

CITY OF MCMINNVILLE PLANNING DEPARTMENT 231 NE FIFTH STREET MCMINNVILLE, OR 97128

503-434-7311 www.mcminnvilleoregon.gov

DECISION, CONDITIONS, FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONARY FINDINGS FOR THE APPROVAL OF A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR WIRELESS ANTENNAS TO BE MOUNTED ON THE ROOFTOP OF THE BUILDING AT 375 NE FORD STREET

DOCKET:

CU 2-18 (Conditional Use)

REQUEST:

Approval to allow the placement of three antennas on the rooftop of an existing building within the Downtown Historic District. The rooftop of the building would serve as the alternative antenna support structure for the mounting of the

antennas.

LOCATION:

The subject site is located at 375 NE Ford Street, and is more specifically described as Tax Lot 4700, Section 21BC, T. 4 S., R. 4 W., W.M., respectively.

ZONING:

The subject site's current zoning is C-3 (General Commercial)

APPLICANT:

Patrick Fuchs, on behalf of Owner Odd Fellows Building, LLC

STAFF:

Chuck Darnell, Senior Planner

DATE DEEMED

COMPLETE:

December 6, 2018

HEARINGS BODY:

McMinnville Planning Commission

DATE & TIME:

January 17, 2018. Civic Hall, 200 NE 2nd Street, McMinnville, Oregon.

PROCEDURE:

A request for approval of a Conditional Use Permit requires an application to be reviewed by the Planning Commission during a public hearing, as described in

Section 17.72.120 of the McMinnville City Code.

CRITERIA:

The applicable criteria are specified in Section 17.74.030 of the McMinnville

Municipal Code.

APPEAL:

The decision may be appealed within 15 days of the date the decision is mailed

as specified in Section 17.72.180 of the McMinnville Municipal Code.

COMMENTS:

This matter was referred to the following public agencies for comment: McMinnville Fire Department, Police Department, Engineering Department, Building Department, Parks Department, City Manager, and City Attorney; McMinnville Water and Light; McMinnville School District No. 40; Yamhill County Public Works; Yamhill County Planning Department; Frontier Communications; Comcast; and Northwest Natural Gas. Their comments are

provided in this exhibit.

Attachments:

Attachment 1 - Application and Attachments

Heather Richards, Planning Director

DECISION

Based on the findings and conclusions, the Planning Commission **APPROVES** the Conditional Use Permit (CU 2-18), **subject to the conditions of approval provided in this document.**

Planning Commission:

Planning Commission:

Planning Commission:

Date: 1.25.2019

Planning Department:

Date: January 24, 2019


APPLICATION SUMMARY:


The subject site is located at 375 NE Ford Street and is a commercial property occupied by the Atticus Hotel building. The site is zoned C-3 (General Commercial) and is also located in the Downtown Design District as defined by Section 17.59.020(A) of the McMinnville Municipal Code (MMC). The applicant is proposing to install three wireless communication antennas on the rooftop of the existing building on the subject site. Section 17.55.040(B) of the MMC requires a conditional use permit for the placement of wireless communications antennas on properties within the Downtown Design District, and only allows for antennas in the Downtown Design District if they are mounted on alternative antenna support structures. Alternative antenna support structures are defined in Section 17.06.050 of the MMC as follows:


Alternative Antenna Support Structures – Roofs of buildings, provided they are 30 feet or more in height above the street grade upon which such buildings front, church steeples, existing and replacement utility poles, flagpoles, street light standards, traffic light and traffic sign structures, billboards and commercial signs, and other similar man-made structures and devices that extend vertically from the ground to a sufficient height or elevation to accommodate the attachment of antennas at an altitude or elevation that is commercially desirable for wireless communications signal transmission and reception. Antennas cannot serve as an alternative antenna support structure.

The existing Atticus Hotel building on the subject site was constructed in 2018, and is approximately 54 feet in height. The height of the building is over 30 feet, which allows for the rooftop to function as an alternative antenna support structure.

The antennas are proposed to be located on the rooftop in the area shown in the roof plan and renderings below:







An aerial map of the subject site is also provided below (boundary of the site is approximate):



CONDITIONS:

- 1. That the applicant shall not include the panels on the south side of the antennas.
- 2. That this conditional use permit approval shall be terminated if the proposed improvements do not commence within one year of the effective date of this approval, or if the use once commenced lapses for any single period of time that exceeds one year in duration.

ATTACHMENTS:

1. CU 2-18 Application and Attachments (on file with the Planning Department)

COMMENTS:

Agency Comments

This matter was referred to the following public agencies for comment: McMinnville Fire Department, Police Department, Parks and Recreation Department, Engineering and Building Departments, City

Manager, and City Attorney, McMinnville School District No. 40, McMinnville Water and Light, Yamhill County Public Works, Yamhill County Planning Department, Recology Western Oregon, Frontier Communications, Comcast, Northwest Natural Gas. The following comments had been received:

McMinnville Building Department

There are no concerns related to the conditional use from a building permit perspective. As an informational note, a building permit is necessary for the installation of the antennas if they are moved. The anchorage must be designed by an Oregon licensed engineer. Electrical permitting will be through Yamhill County.

McMinnville Engineering Department

No comments.

McMinnville Fire Department

We have no issues with this conditional use.

McMinnville Water and Light

MWL has no comments on this application.

Public Comments

Notice of this request was mailed to property owners located within 200 feet of the subject site. Notice of the public hearing was also provided in the News Register on Tuesday, January 8, 2019. As of the date of the Planning Commission public hearing on January 17, 2019, no public testimony had been received by the Planning Department.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Patrick Fuchs, on behalf of owner Odd Fellows Building, LLC, requested a conditional use permit to allow the placement of three wireless communications antennas on the rooftop of an existing building within the Downtown Design District. The subject site is located at 375 NE Ford Street, and is more specifically described as Tax Lot 4700, Section 21BC, T. 4 S., R. 4 W., W.M.
- 2. The site is currently designated as Commercial on the McMinnville Comprehensive Plan Map, 1980. The site is currently zoned C-3 (General Commercial) on the McMinnville Zoning Map.
- 3. Sanitary sewer and municipal water and power can adequately serve the site. The municipal water reclamation facility has sufficient capacity to accommodate expected waste flows resulting from development of the property.
- 4. This matter was referred to the following public agencies for comment: McMinnville Fire Department, Police Department, Parks and Recreation Department, Engineering and Building Departments, City Manager, and City Attorney, McMinnville School District No. 40, McMinnville Water and Light, Yamhill County Public Works, Yamhill County Planning

CU 2-18– Decision Document Page 7

Department, Recology Western Oregon, Frontier Communications, Comcast, Northwest Natural Gas. No comments in opposition were provided to the Planning Department.

- 5. Notice of the application was provided by the City of McMinnville to property owners within 200 feet of the subject site, as required by the process described in Section 17.72.120 (Applications— Public Hearings) of the McMinnville Zoning Ordinance. Notice of the public hearing was also provided in the News Register on Tuesday, January 8, 2019. No public testimony was provided to the Planning Department prior to the Planning Commission public hearing.
- 6. The applicant has submitted findings (Attachment 1) in support of this application. Those findings are herein incorporated.

CONCLUSIONARY FINDINGS:

McMinnville's Comprehensive Plan:

The following Goals and policies from Volume II of the McMinnville Comprehensive Plan of 1981 are applicable to this request:

- GOAL X1: TO PROVIDE OPPORTUNITIES FOR CITIZEN INVOLVEMENT IN THE LAND USE DECISION MAKING PROCESS ESTABLISHED BY THE CITY OF McMINNVILLE.
- Policy 188.00 The City of McMinnville shall continue to provide opportunities for citizen involvement in all phases of the planning process. The opportunities will allow for review and comment by community residents and will be supplemented by the availability of information on planning requests and the provision of feedback mechanisms to evaluate decisions and keep citizens informed.

<u>Finding</u>: **Goal X1 and Policy 188.00 are satisfied**. McMinnville continues to provide opportunities for the public to review and obtain copies of the application materials and completed staff report prior to the holding of advertised public hearing(s). All members of the public have access to provide testimony and ask questions during the public review and hearing process.

McMinnville's City Code:

The following Sections of the McMinnville Zoning Ordinance (Ord. No. 3380) are applicable to the request:

- 17.55.040 Permitted and conditional use locations of antennas, small cells, DAS, antenna support structures and alternative antenna support structures to be used for wireless communications service. All non-exempt (17.55.030) WCF (antennas, antenna support structures, alternative antenna support structures, small cells and DAS) are permitted, conditionally permitted, or prohibited to be located in zones as provided in this Chapter and as listed below: [...]
 - B. Conditional Uses. In all zones other than industrial zones, antenna(s) may be mounted to existing alternative antenna support structures limited to an additional 20-feet in total height added subject to conditional use approval by the Planning Commission. Except for small cells installed in the public right-of-way, such antennas so mounted shall be obscured from view from all streets and immediately adjacent properties by the use of screening materials designed, painted and maintained in a manner that will blend with the appearance of the existing building or structure. Small cells installed in the public right-of-way are subject to 17.55.050 (A)(1). For properties located within the area identified in Chapter 17.59 (Downtown Design Standards and Guidelines), antennas proposed for mounting on alternative antenna

support structures, in addition to all requirements of this Chapter, are subject to conditional use permit approval by the Planning Commission.
[...]

D. Wireless Communications Facilities Matrix:

WIRELESS FACILITIES		
ZONE	ANTENNA SUPPORT STRUCTURES	ANTENNAS (INCLUSIVE OF SMALL CELLS) MOUNTED TO ALTERNATIVE ANTENNA SUPPORT STRUCTURES*
Residential	Prohibited	Permitted - No additional height added
		Conditional Use – Less than or equal to 20 feet height added
Commercial	Prohibited	Permitted - Less than or equal to 10 feet height added
		Conditional Use - Within Downtown Design District
		Conditional Use – Less than or equal to 20 feet height added
Industrial	Permitted outside of the Downtown Design District	Permitted (100-foot maximum finished height)
Agricultural Holding	Prohibited	Conditional – Less than or equal to 20 feet height added
Floodplain	Prohibited	Conditional – Less than or equal to 20 feet height added

^{*} Subject to the requirements of Chapter 17.55.

Finding: Section 17.55.040(B) and Section 17.55.040(D) are satisfied by this proposal.

The subject site is zoned C-3 (General Commercial) and is also located in the Downtown Design District as defined by Section 17.59.020(A) of the McMinnville Municipal Code (MMC). The applicant is proposing to install three wireless communication antennas on the rooftop of the existing building on the subject site. Alternative antenna support structures are defined in Section 17.06.050 of the MMC as follows:

Alternative Antenna Support Structures – Roofs of buildings, provided they are 30 feet or more in height above the street grade upon which such buildings front, church steeples, existing and replacement utility poles, flagpoles, street light standards, traffic light and traffic sign structures, billboards and commercial signs, and other similar man-made structures and devices that extend vertically from the ground to a sufficient height or elevation to accommodate the attachment of antennas at an altitude or elevation that is commercially desirable for wireless communications signal transmission and reception. Antennas cannot serve as an alternative antenna support structure.

CU 2-18– Decision Document Page 10

The existing Atticus Hotel building on the subject site was constructed in 2018, and is approximately 54 feet in height. The height of the building is over 30 feet, which allows for the rooftop to function as an alternative antenna support structure.

<u>17.55.050</u> Development review standards.

All WCF shall comply with the following design and review standards, unless identified as being legally non-conforming (grandfathered) as per the requirements of Chapter 17.63 (Nonconforming Uses).

A. Visual Impact.

1. Antennas. Façade-mounted antennas (inclusive of small cells) shall be architecturally integrated into the building/structural improvement design and otherwise made as unobtrusive as possible. As appropriate, antennas shall be located entirely within an existing or newly created architectural feature so as to be completely screened from view. Façade-mounted antennas shall not extend more than two (2) feet out from the building face. Roof-mounted antennas shall be constructed at the minimum height possible to serve the operator's service area and shall be set back as far from the building edge as possible or otherwise screened to minimize visibility from the public right-of-way and adjacent properties.[...]

Finding: Section 17.55.050(A)(1) is satisfied by this proposal.

The antennas are proposed to be roof-mounted, and will be 3.5 feet above the top of the parapet walls. The antennas will be set back from the building edge by 15 feet, which the applicant has stated is the minimum height to maintain a line-of-sight for the antennas. The applicant has also provided a line-of-sight analysis detailing the view of the antennas at their proposed height and location from multiple surrounding locations, which will be discussed in more detail below.

2. Height. Freestanding antenna support structures and alternative antenna support structures shall be exempted from the height limitations of the zone in which they are located, but shall not exceed one-hundred (100) feet in Industrial zones unless it is demonstrated that additional height is necessary. Antennas (inclusive of small cells) shall not exceed fifty (50) feet in height in residential zones. This exemption notwithstanding, the height and mass of the antenna support structure shall be the minimum which is necessary for its intended use, as demonstrated in a report prepared by a radio frequency engineer or a licensed civil engineer. A WCF that is attached to an alternative antenna support structure shall not exceed the height indicated in the matrix in 17.55.040(D).


Finding: Section 17.55.050(A)(2) is satisfied by this proposal.

The antennas are proposed to add 7 feet of height from the rooftop surface, which will be 3.5 feet taller than the parapet wall. The matrix in Section 17.55.040(D) states that antennas mounted to alternative support structures in commercial zones are permitted when the height is an additional 10 feet or less, and also allows up to 20 feet in additional height if a conditional use permit is obtained. The height proposed at 3.5 feet above the parapet wall height would normally be permitted. The conditional use permit being requested is not based on the height, but because of the location of the property within the Downtown Design District.


3. Visual Impact. All WCF shall be designed to minimize the visual impact to the maximum extent possible by means of placement, screening, landscaping and camouflage. All WCF shall also be designed to be compatible with existing architectural elements, building materials, and other site characteristics. All WCF shall be sited in such a manner as to minimize the visual impact to the viewshed from other properties. The use of camouflage technique(s), as found acceptable to the Planning Director to conceal antennas, associated equipment and wiring, and antenna supports is required.

Finding: Section 17.55.050(A)(3) is satisfied by this proposal.

The antennas are proposed to be placed in a location that minimizes visual impact to the viewshed from other properties. The building in question is one of the tallest in the surrounding area. The Hotel Oregon building at 310 NE Evans Street is the only building in the surrounding area that is taller. The applicant has acknowledged that the rooftop of the subject building would be visible from the rooftop patio on the Hotel Oregon building, and has provided renderings and images of what the view of the antennas would be from the rooftop patio on that building. Those images can be seen below:




The rooftop of the building in question contains a variety of equipment, such as fans and air conditioner units, and the antennas are proposed to be located between this equipment. The applicant has also provided a photo of the view from the location of the Atticus Hotel rooftop where the antennas will be mounted, looking towards the Hotel Oregon building. Due to the other existing equipment, the antennas will not be highly visible from the Hotel Oregon building. The photo from the Atticus Hotel rooftop is provided below:



The applicant has also shown that the antennas will not be visible from the surrounding public right-of-way. The applicant provided a line-of-sight and viewshed analysis, which included an analysis of the viewshed from both the Hotel Oregon building described above and a location within the surrounding public right-of-way. The locations of the viewshed analysis are provided below:



The view from the location in the public right-of-way is from the intersection of 5th Street and Ford Street, north of the subject site. At this location, the view towards the building would not allow for a person to see the antennas as they are proposed to be located only 3.5 feet above the parapet wall and 15 feet from the parapet wall. The viewshed and line-of-sight analysis can be seen below:



Solve for angle A first: angle A = arctan (height / length)

Arctan (149 ft / 265 ft) = 29.35 degrees angle A

Now that angle A is known, LOS height can be solved at length + 15ft (setback)

LOS Height = Length \star tan (angle A).


(265 ft to building + 15 ft setback) * tan (29.35 degrees) = 157.45 ft

157,45 ft observer line-of-sight - 149 ft parapet wall height = 8.45 ft above wall

8.45 ft line-of-sight above parapet wall -3.5 ft antenna above wall =4.95 ft margin

The antenna structure on Atticus is approximately 5 ft below the line-of-sight from an observer in the ROW at $5^{\rm h}$ and Ford St (265 ft away).

The other existing equipment on the Atticus Hotel rooftop is not visible from this location, and the antennas would not be either. The view from the intersection of 5th Street and Ford Street is provided below:



Based on the evidence provided and the existing conditions of the rooftop, the placement of the antennas in their proposed location and height on the rooftop would have a negative visual impact on surrounding properties. The applicant had also proposed to include panels on the south side of the antennas to further screen the antennas from views to the south, which includes the view from the Hotel Oregon building's rooftop patio. These panels were found to be unnecessary, as they actually add a larger material that would increase the visual clutter on the rooftop. Therefore, a condition of approval is included to allow for the antennas to be placed on the rooftop without the panels.

4. Screening. Except in the public right-of-way, the area around the base of antenna support structures (including any equipment enclosure) is to be fenced, with a sight-obscuring fence a minimum of six feet in height. The fenced area is to be surrounded by evergreen shrubs (or a similar type of evergreen landscaping), placed within a landscaped strip a minimum of ten feet in width. In the event that placement of a proposed antenna support structure and/or equipment enclosure is located in a unique area within a subject site that would not benefit from the addition of landscaped screening, the Planning Director may require that the applicant submit a landscape plan illustrating the addition of a proportional landscape area that will enhance the subject site either at a building perimeter, parking lot, or street frontage, adjacent to or within the subject site.

Finding: Section 17.55.050(A)(4) is satisfied by this proposal.

The proposed location on a rooftop of an existing building does not warrant or require landscaping or screening around the antennas.

5. Color.

- a. A camouflage or stealth design that blends with the surrounding area shall be utilized for all wireless and broadcast communication facilities unless an alternative design is approved during the land use review process. If an alternative design is approved, all towers, antennas and associated equipment shall be painted a non-reflective, neutral color as approved through the review process. Attached communication facilities shall be painted so as to be identical to or compatible with the existing structure.
- b. Antenna support structures more than 100 feet in height shall be painted in accordance with the Oregon Department of Aviation (ODA) and Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) rules.
- c. Where ancillary facilities are allowed under this code to be visible, they shall be colored or surfaced so as to blend the facilities with the surrounding natural and built environment, and where mounted on the ground shall be otherwise screened from public view, or placed underground.

Finding: Section 17.55.050(A)(5) is satisfied by this proposal.

The applicant is proposing to have the antennas and mounting structure white, which will be the same color as the surrounding rooftop and similar to other equipment on the rooftop.

6. Signage. Except when included as part of a camouflage or stealth design, there shall be no signs, symbols, flags, banners, or other such elements attached to or painted or inscribed upon any WCF except for warning and safety signage with a surface area of no more than three (3) square feet. Except as required by law, all signs are prohibited on WCF except for one non-illuminated sign, not to exceed two (2) square feet, which shall be provided at the main entrance to the WCF, stating the owner's name, the wireless operator(s) if different from the owner, and address and a contact name and phone number for emergency purposes.

Finding: Section 17.55.050(A)(6) is satisfied by this proposal.

No signage is proposed as part of the proposal.

7. Historic Buildings and Structures. If the application involves the placement of an antenna on a building that is listed in the National Register of Historic Places or the McMinnville Historic Resources Inventory, no such permit shall be issued without the prior approval of the McMinnville Historic Landmarks Committee.

Finding: Section 17.55.050(A)(7) is satisfied by this proposal.

The Atticus Hotel building, while in the Downtown Design District, is not located in the Downtown Historic District that is listed on the National Register of Historic Places. The building is also newer construction, and is not listed on the McMinnville Historic Resources Inventory.

8. Accessory Building Size. Within the public right-of-way, no above-ground accessory buildings shall be permitted. Outside of the public right-of-way, all accessory buildings and structures permitted to contain equipment accessory to a WCF shall not exceed twelve (12) feet in height unless a greater height is necessary and required by a condition of approval to maximize architectural

integration. Each accessory building or structure is limited to two hundred (200) square feet, unless approved through a Conditional Use Permit. If approved in a Residential zone or the Downtown Design District, all equipment and ancillary facilities necessary for the operation of and constructed as part of a WCF shall be placed within an existing structure, incorporated into the WCF's design, or placed within an underground vault specific to the purpose. If it is infeasible to locate the facilities within an existing structure or incorporate them into the WCF's design, and it can be sufficiently demonstrated to the Planning Director that undergrounding a vault would be impractical and/or infeasible (due to high water table, shallow bedrock, etc.) the Planning Director may waive this requirement in place of stealthing and/or screening sufficient to buffer the equipment. Unenclosed storage of materials is prohibited. Other building facilities, including offices, vehicle storage areas or other similar uses not necessary for transmission or relay functions are prohibited unless a separate land use application for such is submitted and approved. Such other facilities shall not be allowed in Residential zones.

- 9. Utility Vaults and Equipment Pedestals. Within the public right-of-way, utility vaults and equipment pedestals associated with WCF must be underground to the maximum extent possible, unless they are incorporated into the design of the WCF as otherwise allowed by this chapter.
- 10. Parking. No net loss in minimum required parking spaces shall occur as a result of the installation of any WCF.
- 11. Sidewalks and Pathways. Cabinets and other equipment shall not impair pedestrian use of sidewalks or other pedestrian paths or bikeways on public or private land.
- 12. Lighting. No antennas, or antenna support structures shall be artificially lighted except as required by the FAA or other State or Federal governmental agency. All other site lighting for security and maintenance purposes shall be shielded and directed downward, unless otherwise required under Federal law.

Finding: Sections 17.55.050(A)(8) – (12) are satisfied by this proposal.

The applicant is not proposing any accessory buildings, utility vaults or pedestals, parking, sidewalks, or lighting to be associated with the antennas.

- B. Setbacks and Separation.
 - Setbacks. Except when located in the public right-of-way, all WCF antenna support structures shall be set back from any other property line by a distance at least equal to the maximum height of the facility including any antennas or other appurtenances attached thereto, unless this requirement is specifically waived by the Planning Director or the Planning Commission for purposes of mitigating visual impacts or improving compatibility with other uses on the property. All WCF are prohibited in a required front yard, rear yard, side yard, or exterior side yard setback of any lot in any zone, and no portion of any antenna shall extend into such setback. For guyed towers or monopoles, all guy anchors shall be located outside of the required site setbacks.

Finding: Section 17.55.050(B)(1) is satisfied by this proposal.

The antennas are proposed to be 7 feet in height as measured from the roof surface on which they will be mounted. The antennas are also proposed to be located 15 feet from the parapet wall and building edge, which exceeds the height of the antennas.

2. Separation. No antenna support structure shall be permitted to be constructed, installed or erected within 1,000 feet of any other antenna support structure that is owned, operated, or occupied by the same wireless communications service. Exceptions to this standard may be permitted by the Planning Director if, after reviewing evidence submitted by the service provider, the Director finds that: 1) a closer spacing is required in order to provide adequate wireless communication service to the subject area; and, 2) the service provider has exhausted all reasonable means of co-locating on other antenna support structures that may be located within the proposed service area.

Antennas mounted on rooftops or City-approved alternative support structures shall be exempt from these minimum separation requirements. However, antennas and related equipment may be required to be set back from the edge of the roof line in order to minimize their visual impact on surrounding properties and must be screened in a manner found acceptable to the reviewing authority.

Finding: Section 17.55.050(A)(2) is satisfied by this proposal.

The antennas are proposed to be mounted on a rooftop of an existing building, so the proposal is exempt from this requirement.

17.74.030 Authorization to Grant or Deny Conditional Use. A conditional use listed in this ordinance shall be permitted, altered or denied in accordance with the standards and procedures of this chapter. In the case of a use existing prior to the effective date of this ordinance and classified in this ordinance as a conditional use, a change in the use or in lot area, or an alteration of any structure shall conform to the requirements for conditional uses. In judging whether or not a conditional use proposal shall be approved or denied, the Planning Commission shall weigh its appropriateness and desirability or the public convenience or necessity to be served against any adverse conditions that would result from authorizing the particular development at the location proposed and, to approve such use, shall find that the following criteria are either met, can be met by observance of conditions, or are not applicable:

A. The proposal will be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and the objectives of the zoning ordinance and other applicable policies of the City;

Finding: Section 17.74.030 (A) is satisfied by this proposal.

The proposal is consistent with the applicable Comprehensive Plan policies and applicable objectives of the zoning ordinance, as described in more detail above.

B. That the location, size, design, and operating characteristics of the proposed development are such that it can be made reasonably compatible with and have minimal impact on the livability or appropriate development of abutting properties and the surrounding neighborhood, with consideration to be given to harmony in scale, bulk, coverage, and density; to the availability of public facilities and utilities; to the generation of traffic and the capacity of surrounding streets; and to any other relative impact of the development;


Finding: Section 17.74.030 (B) is satisfied by this proposal.

Harmony in Scale, Bulk, Coverage, and Density: The antennas proposed to be mounted on the rooftop of the Atticus Hotel building will be placed in a location that will have minimal impact on the livability or appropriate development of abutting properties. The antennas are proposed to be placed at a height of only 3.5 feet above the top of the parapet wall of the building, and will also be located 15 feet from the nearest parapet wall. This will not have any negative visual impact on surrounding


properties, as shown in the visual impact analysis described above, and will result in the antennas having very low visibility from existing development.

Future redevelopment of surrounding properties could result in additional buildings that are taller than the existing Atticus Hotel building at about 54 feet in height, as the maximum height in the C-3 (General Commercial) zone is 80 feet. However, even if that type of redevelopment occurred and future buildings had a more prominent view of the Atticus Hotel building, the size and scale of the proposed antennas and mounting equipment is not such that it would have an impact on the livability of the surrounding properties. The proposed antennas are 59 x 35 x 60 inches, 47 x 28 x 48 inches, and 28.54 x 20.47 x 16.14 inches in size (all measured in length x width x height). The antennas will be grouped together on one set of mounting equipment, and will be placed in a location that is screened and intermingled with other required mechanical equipment serving the Atticus Hotel building, some of which is taller than the proposed antennas themselves. Therefore, the size and scale of the proposed antennas is compatible with the building on which the antennas will be located.

The applicant has also noted that the antennas are actually proposed to be relocated from three separate existing locations on other existing buildings in the general vicinity of the subject site. The existing placement of the antennas is much more prominent and easily visible from surrounding properties and right-of-way, and the proposal to relocate all three antennas to one location on the rooftop of the Atticus Hotel building would consolidate the antennas a single location that is less visible and has less visual impact on the surrounding environment. The existing locations of the antennas, which are mounted on the News Register building at 601 NE 3rd Street, can be seen below:



CU 2-18- Decision Document



Operating Characteristics: Based on the descriptions of the equipment and the fact that there will be no staffing or regular maintenance of the equipment required the antennas will not have any operating characteristics that would impact the livability or compatibility of surrounding properties.

Availability of Public Facilities and Utilities: Adequate public facilities serve the existing site, including water, sewer, and streets. The McMinnville Building and Engineering Departments, as well as McMinnville Water and Light, have reviewed the plans and have no concerns with the ability for public facilities to serve the proposed development.

Traffic, Circulation, and Parking: The antennas will have no impact on traffic, circulation, or parking on the subject site.

C. That the development will cause no significant adverse impact on the livability, value, or appropriate development of abutting properties of the surrounding area when compared to the impact of permitted development that is not classified as conditional;

Finding: Section 17.74.030 (C) is satisfied by this proposal.

As described in more detail above, the proposed design, scale, size, and location will not have any adverse impacts on the livability of surrounding property, especially when compared to the impact of some other types of permitted development that are not classified as conditional. Based on the line-of-sight and visual impact analysis provided by the applicant, the proposed antennas and equipment are adequately placed and designed so as to have minimal impacts on surrounding properties. Also, the antennas are actually proposed to be relocated from three separate existing locations on other existing buildings in the general vicinity of the subject site. The existing placement of the antennas is much more prominent and easily visible from surrounding properties and right-of-way, and the proposal to relocate all three antennas to one location on the rooftop of the Atticus Hotel building would consolidate the antennas a single location that is less visible and has less visual impact on the surrounding environment.

D. The location and design of the site and structures for the proposal will be as attractive as the nature of the use and its setting warrants;

Finding: Section 17.74.030 (D) is satisfied by this proposal.

The proposed design, scale, size, and location of the proposed antennas will not have any adverse impacts on the attractiveness of the building on which they are located. Based on the line-of-sight and visual impact analysis provided by the applicant, the proposed antennas and equipment are adequately placed and designed so as to have minimal impacts on the surrounding environment.

E. The proposal will preserve environmental assets of particular interest to the community;

Finding: Section 17.74.030 (E) is satisfied by this proposal.

The subject site does not contain any significant environmental assets that would be of any particular interest to the community. The site has been recently fully developed as a commercial use in a location that is completely surrounded by other fully developed property.

F. The applicant has a bona fide intent and capability to develop and use the land as proposed and has no inappropriate purpose for submitting the proposal, such as to artificially alter property values for speculative purposes.

Finding: Section 17.74.030 (F) is satisfied by this proposal.

The applicant intends to move the antennas from their existing locations and mount them on the Atticus Hotel rooftop upon approval of the conditional use permit, which they have stated in their application would occur between January 1, 2019 and March 30, 2019, depending on the approval date. The applicant, McMinnville Access Company and Online NW, also is an existing and established internet and wireless provider in the city and has provided a signed lease agreement with the property owner, which shows that the applicant has a bona fide intent and capability to complete the project as proposed.

- 17.74.040 Placing Conditions on a Conditional Use Permit. In permitting a new conditional use or the alteration of an existing conditional use, the Planning Commission may impose, in addition to those standards and requirements expressly specified by this ordinance, additional conditions which it finds necessary to avoid a detrimental environmental impact and to otherwise protect the best interest of the surrounding area or the community as a whole. These conditions may include, but need not be limited to, the following:
 - A. Limiting the manner in which the use is conducted including restrictions on the time a certain activity may take place and restraints to minimize such environmental effects as noise, vibration, air pollution, glare, and odor;
 - B. Establishing a special yard or other open space, lot area, or dimension;
 - C. Limiting the height, size, or location of a building or other structure;
 - D. Designating the size, number, location and nature of vehicle access points;
 - E. Increasing the amount of street dedication, roadway width, or improvements within the street right-of-way;
 - F. Designating the size, location, screening, drainage, surfacing, or other improvement of a parking area or truck loading area;
 - G. Limiting or otherwise designating the number, size, location, height and lighting of signs;
 - H. Limiting the location and intensity of outdoor lighting and requiring its shielding;
 - I. Requiring diking, screening, landscaping, or another facility to protect adjacent or nearby property and designating standards for its installation and maintenance;

- J. Designating the size, height, location, and materials for a fence;
- K. Protecting and preserving existing trees, vegetation, water resource, wildlife habitat, or other significant natural resource;
- L. Such other conditions as will make possible the development of the City in an orderly and efficient manner in conformity with the intent and purposes set forth in this ordinance.

Finding: Section 17.74.040 is satisfied by this proposal.

The applicant had proposed to include panels on the south side of the antennas to further screen the antennas from views to the south, which includes the view from the Hotel Oregon building's rooftop patio. These panels were found to be unnecessary, as they actually add a larger material that would increase the visual clutter on the rooftop. Therefore, a condition of approval is included to allow for the antennas to be placed on the rooftop without the panels, which is allowable based on its relationship to screening of the facility and the installation of the antennas.

CD:sjs