Suzanne Farmer, Email received February 3, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)

- xxiv. Patty O'Leary, 2325 SW Homer Ross Loop, Emailed letter received February 3, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - xxv. Rick Weidner, 2075 SW Sailing Court, Email received February 3, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - xxvi. Kari Rex, Email received February 4, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - xxvii. Melba Smith, 2780 NW Pinot Noir Drive, Email received February 4, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - xxviii. Markus Pfahler, 2515 West Wind Drive, Emailed letter received February 4, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - xxix. Linda Lindsay, Email received February 4, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - xxx. Scott Larsen, Email received February 4, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - xxxi. Cathy Goekler, 2684 NW Pinot Noir Drive, Emailed letter received February 4, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - xxxii. Stafford Development Company (Applicant), Emailed letter received February 4, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - xxxiii. Mike Colvin, Email with rebuttal testimony received February 5, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - xxxiv. Stafford Development Company (Applicant), Emailed letter with rebuttal testimony received February 11, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
- b. Staff Memorandums
- i. Planning Department Staff, Memorandum describing revisions to conditions of approval, December 5, 2019 (on file with the Planning Department)
 - ii. Planning Department Staff, Memorandum describing additional testimony received prior to January 28, 2020 public hearing, January 27, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
4. CPA 1-19, ZC 1-19, PDA 2-19, PD 1-19, S 1-19, L 12-19 Staff Report, December 5, 2019 (on file with the Planning Department)
 5. CPA 1-19, ZC 1-19, PDA 2-19, PD 1-19, S 1-19, L 12-19 Staff Report, January 14, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 6. CPA 1-19, ZC 1-19, PDA 2-19, PD 1-19, S 1-19, L 12-19 Staff Report, January 28, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 7. CPA 1-19, ZC 1-19, PDA 2-19, PD 1-19, S 1-19, L 12-19 Staff Report, March 10, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)
 8. CPA 1-19, ZC 1-19, PDA 2-19, PD 1-19, S 1-19, L 12-19 Staff Report, March 24, 2020 (on file with the Planning Department)

IV. COMMENTS:

Agency Comments

This matter was referred to the following public agencies for comment: McMinnville Fire Department, Police Department, Parks and Recreation Department, Engineering and Building Departments, City Manager, and City Attorney, McMinnville School District No. 40, McMinnville Water and Light, Yamhill County Public Works, Yamhill County Planning Department, Oregon Department of State Lands, Bonneville Power Administration, Recology Western Oregon, Frontier Communications, Comcast, Northwest Natural Gas. The matter was also referred to the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development. The following comments were received:

- McMinnville Engineering Department

The Engineering Department provided comments, but they were applicable to the Planned Development and Tentative Subdivision applications that were submitted for concurrent review with the Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment request. The Engineering Department comments are included in the Decision Documents for the Planned Development and Tentative Subdivision land use applications.

- McMinnville Water and Light

Included as Attachment #2

- Oregon Department of State Lands

Sounds like you screened previously for wetlands and waters, found none and went forward. I did a quick check and we didn't have any records about these sites in our database. We would have no comment on the changes proposed.

Public Comments

Notice of this request was mailed to property owners located within 300 feet of the subject site. Notice of the public hearing was also provided in the News Register on Tuesday, November 26, 2019. As of the date of the Planning Commission public hearing on December 5, 2019, one item of public testimony had been received by the Planning Department. One additional item of written testimony was submitted at the December 5, 2019 public hearing. Those items of testimony are described in Section III (Attachments) above.

V. FINDINGS OF FACT - PROCEDURAL FINDINGS

1. The applicant, Stafford Development Company, LLC, held a neighborhood meeting on November 1, 2018.
2. The applicant submitted five land use applications (CPA 1-19, ZC 1-19, PD 1-19, S 1-19, L 12-19) on April 26, 2019.
3. Those application materials were deemed incomplete on May 30, 2019. One of the reasons for the applications being deemed incomplete is that they impacted the land regulated by Planned Development Overlay District Ordinance No. 4633, and the applicant had not addressed the Planned Development Amendment review process or criteria. The applicant submitted revised application materials on September 11, 2019, which included the Planned Development Amendment request.
4. Based on the revised application submittal, the application was deemed complete on October 11, 2019. Based on that date, the 120 day land use decision time limit expires on February 8, 2020.
5. Notice of the application was referred to the following public agencies for comment in accordance with Section 17.72.120 of the Zoning Ordinance: McMinnville Fire Department, Police Department, Parks and Recreation Department, Engineering and Building Departments, City Manager, and City Attorney, McMinnville School District No. 40, McMinnville Water and Light, Yamhill County Public Works, Yamhill County Planning Department, Oregon Department of State Lands, Bonneville Power Administration, Recology Western Oregon, Frontier

Communications, Comcast, Northwest Natural Gas. The matter was also referred to the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development.

Comments received from agencies are addressed in the Decision Document.

6. Notice of the application and the December 5, 2019 Planning Commission public hearing was mailed to property owners within 300 feet of the subject property in accordance with Section 17.72.120 of the Zoning Ordinance on Thursday, November 7, 2019. Notice of the application was also provided to the Department of Land Conservation and Development on October 16, 2019.
7. Notice of the application and the December 5, 2019 Planning Commission public hearing was published in the News Register on Tuesday, November 26, 2019, in accordance with Section 17.72.120 of the Zoning Ordinance.
8. No public testimony was submitted to the Planning Department prior to the Planning Commission public hearing.
9. On December 5, 2019, the Planning Commission held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the request.

VI. FINDINGS OF FACT – GENERAL FINDINGS

1. **Location:** The property is described as Exhibit C in Instrument No. 201904865, Yamhill County Deed Records, and a portion of Exhibit C in Instrument No. 201904867. The property is also identified as a portion of Tax Lot 100, Section 18, T. 4 S., R. 4 W., W.M
2. **Size:** Approximately 11.3 acres.
3. **Comprehensive Plan Map Designation:** Commercial
4. **Zoning:** EF-80 (Exclusive Farm Use)
5. **Overlay Zones/Special Districts:** Planned Development Overlay District (Ordinance No. 4633)
6. **Current Use:** Vacant
7. **Inventoried Significant Resources:**
 - a. **Historic Resources:** None
 - b. **Other:** None
8. **Other Features:** The site is generally flat, with a minor slope to the north. There are no significant or distinguishing natural features associated with this property.
9. **Utilities:**
 - a. **Water:** Water service is available to the subject site.
 - b. **Electric:** Power service is available to the subject site.
 - c. **Sewer:** Sanitary sewer service is available to the subject site.
 - d. **Stormwater:** Storm sewer service is available to the subject site.
 - e. **Other Services:** Other utility services are available to the subject site. Northwest Natural Gas and Comcast is available to serve the site.

10. **Transportation:** The site is adjacent to NW Baker Creek Road, which is identified as a minor arterial in the McMinnville Transportation System Plan.

VII. CONCLUSIONARY FINDINGS:

The Conclusionary Findings are the findings regarding consistency with the applicable criteria for the application. The applicable criteria for a Planned Development Amendment are specified in Section 17.74.070 of the Zoning Ordinance.

In addition, the goals, policies, and proposals in Volume II of the Comprehensive Plan are to be applied to all land use decisions as criteria for approval, denial, or modification of the proposed request. Goals and policies are mandated; all land use decisions must conform to the applicable goals and policies of Volume II. "Proposals" specified in Volume II are not mandated, but are to be undertaken in relation to all applicable land use requests.

Comprehensive Plan Volume II:

The following Goals, Policies, and Proposals from Volume II of the Comprehensive Plan provide criteria applicable to this request:

The implementation of most goals, policies, and proposals as they apply to this application are accomplished through the provisions, procedures, and standards in the city codes and master plans, which are sufficient to adequately address applicable goals, policies, and proposals as they apply to this application.

The following additional findings are made relating to specific Goals and Policies:

GOAL IV 1: TO ENCOURAGE THE CONTINUED GROWTH AND DIVERSIFICATION OF McMINNVILLE'S ECONOMY IN ORDER TO ENHANCE THE GENERAL WELL-BEING OF THE COMMUNITY AND PROVIDE EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES FOR ITS CITIZENS.

GOAL IV 2: TO ENCOURAGE THE CONTINUED GROWTH OF McMINNVILLE AS THE COMMERCIAL CENTER OF YAMHILL COUNTY IN ORDER TO PROVIDE EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES, GOODS, AND SERVICES FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY RESIDENTS.

Policy 21.01 The City shall periodically update its economic opportunities analysis to ensure that it has within its urban growth boundary (UGB) a 20-year supply of lands designated for commercial and industrial uses. The City shall provide an adequate number of suitable, serviceable sites in appropriate locations within its UGB. If it should find that it does not have an adequate supply of lands designated for commercial or industrial use it shall take corrective actions which may include, but are not limited to, redesignation of lands for such purposes, or amending the UGB to include lands appropriate for industrial or commercial use. (Ord.4796, October 14, 2003)

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: This policy is supported by the applications for a Comprehensive Plan Map and Proposed Zoning Map amendment, along with the proposed Planned Development Amendment to replace the conditions of approval associated with the planned development overlay approved by Ordinance No. 4633. This will allow larger commercial uses to be developed and maintained in preferred business districts in the City. With the removal of Conditions 1 and 2 of the ordinance, at least 2-acres of neighborhood commercial use and no more than 120 multi-family dwelling units can be developed on the proposed commercial area of the site. With the proposed planned development amendment for Ordinance 4633, the

boundary of the current planned development overlay will be reduced to the size of the proposed C3 designated area, which is equal to 6.62 acres. (see Exhibit 3).

The applicant reviewed City documents and found that the City's last Economic Opportunity Analysis (EOA) was completed in 2013. The study concluded that that the Commercial land supply for the 2013-2033 planning period was deficient by 35.8 acres, while the Industrial land supply held a surplus. To adjust for the deficient Commercial land supply, the EOA recommends to re-designate excess industrial land for commercial use to make up for forecasted land needs. Since there are approximately 235.9 acres of Industrial land supply that can be converted to a Commercial designation, there is more than enough Industrial land to not only meet forecasted commercial land needs, but to also replace the proposed loss of commercial land on the subject site. Of the area removed from a commercial designation, about 2 acres is proposed right-of-way to support adjacent commercial and residential land use, so there is really only approximately 2.7 acres of functional land converted from commercial designation to residential.

As demonstrated by the attached Proposed Comprehensive Plan Map, the applicant is proposing to zone Commercial designated land at the intersection of NW Baker Creek Road and NW Hill Lane. The City has recently installed a roundabout at this location to serve as a new northwest gateway into McMinnville. This application does not include a specific development proposal for the C3 zoned land, however the intent is to facilitate future development of uses allowed in the C3 zone such as neighborhood commercial and multi-family housing. Therefore, the C3 zoned parcel is appropriately sized as proposed to support the development of commercial uses typical of this zone.

FINDING: SATISFIED WITH CONDITION OF APPROVAL #2 and #9. The amendment of the boundary of the Planned Development Overlay District is necessary to respond to the approval of the Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment and Zone Change on the subject site that were found to meet all applicable Comprehensive Plan goals, policies, and review criteria. The amendment of the boundary results in a reduction of the Planned Development Overlay District size to correspond with the 6.62 acre site that is designated as Commercial and zoned C-3 (General Commercial).

The suggested amendment of the existing conditions of approval could reduce the amount of the site being developed with commercial uses and services to only 2 acres of the site, with the remainder of the site being used as multifamily. To ensure that the site is still utilized for commercial use, a condition of approval is included to allow for multiple family dwelling units within the Planned Development Overlay District, but only if the multiple family units are integrated with commercial uses and that the minimum commercial acreage be increased to five acres. This integration of multiple family units and commercial uses shall either be within a mixed use building or in a development plan that integrates the uses between buildings in a manner found acceptable to the Planning Commission.

For the purposes of this Planned Development Overlay District, neighborhood commercial uses are defined as those that are permitted in the C-1 (Neighborhood Business) zone in Section 17.27.010 of the MMC. In addition, "Restaurants" shall be permitted as a neighborhood commercial use in this Planned Development Overlay District. The condition of approval allows for the applicant to request any other use to be considered permitted within the Planned Development Overlay District at the time of the submittal of detailed development plans for the site.

Policy 21.04 The City shall make infrastructure investments that support the economic development strategy a high priority, in order to attract high-wage employment.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The City has recently constructed a roundabout at the intersection of NW Hill Road and NW Baker Creek Road and also improved NW Hill Road North south of Baker Creek Road, adjacent to the site. The Commercial designated land is located adjacent to these roadways where recent City investments have provided the site with adequate access to public transportation and utility facilities. The City has also recently made improvements to the City's Sanitary Sewer system's capacity to facilitate additional development. The housing and commercial development at this site as proposed will capitalize on those City investments to support further economic development in the form of good housing for the local economy's workforce and appropriately scaled commercial area.

FINDING: SATISFIED. The City concurs with the applicant's findings.

Policy 21.05 Commercial uses and services which are not presently available to McMinnville residents will be encouraged to locate in the city. Such uses shall locate according to the goals and policies in the comprehensive plan.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The proposed C3 zoned area of the site is in an area already designated for commercial on the City's comprehensive plan. By allowing uses listed in the C-3 zone, development of the commercial area will occur according the City's comprehensive plan goals and policies.

FINDING: SATISFIED WITH CONDITION OF APPROVAL #2 and #9. Multi-family is an allowed use in the C-3 zone. However, the City would still like to see neighborhood serving commercial in this area that is large enough to serve the northwest residential development of McMinnville, approximately 1000 homes. In order to ensure that the 6.62 acres still has a significant amount of commercial development, two conditions of approval are included that will allow multiple family dwelling units as requested by the applicant, but only if the multiple family units are integrated with commercial uses, and that the minimum amount of acreage for commercial development is five acres and not two acres as requested by the applicant. This integration of multiple family units and commercial uses will ensure the provision of commercial uses and services that are not presently available to residents surrounding the subject site.

GOAL IV 3: TO ENSURE COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT THAT MAXIMIZES EFFICIENCY OF LAND USE THROUGH UTILIZATION OF EXISTING COMMERCIALY DESIGNATED LANDS, THROUGH APPROPRIATELY LOCATING FUTURE COMMERCIAL LANDS, AND DISCOURAGING STRIP DEVELOPMENT.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The proposed commercial area will maximize efficiency of land, as it is utilizing an area for commercial uses that is existing commercial designated land. The site is also not a strip of land, but rather a node at the intersection of two minor arterial streets.

FINDING: SATISFIED WITH CONDITION OF APROVALS #2, #3 and #9. The proposed Planned Development Amendment would reduce the size of the Planned Development Overlay District. However, the amendment of the boundary of the Planned Development Overlay District is necessary to respond to the approval of the Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment and Zone Change on the subject site that were found to meet all applicable Comprehensive Plan goals, policies, and review criteria. The amendment of the boundary results in a reduction of the Planned Development Overlay District size to correspond with the 6.62 acre site that is designated as Commercial and zoned C-3 (General Commercial).

The suggested amendment of the existing conditions of approval could reduce the amount of the site that could provide commercial uses and services to only 2 acres of the site, with the remainder of the site being used as multifamily. The integration of multifamily units could actually maximize the efficiency of the existing commercially designated land, if designed appropriately. To ensure that the development of the site maximizes the efficiency of the commercial land that is being reduced in size, two conditions of approval are included to allow for multiple family dwelling units within the Planned Development Overlay District, but only if the multiple family units are integrated with commercial uses and that the minimum amount of acreage for commercial development is five acres and not two acres as requested by the applicant. This integration of multiple family units and commercial uses shall either be within a mixed use building or in a development plan that integrates the uses between buildings in a manner found acceptable to the Planning Commission.

Additionally, per Comprehensive Plan Policy #27, "Neighborhood commercial uses will be allowed in residential areas. These commercial uses will consist only of neighborhood oriented businesses and will be located on collector and arterial streets. More intensive, large commercial uses will not be considered compatible with or be allowed in neighborhood commercial centers." As such, a condition of approval has also been provided that defines neighborhood commercial uses to ensure that this planned development amendment still achieves the city's vision of neighborhood serving commercial development in this northwest residential area, preserving the larger commercial land uses for C3 zones land along major commercial corridors. For the purposes of this Planned Development Overlay District, neighborhood commercial uses are defined as those that are permitted in the C-1 (Neighborhood Business) zone in Section 17.27.010 of the MMC. In addition, "Restaurants" shall be permitted as a neighborhood commercial use in this Planned Development Overlay District. The condition of approval allows for the applicant to request any other use to be considered permitted within the Planned Development Overlay District at the time of the submittal of detailed development plans for the site.

Policy 22.00 The maximum and most efficient use of existing commercially designated lands will be encouraged as will the revitalization and reuse of existing commercial properties.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: As mentioned above, the applicant is requesting a Planned Development Amendment to modify several conditions of approval associated with Ordinance No. 4633. The applicant is proposing to reduce the size of the existing C3-PD designation from 11.3 to 6.62 acres and increase the amount of Residential designated land with a concurrent Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment by the difference (see Exhibit 3). The City's 2013 EOA recommends to re-designate some of the 235.9 acres of excess industrial land to make up for forecasted commercial land needs. Much of the available excess industrial land is adjacent to the downtown core, therefore large-scale regional commercial uses can be efficiently sited in this location. By developing additional commercial uses near the downtown core, revitalization of unused industrial properties will occur. Conversely, with the reduction of C3-PD zoned area on the site, smaller-scaled commercial uses can be developed to serve the needs of Baker Creek North residents and other northwest neighborhoods in McMinnville.

FINDING: SATISFIED WITH CONDITION OF APPROVAL #2 and #3. The amendment of the existing conditions of approval could reduce the amount of the site that could provide commercial uses and services to only 2 acres of the site, with the remainder of the site being used as multifamily. The integration of multifamily units could actually maximize the efficiency of the existing commercially designated land, if designed appropriately. To ensure that the development of the site maximizes the efficiency of the commercial land that is being reduced in size, a condition of approval is included to allow for multiple family dwelling units within the

Planned Development Overlay District, but only if the multiple family units are integrated with commercial uses. This integration of multiple family units and commercial uses shall either be within a mixed use building or in a development plan that integrates the uses between buildings in a manner found acceptable to the Planning Commission.

Additionally, per Comprehensive Plan Policy #27, "Neighborhood commercial uses will be allowed in residential areas. These commercial uses will consist only of neighborhood oriented businesses and will be located on collector and arterial streets. More intensive, large commercial uses will not be considered compatible with or be allowed in neighborhood commercial centers." As such, a condition of approval has also been provided that defines neighborhood commercial uses to ensure that this planned development amendment still achieves the city's vision of neighborhood serving commercial development in this northwest residential area, preserving the larger commercial land uses for C3 zones land along major commercial corridors. For the purposes of this Planned Development Overlay District, neighborhood commercial uses are defined as those that are permitted in the C-1 (Neighborhood Business) zone in Section 17.27.010 of the MMC. In addition, "Restaurants" shall be permitted as a neighborhood commercial use in this Planned Development Overlay District. The condition of approval allows for the applicant to request any other use to be considered permitted within the Planned Development Overlay District at the time of the submittal of detailed development plans for the site.

Policy 24.00 The cluster development of commercial uses shall be encouraged rather than auto-oriented strip development.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The commercial area is a node and can be developed with appropriately scaled and clustered uses allowed by the C3 zone.

FINDING: SATISFIED WITH CONDITION OF APPROVAL #5. The Planned Development Amendment results in the reduced 6.62 acres of Commercial property still being oriented towards the intersection of NW Hill Road and NW Baker Creek Road. Based on the size of the Commercial property, it can be developed in such a way as to not result in strip development along either street corridor. To ensure that the development of the site is in the form of a cluster or node, a condition of approval is included to require that the site be developed with shared access points and shared internal circulation, and that the buildings be oriented towards the surrounding right-of-ways. To reduce auto-oriented building design, standards are included to require parking to be located behind or on the sides of buildings, limit the amount of off-street parking, encourage shared parking arrangements, include building façade features that are human scale and pedestrian oriented, and require pedestrian connections between the buildings and the surrounding sidewalks, trails, and right-of-ways.

The condition of approval specifies that these site design components shall be included in the development plans required by other existing conditions of approval to be provided for review and approval by the Planning Commission.

Policy 24.50 The location, type, and amount of commercial activity within the urban growth boundary shall be based on community needs as identified in the Economic Opportunities Analysis. (Ord.4796, October 14, 2003)

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The City of McMinnville completed their last Economic Opportunity Analysis (EOA) in 2013. As discussed above, the report indicates that there is a 35.8-acre deficit of Commercial designated land for the 20-year planning horizon. To address this need, the report recommends that the City re-designated some of the 235.9 acres of surplus Industrial

land for commercial use. Since there is such a surplus of Industrial land that can be converted to a Commercial designation, the applicant's proposal to reduce the amount of Commercial land from 11.3 acres to 6.62 acres will not significantly diminish the City's ability to meet its commercial land needs.

The EOA provides specific recommendations to fulfill the City's economic development objectives. One key objective in the report is to reduce out-shopping from this trade area by providing a full range of commercial services in McMinnville. Another strategic objective is to promote the downtown as the cultural, administrative service, and retail center of McMinnville. The applicant's proposed reduction in Commercial designated land on the subject site to allow the development of smaller-scaled uses allowed by the C3 zone is consistent with these objectives. By reducing the amount of the Commercial designated land on the subject site, larger-scaled regional commercial uses will be encouraged to locate in the Downtown area, where revitalization efforts continue, and an oversupply of Industrial land is present.

FINDING: SATISFIED. While the proposal does reduce the size of the Planned Development Overlay District, it does still result in a 6.62 acre Commercial property, which is large enough to support commercial uses and services that would be available to residents in the northwest area of the city.

Policy 25.00 Commercial uses will be located in areas where conflicts with adjacent land uses can be minimized and where city services commensurate with the scale of development are or can be made available prior to development.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: There will be minimal impacts to adjacent land uses by the proposed C3 zoned parcel. It is appropriately located adjacent to a minor arterial on the south side and buffered from adjacent high density residential land by a full public street on all other sides. In addition, a power substation is sited to the east side of the commercial zoned land. The proposed commercial land location has readily available City utility services, including sanitary sewer services installed in 2018.

FINDING: SATISFIED WITH CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL #2 - 9. The existing Planned Development Overlay District is surrounded by land that is either developed or guided for residential use. The applicant is proposing to limit the use of the property to "neighborhood commercial uses" and multifamily units. While the intent of the "neighborhood commercial uses" may be to limit conflicts and impacts on adjacent residential land uses, "neighborhood commercial uses" are not defined in the McMinnville Municipal Code. Therefore, a condition of approval is included to define that, for the purposes of this Planned Development Overlay District, neighborhood commercial uses are defined as those that are permitted in the C-1 (Neighborhood Business) zone in Section 17.27.010 of the MMC. In addition, "Restaurant" shall be permitted as a neighborhood commercial use in this Planned Development Overlay District. The condition of approval allows for the applicant to request any other use to be considered permitted within the Planned Development Overlay District at the time of the submittal of detailed development plans for the site. Another condition of approval is included to prohibit stand-alone drive-through facilities to minimize conflicts with adjacent residential land uses from commercial uses that are automobile oriented.

The City also finds that the location and design of buildings that will contain the commercial uses in the Planned Development Overlay District can significantly reduce any potential conflict or impact on adjacent residential land uses. Therefore, a condition of approval is included to require that detailed development plans be provided for review and approval by the Planning Commission. Existing conditions of approval in Ordinance 4633 already required that

development plans would be provided for review and approval by the Planning Commission. Existing conditions of approval in Ordinance 4633, as amended as described in other findings in this Decision Document, also include requirements that will result in the reduction of any potential conflict or impact on adjacent residential land uses, including requirements for landscaping a minimum of 14% of the site, limiting building height to 45 feet (with a requirement that building walls be stepped back if above 35 feet), including building siting and façade standards, limiting lighting and signage on the site, and restricting hours of operation for the commercial uses.

Policy 26.00 The size of, scale of, and market for commercial uses shall guide their locations. Large-scale, regional shopping facilities, and heavy traffic-generating uses shall be located on arterials or in the central business district, and shall be located where sufficient land for internal traffic circulation systems is available (if warranted) and where adequate parking and service areas can be constructed.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: No specific commercial use is proposed at this time. Any commercial uses proposed in the future on the C3 zoned area of the site will be appropriately scaled. As proposed with the amended planned development overlay, future development will contain at least 2-acres of commercial use and no more than 120 multifamily dwelling units. Existing commercial designated land on the site is located on a minor arterial and not in the central business district. The existing commercial land is capable of developing 10 acres of commercial use, or 100,000 square feet of commercial development which generates "heavy traffic". That type of commercial should be located on arterials and in the central business district per this policy. The applicant's attached traffic analysis supports proposed development plans for the site. The proposed commercial land area of just over 6 acres will have less intense traffic demands than would 10 acres. Future development plans for the commercial property will demonstrate that the commercial use will have sufficient internal circulation, parking, and service areas.

FINDING: SATISFIED WITH CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL #2 - 9. The City concurs with the applicant's responses. However, the City adds that the existing Planned Development Overlay District is surrounded by land that is either developed or guided for residential use. To ensure that future commercial uses are appropriately scaled and integrated with the surrounding area, a condition of approval is included to define that, for the purposes of this Planned Development Overlay District, neighborhood commercial uses are defined as those that are permitted in the C-1 (Neighborhood Business) zone in Section 17.27.010 of the MMC. In addition, "Restaurant" shall be permitted as a neighborhood commercial use in this Planned Development Overlay District. The condition of approval allows for the applicant to request any other use to be considered permitted within the Planned Development Overlay District at the time of the submittal of detailed development plans for the site. In addition, another condition of approval is included to prohibit stand-alone drive-through facilities to minimize automobile oriented and heavy traffic-generating uses.

The City also finds that the location and design of buildings that will contain the commercial uses in the Planned Development Overlay District can significantly reduce any potential conflict or impact on adjacent residential land uses. Therefore, a condition of approval is included to require that detailed development plans be provided for review and approval by the Planning Commission. Existing conditions of approval in Ordinance 4633 already required that development plans would be provided for review and approval by the Planning Commission. Existing conditions of approval in Ordinance 4633, as amended as described in other findings in this Decision Document, also include requirements that will result in the reduction of any potential conflict or impact on adjacent residential land uses, including requirements for

landscaping a minimum of 14% of the site, limiting building height to two stories (with a requirement that building walls be stepped back if above 35 feet), including building siting and façade standards, limiting lighting and signage on the site, and restricting hours of operation for the commercial uses.

Policy 27.00 Neighborhood commercial uses will be allowed in residential areas. These commercial uses will consist only of neighborhood oriented businesses and will be located on collector or arterial streets. More intensive, large commercial uses will not be considered compatible with or be allowed in neighborhood commercial centers.

APPLICANT’S RESPONSE: This commercial designated area is across the street from residential areas. No specific commercial use is proposed at this time. Any commercial uses proposed in the future on the proposed C3 zoned area will be appropriately scaled as allowed by the C3 zone. There are residential areas around the commercial parcel and neighborhood oriented commercial uses of no less than 2 acres are proposed with the amendment to the planned development overlay, which will make future commercial uses less intensive than envisioned by the current Ordinance 4633.

FINDING: SATISFIED WITH CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL #2, #3 and #4. The existing Planned Development Overlay District is surrounded by land that is either developed or guided for residential use. The applicant is proposing to limit the use of the property to “neighborhood commercial uses” and multifamily units. While the intent of the “neighborhood commercial uses” may be to limit conflicts and impacts on adjacent residential land uses, “neighborhood commercial uses” are not defined in the McMinnville Municipal Code. Therefore, a condition of approval is included to define that, for the purposes of this Planned Development Overlay District, neighborhood commercial uses are defined as those that are permitted in the C-1 (Neighborhood Business) zone in Section 17.27.010 of the MMC. In addition, “Restaurant” shall be permitted as a neighborhood commercial use in this Planned Development Overlay District. The condition of approval allows for the applicant to request any other use to be considered permitted within the Planned Development Overlay District at the time of the submittal of detailed development plans for the site.

Policy 29.00 New direct access to arterials by large-scale commercial developments shall be granted only after consideration is given to the land uses and traffic patterns in the area of development as well as at the specific site. Internal circulation roads, acceleration/deceleration lanes, common access collection points, signalization, and other traffic improvements shall be required wherever necessary, through the use of planned development overlays.

APPLICANT’S RESPONSE: No specific commercial use is proposed at this time. Consideration to land uses and traffic patterns will be given for any commercial uses proposed in the future on the proposed C3 zoned area, if access to arterials is sought. The proposed residential development plans internal circulation roads and access to the minor arterial Baker Creek Road at three points: 1) An extension of the north leg of Hill Road and Baker Creek Road roundabout in the form of a street proposed as Hill Lane, 2) An extension of Meadows Drive north from its current intersection with Baker Creek Road where new striping will be added for bike lanes, and 3) An extension of Shadden Drive north from its current intersection with Baker Creek Road where new striping will also be added for bike lanes. Both Meadows and Shadden drive will have additional pavement width on the west side of their sections to allow for a right turn lane.

FINDING: SATISFIED WITH CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL #5 & 8. The Planned Development Overlay District is located on an arterial street, and no specific commercial

development plan has been provided by the applicant. In order ensure that the future commercial development of the site does not negatively impact traffic patterns in the area and is designed with adequate access and internal circulation systems, a condition of approval is included to require that a traffic impact analysis be provided prior to any future development of the site. The traffic impact analysis shall analyze proposed access points to the commercial site, the functionality of the internal circulation system, and the impacts of the traffic patterns created by the commercial development on the surrounding streets. The traffic impact analysis shall include an analysis of the intersection of Baker Creek Road and Michelbook Lane and the intersection of Baker Creek Road and Highway 99W, but shall not be limited to only those intersections. These intersections shall be included in the future traffic impact analysis because they were referenced in testimony and because the intersection of Baker Creek Road and Michelbook Lane did not meet the volume-to-capacity standard of 0.90 adopted by the City of McMinnville Transportation System Plan in the applicant's traffic impact analysis at full build-out of the project.

In addition, a condition of approval is included to require that the property within the Planned Development Overlay District be developed with shared access points and a shared internal circulation system.

Policy 30.00 Access locations for commercial developments shall be placed so that excessive traffic will not be routed through residential neighborhoods and the traffic-carrying capacity of all adjacent streets will not be exceeded.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The C3 zoned area is located adjacent to NW Baker Creek Road, a minor arterial street. Future access to the commercial uses will not focus traffic through residential neighborhoods or reduce the carrying capacity of the adjacent streets. The traffic analysis provided with this application showed that, in the worst case scenario, the capacity of adjacent streets is sufficient.

FINDING: SATISFIED WITH CONDITION OF APPROVAL #8. No specific commercial development plan has been provided by the applicant. The Planned Development Overlay District is surrounded by land that is either developed or guided for residential use. In order ensure that the future commercial development of the site is not designed to route excessive traffic through adjacent residential neighborhoods or exceed the traffic-carrying capacity of adjacent streets, a condition of approval is included to require that a traffic impact analysis be provided prior to any future development of the site. The traffic impact analysis shall include an analysis of the intersection of Baker Creek Road and Michelbook Lane and the intersection of Baker Creek Road and Highway 99W, but shall not be limited to only those intersections. These intersections shall be included in the future traffic impact analysis because they were referenced in testimony and because the intersection of Baker Creek Road and Michelbook Lane did not meet the volume-to-capacity standard of 0.90 adopted by the City of McMinnville Transportation System Plan in the applicant's traffic impact analysis at full build-out of the project.

Policy 31.00 Commercial developments shall be designed in a manner which minimizes bicycle/pedestrian conflicts and provides pedestrian connections to adjacent residential development through pathways, grid street systems, or other appropriate mechanisms.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: No specific commercial use is proposed at this time. A design to minimize bike and pedestrian conflicts and provide connections can be considered at the time of a future commercial development application. These travel modes are facilitated by the proposed semi-grid like street pattern of the adjacent residential developments and other pathways.

FINDING: SATISFIED WITH CONDITION OF APPROVAL #5. No specific commercial development plan has been provided by the applicant. In order ensure that the future commercial development of the site is designed to minimize bicycle/pedestrian conflicts and provides pedestrian connections to adjacent residential development, a condition of approval is included to require that detailed development plans be provided for review and approval by the Planning Commission. The detailed development plans shall identify how the future commercial development minimizes bicycle/pedestrian conflicts and provides pedestrian connections to adjacent residential development. The Planned Development Overlay District is also located adjacent to the future northern extension of the BPA trail system, which provides bicycle and pedestrian access to many residential areas in the northwest area of the city. The detailed development plans shall identify how the commercial development connects to the BPA trail system. Other site design requirements, referenced in findings for Policy 24.00 and 29.00, require that the commercial property within the Planned Development Overlay District be developed with shared access points and a shared internal circulation system, which will also reduce bicycle/pedestrian conflicts on the right-of-ways around the perimeter of the site.

Proposal 6.00 A planned development overlay should be placed on the large cluster commercial development areas and the entrances to the City to allow for review of site design, on-site and off-site circulation, parking, and landscaping. The areas to be overlaid by this designation shall be noted on the zoning map and/or comprehensive plan map.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The submitted plans indicate that the applicant is not currently proposing to develop the C3 zoned portion of the site. Prior to development of the site, a commercial use development application will be submitted for review of the proposed site design, circulation, parking facilities, and landscaping features. The traffic study provided with this application demonstrates that in the worst case scenario, there is sufficient off-site capacity in the surrounding street network for future uses of the commercial site.

FINDING: SATISFIED. The proposed Planned Development Amendment retains the Planned Development Overlay District at the northeast corner of the intersection of NW Hill Road and NW Baker Creek Road, which is an existing entrance to the City. Other conditions of approval referenced in findings above and below will allow for future review of site design prior to any future commercial development.

GOAL V 1: TO PROMOTE DEVELOPMENT OF AFFORDABLE, QUALITY HOUSING FOR ALL CITY RESIDENTS.

Policy 58.00 City land development ordinances shall provide opportunities for development of a variety of housing types and densities.

Policy 59.00 Opportunities for multiple-family and mobile home developments shall be provided in McMinnville to encourage lower-cost renter and owner-occupied housing. Such housing shall be located and developed according to the residential policies in this plan and the land development regulations of the City.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: In 2001, the City adopted the Residential Land Needs Analysis, which evaluated housing needs for the 2000-2020 planning period. The study determined that an additional 449 buildable acres of residential land needed to be added to the UGB to accommodate projected land needs, of which 63.9 acres would need to be zoned R4 to meet higher density housing needs. To address its deficient residential land supply, the City moved

forward with an UGB amendment application. However, the UGB expansion effort was shelved in 2011 after LUBA remanded City Council's land use decision.

While the 2001 analysis provides some insight into McMinnville's on-going housing challenges, Policy 71.05 does not require use of a State acknowledged planning document when evaluating what is required to achieve a continuous 5-year supply of buildable land for all housing types. Since the City's deficient residential land supply has continued to be an issue for two decades, and housing costs have now soared in recent years, the City is currently updating its Housing Needs Analysis. Current analysis indicates that an additional 4,070 housing units need to be developed in McMinnville to meet residential demands during the 2018-2041 planning horizon. McMinnville currently has a deficit of 217 gross acres of R4 land within the UGB. This acreage will accommodate the development of 891 dwelling units which are unable to be accommodated by the current R4 land supply.

While the current Housing Needs Analysis has not been acknowledged by the State, it still qualifies as a beneficial study and provides helpful information regarding McMinnville's current and future housing needs. The study received grant funding from DLCD, and a condition of the grant award, this State agency prepared a scope of work and qualified the consultant Econorthwest to prepare the report. DLCD staff currently serves as a member of the project's Technical Advisory Committee and has ensured that the study's methodology follows Oregon Administrative Rule standards.

It is due to rising housing costs, as well as McMinnville's persistent challenge to maintain an adequate residential land supply, that the City is currently updating its Buildable Lands Inventory and Housing Needs Analysis. These studies have identified how many acres of additional residential land must be added to the Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) to meet housing demands over the next 20-year planning period. The City has also identified new strategies to encourage the development of a greater variety of housing types including single-family detached homes, townhomes, mobile homes, condominiums, duplexes, apartments, and affordable housing options.

As demonstrated by the attached Preliminary Development Plans, the proposed project will facilitate the development of 280 small, medium, and large sized single-family lots within the Baker Creek North Planned Development area. The proposed planned development amendment to the overlay created by Ordinance 4633 will allow for the future development of up to 120 apartment units within the C3 zoned area as demand for commercial uses and housing determines. This will further help to address McMinnville's current housing needs. A future development application will be submitted for the development of the multi-family dwelling units on the C3 zoned portion of the site. As discussed throughout this narrative, the proposed map and planned development amendments are consistent with applicable residential policies and the land development regulations of the City.

FINDING: SATISFIED WITH CONDITION OF APPROVAL #2. The City concurs with the applicant's findings in regards to the fact that the suggested amendment to allow up to 120 multiple family dwelling units within the Planned Development Overlay District will provide an increased opportunity for the development of a variety of housing types, specifically multiple family housing. The condition of approval does require that the multiple family units be integrated with the commercial uses also allowed within the Planned Development Overlay District. This integration ensures that commercial uses are included on the site, as described above in findings for commercial Comprehensive Plan goals and policies.

GOAL V 2: TO PROMOTE A RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT PATTERN THAT IS LAND INTENSIVE AND ENERGY-EFFICIENT, THAT PROVIDES FOR AN URBAN LEVEL OF PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SERVICES, AND THAT ALLOWS UNIQUE AND INNOVATIVE DEVELOPMENT TECHNIQUES TO BE EMPLOYED IN RESIDENTIAL DESIGNS.

APPLICANT’S RESPONSE: In order to create a more intensive and energy efficient pattern of residential development, the applicant is requesting approval of a Zoning Map Amendment to zone 9.41 acres of existing R1 zoned land and 39.29 acres of currently unzoned land to an R4 classification. The attached Preliminary Development Plans demonstrate that all of the R4 zoned land will be included within the proposed Baker Creek North Planned Development.

The submitted plans illustrate that the planned development will provide an urban level of private and public services. The submitted planned development application includes a request to modify several City Code standards so that unique and innovative single-family detached housing can be developed on the subject site that is land intensive. The plans demonstrate that the proposed housing provides a more compact urban form, is more energy efficient, and provides more variety in housing types than are developed in the R4 zone with a standard subdivision.

The amendment to the planned development overlay ordinance to allow no more than 120 multifamily dwelling units on the commercial parcel will also help facilitate the development of more efficient housing in the area.

FINDING: SATISFIED WITH CONDITION OF APPROVAL #2. The City concurs with the applicant’s findings, and adds that a condition of approval is included to allow for up to 120 multiple family dwelling units within the Planned Development Overlay District. The condition of approval does require that the multiple family units be integrated with the commercial uses also allowed within the Planned Development Overlay District. This integration ensures that commercial uses are included on the site, as described above in findings for commercial Comprehensive Plan goals and policies.

Policy 68.00 The City of McMinnville shall encourage a compact form of urban development by directing residential growth close to the city center and to those areas where urban services are already available before committing alternate areas to residential use.

APPLICANT’S RESPONSE: The site is adjacent to NW Baker Creek Road, an area where urban services are already available, and near NW Hill Road, where the City has recently made improvements to urban services to accommodate development in McMinnville.

FINDING: SATISFIED WITH CONDITION OF APPROVAL #2. The City concurs with the applicant’s findings, and adds that a condition of approval is included to allow for up to 120 multiple family dwelling units within the Planned Development Overlay District. The condition of approval does require that the multiple family units be integrated with the commercial uses also allowed within the Planned Development Overlay District. This integration ensures that commercial uses are included on the site, as described above in findings for commercial Comprehensive Plan goals and policies. This integration will also encourage a compact form of urban development in an area where services are available to support that type of development.

Policy 69.00 The City of McMinnville shall explore the utilization of innovative land use regulatory ordinances which seek to integrate the functions of housing, commercial, and industrial developments into a compatible framework within the city.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: This is a directive to the City and not an approval criterion. The planned development ordinance which is being used in this application appears to integrate the proposed housing and commercial uses as proposed in the amended planned development in a compatible framework.

FINDING: SATISFIED WITH CONDITION OF APPROVAL #2. The City concurs with the applicant's findings. The City adds that a condition of approval is included to allow for up to 120 multiple family dwelling units within the Planned Development Overlay District. The condition of approval does require that the multiple family units be integrated with the commercial uses also allowed within the Planned Development Overlay District. This integration ensures that commercial uses are included on the site, as described above in findings for commercial Comprehensive Plan goals and policies. This integration will also encourage the integration of housing and commercial uses into a compatible framework within the Planned Development Overlay District.

GOAL X 1: TO PROVIDE OPPORTUNITIES FOR CITIZEN INVOLVEMENT IN THE LAND USE DECISION MAKING PROCESS ESTABLISHED BY THE CITY OF McMINNVILLE.

GOAL X 2: TO MAKE EVERY EFFORT TO ENGAGE AND INCLUDE A BROAD CROSS SECTION OF THE COMMUNITY BY MAINTAINING AN ACTIVE AND OPEN CITIZEN INVOLVEMENT PROGRAM THAT IS ACCESSIBLE TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY AND ENGAGES THE COMMUNITY DURING DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION OF LAND USE POLICIES AND CODES.

Policy 188.00 The City of McMinnville shall continue to provide opportunities for citizen involvement in all phases of the planning process. The opportunities will allow for review and comment by community residents and will be supplemented by the availability of information on planning requests and the provision of feedback mechanisms to evaluate decisions and keep citizens informed.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: None.

FINDING: SATISFIED. The process for a Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment provides an opportunity for citizen involvement throughout the process through the neighborhood meeting provisions, the public notice, and the public hearing process. Notice of the application and the December 5, 2019 Planning Commission public hearing was mailed to property owners within 300 feet of the subject property and was published in the News Register on Tuesday, November 26, 2019 in accordance with Section 17.72.120 of the MMC on November 7, 2019. Notice of the application was also provided to the Department of Land Conservation and Development on October 16, 2019.

Throughout the process, there are opportunities for the public to review and obtain copies of the application materials and the completed staff report prior to the advertised public hearing(s). The application materials are posted on the City's website as soon as they are deemed complete, and copies of the staff report and Planning Commission meeting materials are posted on the City's website at least one week prior to the public hearing. All members of the public have access to provide testimony and ask questions during the public review and hearing process.

McMinnville Zoning Ordinance

The following Sections of the McMinnville Zoning Ordinance (Ord. No. 3380) provide criteria applicable to the request:

Chapter 17.03. General Provisions

17.03.020 Purpose. The purpose of this ordinance is to encourage appropriate and orderly physical development in the City through standards designed to protect residential, commercial, industrial, and civic areas from the intrusions of incompatible uses; to provide opportunities for establishments to concentrate for efficient operation in mutually beneficial relationship to each other and to shared services; to provide adequate open space, desired levels of population densities, workable relationships between land uses and the transportation system, and adequate community facilities; to provide assurance of opportunities for effective utilization of the land resource; and to promote in other ways public health, safety, convenience, and general welfare.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: None.

FINDING: SATISFIED. The purpose of the Zoning Ordinance is met by the proposal as described in the Conclusionary Findings contained in this Decision Document.

Chapter 17.33 General Commercial Zone

Section 17.33.010 Permitted Uses. In a C-3 zone, the following uses and their accessory uses are permitted:

1. All uses and conditional uses permitted in the C-1 and C-2 zones, except those listed in Section 17.33.020;

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: Although no development proposal has been submitted for the C-3 zoned portion of the site, the applicant intends to develop neighborhood commercial uses on a portion of this parcel in the future. As required, only uses which are permitted will be developed on the parcel.

FINDING: SATISFIED WITH CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL #2 and #3. The existing Planned Development Overlay District is surrounded by land that is either developed or guided for residential use. The applicant is proposing to limit the use of the property to "neighborhood commercial uses" and multifamily units. While the intent of the "neighborhood commercial uses" may be to limit conflicts and impacts on adjacent residential land uses, "neighborhood commercial uses" are not defined in the McMinnville Municipal Code. Therefore, a condition of approval is included to define that, for the purposes of this Planned Development Overlay District, neighborhood commercial uses are defined as those that are permitted in the C-1 (Neighborhood Business) zone in Section 17.27.010 of the MMC. In addition, "Restaurant" shall be permitted as a neighborhood commercial use in this Planned Development Overlay District. Only the permitted uses in Section 17.27.010 of the MMC and "Restaurants" shall be allowed as commercial uses within the Planned Development Overlay District. However, the condition of approval allows for the applicant to request any other use to be considered permitted within the Planned Development Overlay District at the time of the submittal of detailed development plans for the site.

Section 17.33.010 Permitted Uses. In a C-3 zone, the following uses and their accessory uses are permitted:

3. Multiple-family dwelling subject to the provisions of the R-4 zone;

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: Again, no development proposal has been submitted for the C-3 zoned portion of the site. The submitted Lot Type Plan illustrates that only the R-4 zoned portion of the site is included within the boundary of the Baker Creek North Planned Development (see Exhibit 3). The applicant intends in the future to develop some apartment units on a portion of the C-3 zoned area of the site, however this will occur with the submittal of a future land use application. Approval of the request to amend the planned development established by Ordinance No. 4633 will facilitate this future uses which are consistent with the requirements of this code.

FINDING: SATISFIED WITH CONDITION #2. A condition of approval is included to allow for up to 120 multiple family dwelling units within the Planned Development Overlay District. The condition of approval does require that the multiple family units be integrated with the commercial uses also allowed within the Planned Development Overlay District. This integration ensures that commercial uses are included on the site, as described above in findings for commercial Comprehensive Plan goals and policies.

17.74.070. Planned Development Amendment – Review Criteria. An amendment to an existing planned development may be either major or minor. Minor changes to an adopted site plan may be approved by the Planning Director. Major changes to an adopted site plan shall be processed in accordance with Section 17.72.120, and include the following:

- An increase in the amount of land within the subject site;
- An increase in density including the number of housing units;
- A reduction in the amount of open space; or
- Changes to the vehicular system which results in a significant change to the location of streets, shared driveways, parking areas and access.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: None.

FINDING: SATISFIED. While none of the listed changes are specifically applicable, the Planning Director has determined that the proposed Planned Development Amendment, which reduces the size of the Planned Development Overlay District and amends existing conditions of approval, is considered to be a Major amendment and is therefore subject to Section 17.72.120, as addressed herein. The applicant has provided a Planned Development Amendment request to be reviewed by the Planning Commission in accordance with Section 17.72.120.

17.74.070. Planned Development Amendment – Review Criteria. [...] An amendment to an existing planned development may be authorized, provided that the proposal satisfies all relevant requirements of this ordinance, and also provided that the applicant demonstrates the following:

17.74.070(A). *There are special physical conditions or objectives of a development which the proposal will satisfy to warrant a departure from the standard regulation requirements;*

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: This application does not propose to depart from standard regulation requirements of commercial and residential development within the commercial lot, thus this application demonstrates this item is addressed.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO SECTION 17.51.030(C)(1): As mentioned previously, the applicant is requesting to modify several Conditions of Approval associated with Ordinance 4633, which is an existing Planned Development Overlay that applies to 12.34 acres of the subject site. The proposed planned development amendment requests the removal of Condition 1, which is carried over from Ordinance 4605, Section 2(a-g) when Ordinance 4633 was

enacted. When Ordinance 4633 was adopted, the City had envisioned a UGB expansion in the NW quadrant. Since the boundary amendment wasn't realized, a large regional commercial area was no longer needed on this area of town. However, certain assumptions were made about the scale and intensity of a future commercial use on the site when Condition 1 was approved in 1996. It was also unanticipated at the time that similar development review provisions and design standards would later be incorporated into the McMinnville Zoning Ordinance (MZO). The following section of the Applicant's Statement address why the provisions of Condition 1 are no longer necessary, duplicative with current C-3 standards, and inconsistent with intended neighborhood uses on the site:

Condition 1 of Ordinance 4633, Referencing Ordinance 4506 – Section 2(a-g)

Section 2(a) - Under 17.58.100 of the MZO, the existing development code already requires landscape plans to be reviewed by the Landscape Review Committee for commercial, industrial, parking lots, and multi-family developments. Also, with the proposed street plan, the entire lot would be surrounded by public streets and a power substation, so the hedge screening is not applicable. As such, this section is not needed.

Section 2(b) - Under Section 17.72.090, detailed elevations, layouts, landscaping, parking, lighting plans are required to be submitted to the Planning Director for review. Therefore, this portion of the condition is inconsistent with current City code standards.

Section 2(c) - The applicant is considering neighborhood commercial uses for the C-3 zoned portion of the site. Since a convenience market could be established with the commercial development, prohibiting retail commercial activity from 12:30 a.m. to 6 a.m. would create an issue for neighborhood residents.

Section 2(d) - The C-3 zone permits the development of structures up to 80-ft. high, while the condition only allows 35 feet high buildings. The applicant envisions the development of buildings up to 50-ft. high, with neighborhood commercial uses on the ground floor and multi-family units on the upper floors. Therefore, this section is inconsistent with current code standards and will prevents the parcel from being efficiently developed.

Section 2(e) - Per Section 17.56.050, exterior lighting plans are currently required for commercial uses and must be directed away from property lines. Therefore, this element of the condition is not required.

Section 2(f) - The City adopted its current sign regulations in 2008, with subsequent revisions during the past 10 years. The current sign code fully addresses this portion of Condition 1; therefore, it is no longer needed.

Section 2(g) - Under Section 17.33.040 outside storage must be enclosed by a sight-obscuring fence. Any additional outdoor use restrictions could be determined and applied as conditions of approval when a future design review application is submitted for the neighborhood commercial development.

In addition to Condition 1, the applicant is also requesting to eliminate Condition 2 from the Planned Development Overlay that was enacted by Ordinance 4633. Condition 2 prohibits residential uses within the area of the site that is currently designated as Commercial on the Comprehensive Plan Map. As discussed above, there is currently a 700 acre shortage of residential zoned land when addressing housing needs in McMinnville during the 2021-2041 planning period. With the proposed Comprehensive Plan Map and Zoning Map Amendments,

6.62 acres of 12.34 acres currently regulated by Ordinance 4633 will be zoned C-3, while the remaining 5.72 acres will be zoned R-4. The applicant is intending to submit a future land use application for the C-3 zoned parcel to permit the development of a mixed-use development with neighborhood commercial and multi-family units. The apartments will help address the need for 1,537 additional multi-family units in the community and will provide economic support for the planned neighborhood commercial use.

FINDING: SATISFIED WITH CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL #1 - 9. The proposed Planned Development Amendment would reduce the size of the Planned Development Overlay District. However, the amendment of the boundary of the Planned Development Overlay District is necessary to respond to the approval of the Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment and Zone Change on the subject site that were found to meet all applicable Comprehensive Plan goals, policies, and review criteria. The amendment of the boundary results in a reduction of the Planned Development Overlay District size to correspond with the 6.62 acre site that is designated as Commercial and zoned C-3 (General Commercial), as identified in the Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment and Zone Change that were submitted for concurrent review with the Planned Development Amendment. There are special objectives of the proposed development that warrant the amendment of the Planned Development Overlay District to reduce its size. In particular, the Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment and Zone Change result in a portion of the existing Planned Development Overlay District property being designated as Residential on the Comprehensive Plan Map and being zoned R-4 (Multiple Family Residential). The existing Planned Development Overlay is a Commercial Planned Development. As the portion of the site north of the 6.62 acre Commercial designation is now designated and zoned for residential use, the removal of the site from the Commercial Planned Development is warranted and necessary.

In regards to the existing conditions of approval, those existing conditions of approval are found to be the standard regulation requirements for the existing Planned Development Overlay District because they were adopted by Ordinance 4633 and currently regulate the development of the property. Therefore, amendments to those existing conditions of approval, as suggested by the applicant, may be approved only if there are special physical conditions or objectives of a development that warrant a departure from the standard regulation requirements. The City finds that some amendment of the conditions of approval are warranted given the special objectives of the development and changes in circumstances from the time that the existing Planned Development Overlay District was adopted in 1996. Those amendments and changes are described below. The conditions of approval were also reorganized to capture other conditions of approval, as described above, and to better describe the requirements for the development and future use of the site. In addition, the new condition of approval states that the applicant may propose alternative design components when detailed development plans are submitted for review to allow for the Planning Commission to have some flexibility in the future review of detailed development plans. The condition states that the Planning Commission may review and approve these alternative design components if they are found to be consistent with the intent of the required site design components listed in the conditions of approval.

The existing conditions of approval, and the City's findings for whether they are still applicable, are as follows:

Section 2(a) - That landscape plans be submitted to and approved by the McMinnville Landscape Review Committee. A minimum of 14 percent of the site must be landscaped with emphasis placed at the street frontage. An arborvitae hedge or some similar type of planted visual screen shall be required along the property lines where adjacent to residentially zoned lands.

The applicant stated that landscaping is already required by Section 17.58.100 of the MMC. However, the reference to that section of the code is for street tree planting requirements. It is accurate that Section 17.57.030 requires landscaping in the C-3 zone. Also, Section 17.57.070(A) requires a minimum of 7% landscaping for commercial development and 25% landscaping for multiple family development. However, the existing Planned Development Overlay District condition of approval requires a minimum of 14% landscaping of the site, which exceeds the minimum requirement for commercial development in the MMC. This identifies that there was an intention at the time of the adoption of Ordinance 4633 that more of the site would be landscaped than what would be required by the underlying zoning.

Also, if multiple family units are developed on the site, the MMC requires 25% of the portion of the site that is used as multiple family to be landscaped. However, there is no clear standard in the MMC for the amount of landscaping required for mixed-use buildings that contain both commercial and residential uses. Therefore, the existing condition of approval remains and requires a minimum of 14 percent of the site to be landscaped. However, language is added to a condition of approval to require that usable open space be provided within the Planned Development Overlay District if multiple family dwelling units are developed on the site to provide open space for residents. The condition specifies that a minimum of 10 percent of the site must be designated as usable open space. The usable open space may be combined with the community gathering space required for the commercial uses, as described in the same condition of approval.

The City does concur that an arborvitae hedge or visual screen may not be an appropriate planting along the property lines adjacent to residential zones, based on the intended development of the surrounding area. Therefore, the condition of approval is amended to remove that language, but keep the language that requires an emphasis on landscaping placed at the street frontage. Also, the condition of approval is amended to state that all adjacent public right-of-ways will be improved with street tree planting as required by Chapter 17.58 of the MMC.

Section 2(b) - Detailed plans showing elevations, site layout, signing, landscaping, parking, and lighting must be submitted to and approved by the Planning Commission before actual development may take place. The provisions of Chapter 17.51 of the McMinnville Zoning Ordinance may be used to place conditions on any development and to determine whether or not specific uses are permissible.

The applicant has stated that Section 17.72.090 of the MMC requires detailed elevations, layouts, landscaping, parking, lighting plans to be submitted to the Planning Director for review. However, that is only accurate if certain development plans are proposed, such as a development that meets the applicability requirements of the Large Format Commercial Development Standards in Chapter 17.56.030 of the MMC. In order to ensure that detailed development plans are submitted for review and approval by the Planning Commission, this condition of approval remains. The condition of approval has been amended to reflect other required conditions of approval based on commercial Comprehensive Plan policies, as described above. Also, Section 17.51.010(B) states that "...the property owner of a particular parcel may apply for a planned development designation to overlay an existing zone without submitting any development plans; however, no development of any kind may occur until a final plan has been submitted and approved." Therefore, the condition of approval requiring the submittal of detailed development plans is consistent with the MMC.

Section 2(c) - No use of any retail commercial use shall normally occur between the hours of 12:30 a.m. and 6:00 a.m.

The applicant has stated that prohibiting retail commercial activity from 12:30 a.m. to 6 a.m. would create an issue for neighborhood residents. However, the City finds that the limitation of the hours of the proposed neighborhood commercial uses would assist in mitigating any potential negative impacts of the future commercial uses on the surrounding areas that are either developed or guided for residential use. Therefore, the condition of approval remains but has been amended to 1:00 a.m. to 5:00 a.m..

Section 2(d) - No building shall exceed the height of 35 feet.

The applicant has stated that the building height of 35 feet would be restrictive to the development of mixed-use buildings with neighborhood commercial uses on the ground floor and multiple family dwelling units on the upper floors. The City concurs with the applicant, and also notes that other conditions of approval described above in this Decision Document require the integration of multiple family dwelling units with commercial uses through the development of mixed-use buildings or in a development plan that integrates the uses between buildings in a manner found acceptable to the Planning Commission. Therefore, the condition of approval related to building height is amended to limit the height of buildings to two stories. The condition of approval specifies that if buildings are proposed to exceed 35 feet, that they are designed with a step back in the building wall to reduce the visual impact of the height of the building.

Section 2(e) - That if outside lighting is to be provided, it must be directed away from residential areas and public streets.

The applicant has stated that lighting plans are required for commercial uses. However, that is only accurate if certain development plans are proposed, such as a development that meets the applicability requirements of the Large Format Commercial Development Standards in Chapter 17.56.030 of the MMC. Therefore, in order to ensure that lighting is controlled and not causing negative impacts on surrounding residential areas, this condition of approval remains.

Section 2(f) - That signs located within the planned development site be subject to the following limitations:

- 1) All signs must be flush against the building and not protrude more than 12 inches from the building face, except that up to two free standing monument-type signs not more than six feet in height and which meet the requirements of (2) and (3) below are allowed;*
- 2) All signs, if illuminated, must be indirectly illuminated and nonflashing;*
- 3) No individual sign exceeding thirty-six (36) square feet in size shall be allowed.*

The City finds that the general sign regulations in Chapter 17.62 of the MMC would allow for much more signage in a C-3 zone than what the existing condition of approval allows. In order to minimize visual impacts on surrounding residential areas, the City has updated this condition of approval to reflect a neighborhood commercial development.

Section 2(g) - All business, service, repair, processing, storage, or merchandise displays shall be conducted wholly within an enclosed building except for the following:

- 1) Off-street parking and loading*
- 2) Temporary display and sales of merchandise, providing it is under cover of a projecting roof and does not interfere with pedestrian or automobile circulation.*

In order to minimize visual impacts on surrounding residential areas, this condition of approval remains. The City finds that this condition of approval is more conducive for the neighborhood

commercial uses proposed by the applicant, and has added food and beverage outdoor seating and food carts to the exemptions to encourage outside gathering spaces and activities.

In regards to the allowance of multiple family dwelling units on the site, the City concurs with the applicant's findings that there is a shortage of land for residential use in the City of McMinnville and a need for additional multiple family development to support future population growth. The City also notes that per the findings in the zone change request associated with this project (ZC 1-19) that this is an ideal location for multiple family development. However, the City has also planned for at least five acres of commercial development in this area since Ordinance 4506 was adopted on December 10, 1991. The need for neighborhood serving commercial development in this area has carried forward into subsequent Ordinances, including Ordinance 4633 and the 2013 Economic Opportunities Analysis. Recognizing the need to maintain space for commercial development and to accommodate additional multiple family development, the City will require that five acres of this site retain ground floor commercial uses, allowing multiple family development to occur on the remainder of the site and as part of a mixed-use development. The five acres of commercial development will be calculated based upon all of the development requirements associated with the commercial development including any standards related to the mixed-use residential development.

The City finds that conditions within the City of McMinnville have changed significantly since the time the Planned Development Overlay District was originally adopted in 1996. Since that time, the City has completed studies and analyses of the availability of land for the development of residential uses. The most recently acknowledged Residential Buildable Lands Inventory, which was prepared in 2001, identified a need for additional land for housing uses. That inventory, which was titled the McMinnville Buildable Land Needs Analysis and Growth Management Plan, identified a need for additional land for housing and residential uses of approximately 537 buildable acres, of which only 217 buildable acres have been added to the city's urban growth boundary leaving the city with approximately 320 acres of residential land deficit. The City has also completed more recent updates to the Residential Buildable Lands Inventory, which was reference by the applicant. While that analysis has not yet been acknowledged, it also identifies a need for additional land for the development of residential uses. The proposed amendment to allow multiple family dwelling units on the subject site would not increase the amount of land designated specifically for higher density residential use, but it would increase the potential for the development of higher density residential uses on a property with an underlying zone that already permits multiple family residential use (C-3 General Commercial zone).

17.74.070(B). *Resulting development will not be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan objectives of the area;*

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The Comprehensive Plan Map is proposed to be amended concurrently and consistent with the planned development area proposed in this Planned Development Amendment application. This narrative addresses how the Comprehensive Plan Map and Zoning Map amendments and the commercial and residential uses within the proposed planned development amendment area are consistent with the Comprehensive Plan objectives by providing neighborhood commercial and needed residential housing.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO SECTION 17.51.030(C)(2): The applicant is requesting concurrent approval of the proposed amendments to Ordinance No. 4633, an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan Map to change a portion of the Commercial designated land to Residential, and a zone change to designate portions of the area regulated by the ordinance as C-3 and R-4. The applicant is also requesting approval of a zone change to designate the remainder of the Baker Creek North Planned Development area R-4. As discussed in the above narrative,

the proposed land use actions and resulting development are consistent with applicable Comprehensive Plan goals, policies, and objectives of the area.

FINDING: SATISFIED. The City concurs with the applicant's findings, and also refers to the findings provided for the applicable Comprehensive Plan goals and policies in Section VII (Conclusionary Findings) above.

17.74.070(C). *The development shall be designed so as to provide for adequate access to and efficient provision of services to adjoining parcels;*

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The area of the planned development amendment is surrounded by existing and proposed streets. Thus, access and services will be available to adjoining parcels from and through those streets. Upon future development of the planned development amendment site with the commercial C3-PD overlay, public right-of-way along Baker Creek Road will be improved and dedicated to the City and a public utility easement along street frontages will be granted. Documents to affect the dedication and granting of right-of-way and easements will be recorded in the local County records.

FINDING: SATISFIED WITH CONDITION #5 & #8. The City concurs with the applicant's findings, and adds that a condition of approval is included to allow for the review and approval of the access and internal circulation of the commercial development site. A condition of approval is also included to require a traffic impact analysis that will analyze proposed access points to the commercial site, the functionality of the internal circulation system, and the impacts of the traffic patterns created by the commercial development on the surrounding streets. The traffic impact analysis will also ensure that the future commercial development of the site is not designed to route excessive traffic through adjacent residential neighborhoods or exceed the traffic-carrying capacity of adjacent streets. The condition of approval requires that the traffic impact analysis be provided prior to any future development of the site.

17.74.070(D). *The plan can be completed within a reasonable period of time;*

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The plan to amend the planned development can be completed in a reasonable amount of time. The amendment will be done as soon as the City passes ordinances to affect the change.

FINDING: SATISFIED. The City concurs with the applicant's findings.

17.74.070(E). *The streets are adequate to support the anticipated traffic, and the development will not overload the streets outside the planned area;*

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The site of the planned development amendment is adjacent to a minor arterial with capacity planned in the City's Transportation Plan adequate to serve the area with over ten acres of commercial use. The applicant's traffic analysis shows this. The proposed use is 6.62 acres with no less than 2 acres of neighborhood commercial and no more than 120 multi-family dwelling units. The intensity of the proposed uses in the application are less than the intensity of the commercial use planned for in the City's plans under the current planned development scenario. Therefore, development of the site as the amendment proposes will not overload the streets, rather the impact will be lighter than planned for by the City.

FINDING: SATISFIED WITH CONDITION #5 & #8. The City concurs with the applicant's findings, and adds that a condition of approval is included to allow for the review and approval of the access and internal circulation of the commercial development site. A condition of

approval is also included to require a traffic impact analysis that will analyze proposed access points to the commercial site, the functionality of the internal circulation system, and the impacts of the traffic patterns created by the commercial development on the surrounding streets. The traffic impact analysis will also ensure that the future commercial development of the site is not designed to route excessive traffic through adjacent residential neighborhoods or exceed the traffic-carrying capacity of adjacent streets. The traffic impact analysis shall include an analysis of the intersection of Baker Creek Road and Michelbook Lane and the intersection of Baker Creek Road and Highway 99W, but shall not be limited to only those intersections. These intersections shall be included in the future traffic impact analysis because they were referenced in testimony and because the intersection of Baker Creek Road and Michelbook Lane did not meet the volume-to-capacity standard of 0.90 adopted by the City of McMinnville Transportation System Plan in the applicant's traffic impact analysis at full build-out of the project. The condition of approval requires that the traffic impact analysis be provided prior to any future development of the site.

17.74.070(F). *Proposed utility and drainage facilities are adequate for the population densities and type of development proposed;*

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The area amended by the planned development has street frontage, sanitary sewer service and other utilities available as shown on the plans that are adequate for development of the site. No development of the site is proposed at this time.

FINDING: SATISFIED. The City concurs with the applicant's findings, and would add that the City provided opportunity for review and comment by city departments, other public and private agencies and utilities, and McMinnville Water and Light to ensure the coordinated provision of utilities and services to the subject site based on the proposed land use request. Based on comments received, adequate levels of sanitary sewer collection, storm sewer and drainage facilities, municipal water distribution systems and supply, and energy distribution facilities, either presently serve or can be made available to serve the site. No comments were provided that were in opposition or identified any issues with providing utilities and services to the subject site for the intended use. At the time of development of the site, final development plans will be required to provide a detailed storm drainage plan, a sanitary sewer collection plan (if necessary for the use), and the provision of water and power services. Any right-of-way improvements required for the subject site will be required at the time of development as well.

17.74.070(G). *The noise, air, and water pollutants caused by the development do not have an adverse effect upon surrounding areas, public utilities, or the city as a whole.*

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: No development of the site is proposed at this time. However, neighborhood commercial and multi-family impacts are those anticipated for typical urban development. Noise, air, and water pollutants from the site will no impact surrounding properties. Surrounding properties are buffered from the site by public streets.

FINDING: SATISFIED. The City concurs with the applicant's findings.

CD