

Planning Department 231 NE Fifth Street o McMinnville, OR 97128 (503) 434-7311 Office o (503) 474-4955 Fax www.cl.mcminnville.or.us

Office Use On	
File No. AV	3.20
Date Received	7-27-2000
Fee	
Receipt No	
Received by	81

Administrative Variance Application

Broad water and the same and th			-			
Applicant Info		Contract Buyer	□ Option	ı Holder	⊠ Agent	☐ Other
Applicant Name_	Pioneer Des				-	
Contact Name (If different than above	2.5				Phone_	971-708-6258
AddressCity, State, Zip	9020 SW War		q. Ra. #	170		
Contact Email	baltman@pd					
Property Owr	ner Informati	o <u>n</u>				
Property Owner No	ame <u>KWDS,</u>	LLC		-	Phone_	
Contact Name	1/: 1/1-	Avoy			Phone_	503-682-2337
Address	РО Вох	145				
City, State, Zip	Wilsonvi	lle, OR 9707	70			
Contact Email	kmcavo	y@jkmanage	e.com			
Site Location (If metes and bounds o					economic de la constanta de la	
Property Address_	2501 NE	Evans Stree	et			
Assessor Map No.	R4 T4S-R4	W-16BC C	3200	_Total Site	e Area	4.38 Acres
Subdivision				_Block		Lot <u>s 3200 & 320</u> 1
Comprehensive Pla	an Designation	Residentia	al	_Zoning D	esignatio	on <u>R-4 Multiple Fam</u> i

P16	ease indicate the type of adminis	Requirement_		Reduction to			
		Requirement_					
	Setbackfront, rear, side						
	☐ Other	Requirement_		Reduction to			
4							
1.	Describe, in detail, the nature of the request. A Variance to allow the reduction in rear yard setback for Buildings E & F from 21 feet to 19.18 & 19.55 respectively.						
2.	Is the variance request for this	property due to ur	nique circumsta	nces (i.e. shape or topography of			
	the site)? If yes, please describ			are do to site construction			
	error, which was not ide						
	The setback errors were not indentified during foundation inspection or any other time during construction. The error was not identified until an ALTA Survey was						
	completed, after construction						
	Completed, after construction	ii was complete.					
3.	What exceptional or extraordin	nary circumstance	es apply to the	e property which do not apply			
	generally to other property in the same zone or vicinity						
	early during the construciton process, at which time correction could have been made						
	without significant cost or hardship. But, moving the two buildings after construciton is						
	not practical nor economical	ly feasible.					
	•						
ļ. '	What property right would be pro	eserved substantia	ally the same as	s owners of the other property in			
	the same zone or vicinity, by granting the variance. The property right to be preserved is one of allowing for Administrative variance of less than 10% deviation from the required standar						
	under Section 17.74.080-090.						
•	under Section 17.74.000-090						
•							

5.	What unnecessary hardship would be avoided by granting the variance						
	The unnecessary hardship to be avoided is one of significant ecomonic cost of demolishing						
	two completed buildings and constructing two new buildings, relocated to meet the 21						
	foot rear setback requirement.						
6.	Why won't this request be materially detrimental to the surrounding area. The requested variance is less than 10%, representing only a 1.82 foot reduction for Building E and 1.45 foot						
	reduction for Building F. These minor variations in setback will not be visually recognizable						
	by the average passerby on NE Baker Street. In addition, a screening fence has been						
	constructed along the NE Baker Street frontage, which add visual and physical separation						
	of the buildings from the street view.						
In a	addition to this completed application, the applicant must provide the following:						
	A site plan (drawn to scale, with a north arrow, legible, and of a reproducible size), indicating existing and proposed buildings, dimensions, adjacent street(s), and distances from property lines, and any other information that would help substantiate or clarify your request.						
	Payment of the applicable review fee, which can be found on the Planning Department web page.						
l ce res	ertify the statements contained herein, along with the evidence submitted, are in all pects true and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.						
	Ben allman 7-23-2020 Date						
App	licant's Signature Date						
Pro	perty Owner's Signature Date						

5.	What unnecessary hardship would be avoided by granting the variance.
F 1	The unnecessary hardship to be avoided is one of significant ecomonic cost of demolishing two completed buildings and constructing two new buildings, relocated to meet the 21
	foot rear setback requirement.
6.	Why won't this request be materially detrimental to the surrounding area. The requested variance is less than 10%, representing only a 1.82 foot reduction for Building E and 1.45 foot
	reduction for Building F. These minor variations in setback will not be visually recognizable
,	by the average passerby on NE Baker Street. In addition, a screening fence has been
	constructed along the NE Baker Street frontage, which add visual and physical separation
	of the buildings from the street view.
	ddition to this completed application, the applicant must provide the following: A site plan (drawn to scale, with a north arrow, legible, and of a reproducible size), indicating existing and proposed buildings, dimensions, adjacent street(s), and distances from property lines, and any other information that would help substantiate or clarify your request.
Ċ	Payment of the applicable review fee, which can be found on the Planning Department web page. to be determined at time of decision being made find
	tify the statements contained herein, along with the evidence submitted, are in all ects true and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.
j	Ben allman 7-23-2020
ppli	Date Thomas M. 7-23.2020
горе	orty Owner's Signature Milling Date Date

LAND USE APPLICATION CITY OF McMinnville, OREGON

EVANS CROSSING SETBACK VARIANCE

July 27, 2020

APPLICANT/OWNER:

KWDS, LLC PO Box 145 Wilsonville, OR 97070 Contact: Kim McAvoy Phone: 503-682-2337

Email: kmcavoy@jkmanage.com

APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE:

Pioneer Design Group 9020 Washington Square Drive, Suite 170 Portland, OR 97223 Contact: Ben Altman Ph. Dir. 971-708-6258

Email: baltman@pd-grp.com

FACT SHEET

Project Name:

Evans Crossing

Proposed Action:

Setback Variance

Tax Map:

T4S R4W 16BC

Tax Lots:

3200 & 3201

Site Size:

4.38 Acres, 190,833 square feet

Addresses:

2501 NE Evans Street

Location:

West side of NE Evans Street, just north of NE 26^{th} Street, and east

side of NE Baker Street.

Zoning:

R-4 Multi-Family

APPLICANT/OWNER:

KWDS, LLC PO Box 145 Wilsonville, OR 97070 Contact: Kim McAvoy

Phone: 503-682-2337

Email: kmcavoy@jkmanage.com

APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE:

Pioneer Design Group 9020 Washington Square Drive, Suite 170 Portland, OR 97223

Contact: Ben Altman Ph. Dir. 971-708-6258

Mail: baltman@pd-grp.com

GENERAL INFORMATION

The subject property consists of a single Tax Lot 3200 & 3201, Map T4S R4W Section 16BC, the address is 2501 NE Evans Street. The property contains approximately 4.38 acres, or 190,833 square feet, and is zoned R-4 Multi-Family, per zone change ZC3-17/ZC4-17, Ordinance 5022.

* 1

Existing Conditions

The subject site has been developed with a multi-family housing development, as approved by prior zone change.

The Site Development Plans were reviewed and approved through the building permit process, subject to the Conditions of Approval established by the Zone Change Ordinance.

However, the ALTA Survey prepared for the final financing identified rear yard setback violations along the Baker Street frontage were identified for Buildings E and F.

Surrounding Uses

<u>North</u> - The property immediately north of the subject property is a developed residential subdivision which is zoned R-2.

<u>East</u> – To the east the property abuts Evan Street, with residential development zoned R-1 across the street.

<u>South</u> - The property immediately south of the subject property is a developed residential subdivision which is zoned R-2. Zone change Condition 4 provides for buffering along the south boundary of the site.

<u>West</u> - To the west the property abuts Baker Street. No access to the site is allowed from Baker Street. The property and development sits at an elevation approximately 10 feet above the elevation of NE Baker Street.

COMPIANCE WITH APPLICABLE DEVELOPMENT CODE CRITERIA

Chapter 17.21

R-4 MULTIPLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL ZONE

Sections:

17.21.010 Permitted uses.

17.21.020 Conditional uses.

17.21.030 Lot size.

17.21.040 Yard requirements.

17.21.050 Building height.

17.21.060 Density requirements.

17.21.010 Permitted uses. In an R-4 zone, the following uses and their accessory uses are permitted:

3

- A. Single-family dwelling;
- B. Two-family dwelling;
- C. Multiple-family dwelling;
- E. Condominium;
- 17.21.030 Lot size. In an R-4 zone, the lot size shall not be less than five thousand square feet, except that the lot area for common wall, single-family lots shall not be less than two thousand five hundred square feet per family. (Ord. 4128 (part), 1981; Ord. 3380 (part), 1968).
- 17.21.040 Yard requirements. In an R-4 zone, each lot shall have yards of the following size unless otherwise provided for in Section 17.54.050:
 - A. A front yard shall not be less than fifteen feet;
 - B. A side yard shall not be less than six feet, except an exterior side yard shall not be less than fifteen feet;
 - C. A rear yard shall not be less than twenty feet;
 - D. Whether attached to a residence or as a separate building, a covered storage facility for a vehicle on which the main opening is toward a street shall be located not less than twenty feet to the property line bordering the street;
 - E. All yards shall be increased, over the requirements of this section, one foot for each two feet of building height over thirty-five feet. (Ord. 4912 §3, 2009; Ord. 4128 (part), 1981; Ord. 3380 (part), 1968).
- 17.21.050 Building height. In an R-4 zone, a building shall not exceed sixty feet in height. (Ord. 4128 (part), 1981; Ord. 3380 (part), 1968).
- 17.21.060 Density requirements. In an R-4 zone, the lot area per family shall not be less than fifteen hundred square feet for each unit with two bedrooms or less, and not less than seventeen hundred fifty square feet for each unit with three bedrooms, and an additional five hundred square feet for each additional bedroom in excess of three in any one unit. The above requirements may be waived if the provisions of Section 17.21.020(M) are utilized. (Ord. 4796 §1(b), 2003; Ord. 4128 (part), 1981; Ord. 3380 (part), 1968).
- [17.21.070 Signs. Moved to Chapter 17.62 (Signs), by Ord. 4900 November 5, 2008.]
- 17.21.030 Lot size. In an R-4 zone, the lot size shall not be less than five thousand square feet, except that the lot area for common wall, single-family lots shall not be less than two thousand five hundred square feet per family. (Ord. 4128 (part), 1981; Ord. 3380 (part), 1968).

RESPONSE: The base R-4 zone allows for multi-family apartments as a permitted use, subject to standards and density, per Chapter 17.21.030 to .060.

The subject site has been developed with a multi-family housing development, as approved by through the building permit process, consistent with the R-4 zoning, per zone change ZC3-17/ZC4-17, Ordinance 5022.

17.21.040 Yard requirements. In an R-4 zone, each lot shall have yards of the following size unless otherwise provided for in Section 17.54.050:

A. A front yard shall not be less than fifteen feet;

B. A side yard shall not be less than six feet, except an exterior side yard shall not be less than fifteen feet;

C. A rear yard shall not be less than twenty feet;

D. Whether attached to a residence or as a separate building, a covered storage facility for a vehicle on which the main opening is toward a street shall be located not less than twenty feet to the property line bordering the street;

E. All yards shall be increased, over the requirements of this section, one foot for each two feet of building height over thirty-five feet. (Ord. 4912 §3, 2009; Ord. 4128 (part), 1981; Ord. 3380 (part), 1968).

Response: The ALTA Survey prepared for this development identified rear setback violation for Buildings E & F.

17.21.050 Building height. In an R-4 zone, a building shall not exceed sixty feet in height. (Ord. 4128 (part), 1981; Ord. 3380 (part), 1968).

Response: Consistent with 17.21.040E. the minimum required setbacks are adjusted based on building heights exceeding 35 feet. The ALTA Survey confirms that all setbacks are consistent with the approved plans, except for the rear setbacks along NE Baker Street for Buildings E & F.

Per the ALTA Survey there are only two buildings (E & F) which do not meet the required rear yard setback. These two buildings both exceed 35 feet in height, and therefore required additional setback per 17.21.040E, as follows:

Table 1
Setback Requirement
Based on Building Height

Building	Height	Standard R-4 Rear Setback	Additional Setback for Height Over 35 feet	Total Required Setback	Actual Setback
Е	38.3 feet	20 feet	1 foot	21 feet	19.18 feet
F	38.2 feet	20 feet	1 foot	21 feet	19.55 feet

These violations were not identified until after the buildings were constructed, which makes it impractical and economically infeasible to correct by moving the buildings. It is also noted that the building permit plans were actually approved with 20 foot setback along NE Baker Street.

Permission to depart from certain provisions of the Zoning Ordinance without changing the zoning of the property. A variance is requested when adherence to specific standards in the Zoning Ordinance would cause a particular hardship.

Therefore, the applicant is requesting an Administrative Variance to correct the violation. With the variance request, the applicant is requesting the variance from the required 21 foot setback.

An administrative variance is the process under which the Planning Director may grant certain adjustments to specific requirements in the Zoning Ordinance when the adjustment is within the limitations and conditions stated in Section 17.74.090 (Administrative Variance-Review Criteria) of the McMinnville Zoning Ordinance and listed below. These provisions are to be used sparingly. A request for a variance beyond these limitations is processed as a full variance application, which is subject to Planning Commission review at a public hearing.

Limits for adjustment are as follows:

- A. Lot area: Maximum possible adjustment of one percent of the minimum lot area but not more than 90 square feet.
- B. Setbacks: Maximum adjustment of 10 percent of the required setback. Variances are not allowed for standards pertaining to the number of dwelling units permitted, parking requirements, height of building, vision clearance area, density, or use of property.
- 17.74.080 Administrative Variance Limitations. Limitations for an administrative variance are outlined in Section 17.74.090. A request for an administrative variance beyond these limitations shall be submitted and processed as a variance application.

Response: The applicant is requesting an Administrative Variance to correct the identified setback violation, under B. above.

- 17.74.090 Administrative Variance-Review Criteria. The Planning Director may grant limited adjustments to the terms of this title as follows:
- A. Lot area: Maximum possible adjustment of one percent of the minimum lot area, but not more than 90 (ninety) square feet;

Response: This application is not related to lot area. The development meets lot area requirements.

B. Setbacks: Maximum adjustment of 10 (ten) percent of the required setback.

Response: The standard minimum rear setback is 20 feet, with additional setback required for building height, as reflected in Table 1. The actual setbacks identified by the ALTA Survey compared to the required setbacks are as follows:

- Building E 19.18 feet versus 21 feet = 8.6% variance.
- Building F 19.55 feet versus 21 feet = 6.9% variance

Both variances are less than 10% of the applicable standard. Therefore, this criterion is met.

6

C. These provisions shall be used sparingly and shall not be exceeded except by regular referral to the Planning Commission.

Response: The requested variances are being applied sparingly as they are applied to only two of the 10 total buildings, and only for the rear setback, with less than 10% variance from the standard. All other setbacks maintain compliance with the R-4 setback standards, as approved building permit plans.

The property and development sits at an elevation approximately 10 feet above the elevation of NE Baker Street, so there is both a horizontal and vertical separation of the buildings from the street. In addition to the rear setback, a fence has been constructed along the entire frontage of NE Baker Street, which screens and buffers the buildings from the street view, thereby visually minimizing the minor setback variation. This variation will not be recognizable to the average passerby.

D. Special conditions may be attached to adjustments if such conditions relate directly to the adjustments. Subjects not allowable for adjustment are: number of dwelling units permitted, parking requirements, height of building, vision clearance area, density or use of property.

Response: The requested variances are limited to just the rear setbacks for Buildings E & F, and are not listed above as being disallowed.

FINAL CONCLUSION: Based on the findings presented herein, the applicant has demonstrated compliance with all of the applicable R-4 and Administrative Variance criteria.

The request to allow a minor setback variance for the area between Buildings E & F adjacent to Evans Street of the Evan Crossing multi-family apartments.

Therefore, the applicants respectfully request approval of the requested setback Variance.

ATTACHMENTS:

- 1. Staff Report, Zone Change File number ZC-3-17/ZC4-17, Ord 5022.
- 2. ALTA Survey, prepared by K & E Excavating Inc.

