

From: [Dennis McGanty](#)
To: [Heather Richards](#)
Subject: Urban Growth Boundary Amendment G 6-20
Date: Tuesday, December 1, 2020 1:08:55 PM

This message originated outside of the City of McMinnville.

To: City Council Review

I would like to share what my wife and I and other property owners at South Riverside, also known as (Riverside Loop), feel regarding proposed changes in the Urban Growth Boundary of such property. With only a few weeks notice, and vague information and extremely poor maps that did not show the boundaries, we were asked to make our decision.

Many of us asked for maps that better explained the action area better. We received an updated map about 10 days prior to your council meeting and it was not any better and does not properly outline flood plain areas on Riverside Loop.

To include South Riverside into the city limits would have a life changing effect on all who live here, and is totally unsuited for this urban sprawl. All of us have spent thousands of dollars for wells, filtration systems and septic and leach lines to live here. When South Riverside or (Riverside Loop) if annexed into the city we were told by Heather, Riverside Loop road would not be improved as they would enter from upper Riverside Drive, she also told us we would not benefit from other city amenities such as water and sewage.

We were also advised that some of us who wanted to subdivide our property could do so, I dont know of any of us who would do this because we are here to enjoy our property. The last sub-division in our area was required to have 2 1/2 acre plots, because of sewage concerns, so how could we subdivide any of our 1 or 2 acre properties.

I understand we have a need for well planned growth in McMinnville, however the UGB change has not been well planned and is not proper for South Riverside. I was very concerned to have Heather Richards explain the rush to get this done was to secure 960 acres to prepare for growing our town by 10,000 people by the year 2023. She said the city is set to increase from 34,000 to 44,000 in less than 3 years.

We dont feel this is correct or proper and would like the council to withdraw the South Riverside area from this poorly thought out amendment.

In one of the online chats Heather commented that South Riverside was the "over my dead body group of people". I would not say that about us, and she shouldn't either. I am a lifelong resident of McMinnville and born in McMinnville, who has lived on Riverside Loop for most of my life. I do not feel the G 6-20 amendment has been properly thought out and would like the council to delete South Riverside from the Amendment.

The infrastructure of McMinnville has not kept up with the subdivisions already in progress and needs to be addressed prior to any further subdivisions or light commercial projects that would further bog down our current infrastructure. We would hope that the council would address the infrastructure first.

Thank you for your consideration to the residents of South Riverside.

Respectfully,

Dennis and Julie McGanty