## Exhibit 1

ORDINANCE NO. 4722

An Ordinance approving a comprehensive plan map amendment from Commercial to Residential, and zone changes from a County EF-80 (Exclusive Farm Use - 80-acre minimum) zone, a City R-1 (Single-Family Residential) zone, and a City C-3 PD (General Commercial Planned Development) zone to a City R-2 PD (Single-Family Residential - Planned Development) zone on approximately 30.2 acres of land located north of Baker Creek Road, east of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints, and south of Baker Creek.

## RECITALS

The Planning Commission received an application (CPA 10-99 / ZC 19-99 / S 6-99) from Premier Home Builders, Inc. dated October 13, 1999, requesting a comprehensive plan map amendment from Commercial to Residential and zone changes from a County EF-80 (Exclusive Farm Use - 80-acre minimum) zone, a City R-1 (Single-Family Residential) zone, and a City C-3 PD (General Commercial Planned Development) zone to a City R-2 PD (Single-Family Residential - Planned Development) zone on approximately 30.2 acres of land located north of Baker Creek Road, east of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints, and south of Baker Creek Road and more specifically identified as Tax Lot 1300, Section 17, T. 4 S., R. 4 W., W.M.

A public hearing was held November 18, 1999, at 7:30 p.m. before the McMinnville Planning Commission after due notice had been given in the local newspaper on November 13, 1999, and written notice had been mailed to property owners within 300 feet of the affected property; and

At said public hearing, testimony was received, the application materials and a staff report were presented; and

The Planning Commission, being fully informed about said request, found that said changes conformed to the zone change review criteria listed in Chapter 17.72.035 of Ordinance No. 3380 based on the material submitted by the applicant and the findings of fact and the conclusionary findings for approval contained in the staff report, all of which are on file in the Planning Department, and that the plan amendments and zone changes are consistent with the Comprehensive Plan; and

The Planning Commission approved said comprehensive plan map amendments and zone changes and has recommended said changes to the Council; and

Subsequently, the City Council called for a public hearing. In accordance with City ordinances, the public hearing was scheduled for January 25, 2000, at 7:30 p.m. in the McMinnville School District Board Room, and was continued for further discussion and decision on February 8, 2000. Notice of said hearing was given by written notice to affected property owners and to the general public by legal notice in the local newspaper; and

The McMinnville City Council conducted the scheduled hearing at the time and date specified above in accordance with the standards adopted in City of McMinnville Ordinance No. 3682. The testimony of the proponents and opponents was received and, in addition, the record generated by the McMinnville Planning Commission, supplemental staff reports, supplemental reports from other agencies and additional exhibits were duly incorporated into the record and were considered by the Council; and now, therefore,

## THE CITY OF McMINNVILLE ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. That the Council adopts the findings and conclusions of the Planning Commission, staff report on file in the Planning Department, and the application filed by Premier Home Builders, Inc.

Section 2. That the Comprehensive Plan Map shall be amended from a Commercial designation to a Residential designation for the property described in Exhibit "A" which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference.

Section 3. That the property described in Exhibit "A" is hereby rezoned form a C-3 PD zone to an R-2 PD zone and from a County EF-80 zone and a City R1 zone to a City R-2 PD zone subject to the following conditions:

1. That the comprehensive plan map amendment and zone change approvals (for those portions located outside of the current city limits) not take affect until and unless the City Council and the electorate approve the annexation request.
2. That the Oak Ridge subdivision tentative plan (or such plan as may be revised by conditions for approval of this development), be placed on file with the Planning Department and that it become a part of the zone and binding on the property owner and developer.

That the developer is responsible for requesting approval of the Planning Commission for any major change of the details of the adopted plan. Minor changes to the details of the adopted plan may be approved by the Planning Director. It shall be the Planning Director's decision as to what constitutes a major or minor change. An appeal from a ruling by him may be made only to the Commission. Review of the Planning Director's decision by the Planning Commission may be initiated at the request of any one of the Commissioners.
3. That the average lot size within the Oak Ridge subdivision shall be a minimum of 7,000 square feet.
4. That building setbacks for Lots $3,4,5,13,14,87,88$, and 89 shall be as follows: Front - 20 feet; however, the front yard setback measured to the open side of a garage or carport shall not be less than eighteen (18) feet.
Rear - 15 feet Side - 7.5 feet
Exterior Side -20 feet

The Planning Director is authorized to permit reductions or increases to these standards as may be necessary to provide for the retention of trees greater than nine inches in diameter measured at breast height. In no case, however, may the rear yard setback be reduced less than 5 feet, or the side yard setback to 5 feet, or the exterior side yard setback to 15 feet without approval of the Planning Commission pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 17.69 (Variance). A request to adjust the setbacks for these lots shall be accompanied by a building plan for the subject lot.
5. That existing trees greater than 9 inches in diameter measured 4.5 feet above grade, other than those identified for removal in the submitted arborist's report, shall not be removed without written permission of the McMinnville Planning Director. Trees to be retained shall be protected during all phases of home construction. A plan for the protection of trees to remain on site, and in particular, for the five "exceptional" Oregon white oak trees identified by the applicant's arborist, must be submitted to the City prior to construction of the proposed subdivision. In addition, such a plan shall also accompany any building permit for a lot on which trees are located. The plan must meet with the approval of the City prior to release of construction permits or building permits within the subject site.

Passed by the Council this $\underline{8}^{\text {th }}$ day of February 2000, by the following votes:
Ayes: Aleman, Hughes, Kirchner, Payne, Rabe, Windle
Nays: $\qquad$
Approved this 8th day of February 2000.


Attest:


## Exhibit 2

ORDINANCE NO.


An Ordinance rezoning certain property from a County EF-80 (Exclusive Farm Use --80-acre minimum) zone to a City R-2 PD (Single-Family Residential Planned Development) zone on approximately 22.3 acres of land located northwest of the Oak Ridge residential development.

## RECITALS

The Planning Commission received an application (ZC 12-04 / S 14-04) from Premier Development LLC, dated November 15, 2004, requesting a zone change from a County EF-80 (Exclusive Farm Use - 80-acre minimum) zone to a City R-2 PD (SingleFamily Residential Planned Development) zone on approximately 22.3 acres of land located northwest of the Oak Ridge residential development. The property is further described as a portion of Tax Lot 600, Section 7, and Tax Lot 200, Section 8, T. 4 S., R. 4 W., W.M.

A public hearing was held on December 16, 2004 and continued on January 20 , 2005, at 6:30 p.m. before the McMinnville Planning Commission after due notice had been given in the local newspaper on December 9, 2004, and written notice had been mailed to property owners within 300 feet of the affected property; and

At said public hearing, testimony was received, the application materials and a staff report were presented; and

The Planning Commission, being fully informed about said request, and after considerable deliberation as to whether the request conformed to the zone change review criteria listed in Chapter 17.72.035 of Ordinance No. 3380, could not reach consensus as to approval or denial of the submitted request, and, therefore, forwarded without recommendation the subject matter to the City Council; and

The City Council held a public hearing on February 22, 2005, at 6:30 p.m. after due notice had been given in the local newspaper on February 15, 2005, and written notice had been mailed to property owners within 300 feet of the affected property; and

At said public hearing, testimony was received, the application materials and a staff report were presented, and the proceedings and record of the prior Planning Commission hearings were entered into the Council hearing record; and

At the conclusion of the public hearing, the Council left open the record until 5:00 pm, March 1, 2005, for the purpose of receiving additional written testimony from opponents to the applicant's request. An additional seven days, to 5:00 p.m., March 8, 2005, was provided to the applicant for written rebuttal to the testimony received; and

On March 8, 2005, the City Council, being fully informed about said request, found that said change conformed to the zone change review criteria listed in Chapter 17.72.035 of Ordinance No. 3380 based on the material submitted by the applicant and findings of fact and the conclusionary findings for approval (Exhibit "A"), all of which are on file in the Planning Department, and that the zone change is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Council directed Planning Department staff to prepare the appropriate ordinance
memorializing their decision and to present it to them at their April 12, 2005 meeting for review and adoption; and now, therefore,

## THE CITY OF McMINNVILLE ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. That the Council adopts the findings and conclusions as contained in the Findings of Fact and Conclusionary Findings for Approval (Exhibit "A," attached), the staff report on file in the Planning Department, and the application filed by Premier Development LLC.

Section 2. That the property described in Exhibit " $B$ ", is hereby rezoned from an EF-80 (Exclusive Farm Use - 80-acre minimum) zone to an R-2 PD (Single-Family Residential Planned Development) zone subject to the following conditions:

1. That the Oak Ridge Meadow subdivision tentative plan (or such plan as it may be revised by conditions for approval of this development), be placed on file with the Planning Department and that it become a part of the zone and binding on the property owner and developer.

That the developer is responsible for requesting approval of the Planning Commission for any major change of the details of the adopted plan. Minor changes to the details of the adopted plan may be approved by the Planning Director. It shall be the Planning Director's decision as to what constitutes a major or minor change. An appeal from a ruling by him may be made only to the Commission. Review of the Planning Director's decision by the Planning Commission may be initiated at the request of any one of the Commissioners.
2. That the average lot size within the Oak Ridge Meadow subdivision shall be 7,500 square feet.
3. That setbacks for the Oak Ridge Meadows subdivision are as follows:

- Front Yard: 20 feet
- Side Yard: (Lots less than 6,000 square feet in area): 6 feet
- Side Yard (all other lots): 7.5 feet
- Exterior Side Yard (Lots $40,45,46,52,54$, and 55): 15 feet
- Exterior Side Yard (all other lots): 20 feet
- Rear Yard: 20 feet
- Open side of garage: 20 feet

The Planning Director is authorized to permit reductions or increases to these setback standards as may be necessary to provide for the retention of trees greater than nine (9) inches in diameter measured at 4.5 feet above grade. In no case, however, may the rear yard setback or the side yard setback be reduced to less than five feet, or the exterior side yard setback to 15 feet, or the distance from the property line to the front opening of a garage to less than 18 feet without approval of the Planning Commission pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 17.69 (Variance). A request to adjust the setbacks for these lots shall be accompanied by a building
plan for the subject site that clearly indicates the location of existing trees. Trees to be retained shall be protected during all phases of home construction.
4. That existing trees greater than nine inches DBH (diameter at breast height) shall not be removed without prior review and written approval of the Planning Director. In addition, all trees shall be protected during home construction. A plan for such protection must be submitted with the building permit application and must meet with the approval of the Planning Director prior to release of construction or building permits within the subject site.
5. That the number of lots allowed within the Oak Ridge Meadow subdivision shall be limited to a maximum of 76 lots. Additional lots may be permitted consistent with the submitted tentative plan upon the completion and acceptance of public street improvements to City standards that extend south from Pinehurst Drive (as labeled on the applicant's submitted tentative subdivision plan) and connect to Baker Creek Road.

Passed by the Council this 12 th day of April 2005 by the following votes:
Ayes: Hansen, Hill, 01son, Menke, Springer, Yoder
Nays: $\qquad$
Approved this 12th day of April 2005.

## Attest:



Approved as to form:


CITY ATTORNEY

## Exhibit 1

ORDINANCE NO. 4722
An Ordinance approving a comprehensive plan map amendment from Commercial to Residential, and zone changes from a County EF-80 (Exclusive Farm Use - 80-acre minimum) zone, a City R-1 (Single-Family Residential) zone, and a City C-3 PD (General Commercial Planned Development) zone to a City R-2 PD (Single-Family Residential - Planned Development) zone on approximately 30.2 acres of land located north of Baker Creek Road, east of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints, and south of Baker Creek.

## RECITALS

The Planning Commission received an application (CPA 10-99 / ZC 19-99 / S 6-99) from Premier Home Builders, Inc. dated October 13, 1999, requesting a comprehensive plan map amendment from Commercial to Residential and zone changes from a County EF-80 (Exclusive Farm Use - 80-acre minimum) zone, a City R-1 (Single-Family Residential) zone, and a City C-3 PD (General Commercial Planned Development) zone to a City R-2 PD (Single-Family Residential - Planned Development) zone on approximately 30.2 acres of land located north of Baker Creek Road, east of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints, and south of Baker Creek Road and more specifically identified as Tax Lot 1300, Section 17, T. 4 S., R. 4 W., W.M.

A public hearing was held November 18, 1999, at 7:30 p.m. before the McMinnville Planning Commission after due notice had been given in the local newspaper on November 13, 1999, and written notice had been mailed to property owners within 300 feet of the affected property; and

At said public hearing, testimony was received, the application materials and a staff report were presented; and

The Planning Commission, being fully informed about said request, found that said changes conformed to the zone change review criteria listed in Chapter 17.72.035 of Ordinance No. 3380 based on the material submitted by the applicant and the findings of fact and the conclusionary findings for approval contained in the staff report, all of which are on file in the Planning Department, and that the plan amendments and zone changes are consistent with the Comprehensive Plan; and

The Planning Commission approved said comprehensive plan map amendments and zone changes and has recommended said changes to the Council; and

Subsequently, the City Council called for a public hearing. In accordance with City ordinances, the public hearing was scheduled for January 25, 2000, at 7:30 p.m. in the McMinnville School District Board Room, and was continued for further discussion and decision on February 8, 2000. Notice of said hearing was given by written notice to affected property owners and to the general public by legal notice in the local newspaper; and

The McMinnville City Council conducted the scheduled hearing at the time and date specified above in accordance with the standards adopted in City of McMinnville Ordinance No. 3682. The testimony of the proponents and opponents was received and, in addition, the record generated by the McMinnville Planning Commission, supplemental staff reports, supplemental reports from other agencies and additional exhibits were duly incorporated into the record and were considered by the Council; and now, therefore,

## THE CITY OF McMINNVILLE ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. That the Council adopts the findings and conclusions of the Planning Commission, staff report on file in the Planning Department, and the application filed by Premier Home Builders, Inc.

Section 2. That the Comprehensive Plan Map shall be amended from a Commercial designation to a Residential designation for the property described in Exhibit " A " which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference.

Section 3. That the property described in Exhibit " $A$ " is hereby rezoned form a C-3 PD zone to an R-2 PD zone and from a County EF-80 zone and a City R1 zone to a City R-2 PD zone subject to the following conditions:

1. That the comprehensive plan map amendment and zone change approvals (for those portions located outside of the current city limits) not take affect until and unless the City Council and the electorate approve the annexation request.
2. That the Oak Ridge subdivision tentative plan (or such plan as may be revised by conditions for approval of this development), be placed on file with the Planning Department and that it become a part of the zone and binding on the property owner and developer.

That the developer is responsible for requesting approval of the Planning Commission for any major change of the details of the adopted plan. Minor changes to the details of the adopted plan may be approved by the Planning Director. It shall be the Planning Director's decision as to what constitutes a major or minor change. An appeal from a ruling by him may be made only to the Commission. Review of the Planning Director's decision by the Planning Commission may be initiated at the request of any one of the Commissioners.
3. That the average lot size within the Oak Ridge subdivision shall be a minimum of 7,000 square feet.
4. That building setbacks for Lots $3,4,5,13,14,87,88$, and 89 shall be as follows: Front - 20 feet; however, the front yard setback measured to the open side of a garage or carport shall not be less than eighteen (18) feet.
Rear - 15 feet
Side - 7.5 feet
Exterior Side - 20 feet

The Planning Director is authorized to permit reductions or increases to these standards as may be necessary to provide for the retention of trees greater than nine inches in diameter measured at breast height. In no case, however, may the rear yard setback be reduced less than 5 feet, or the side yard setback to 5 feet, or the exterior side yard setback to 15 feet without approval of the Planning Commission pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 17.69 (Variance). A request to adjust the setbacks for these lots shall be accompanied by a building plan for the subject lot.
5. That existing trees greater than 9 inches in diameter measured 4.5 feet above grade, other than those identified for removal in the submitted arborist's report, shall not be removed without written permission of the McMinnville Planning Director. Trees to be retained shall be protected during all phases of home construction. A plan for the protection of trees to remain on site, and in particular, for the five "exceptional" Oregon white oak trees identified by the applicant's arborist, must be submitted to the City prior to construction of the proposed subdivision. In addition, such a plan shall also accompany any building permit for a lot on which trees are located. The plan must meet with the approval of the City prior to release of construction permits or building permits within the subject site.

Passed by the Council this $\underline{8}^{\text {th }}$ day of February 2000, by the following votes:
Ayes: Aleman, Hughes, Kirchner, Payne, Rape, Windle
Nays: $\qquad$
Approved this 8th day of February 2000.

Attest:


## EXHIBIT "A"

## DOCKET ANX 4-99/CPA 10-99/ZC 19-99/S 6-99 FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONARY FINDINGS

## FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The applicant is requesting approval of an annexation of approximately 9.2 acres of land. Also requested is approval of a zone change from a County EF-80 (Exclusive Farm Use -80-acre minimum) zone to a City R-2 PD (Single Family Residential Planned Development) zone on said land. In addition, the applicant requests a comprehensive plan map amendment from Commercial to Residential, and a zone change from an existing C-3 PD (General Commercial Planned Development) zone to an R-2 PD zone. on approximately 9.1 acres of contiguous land; approval of a zone change from an existing R-1 (Single-Family Residential) zone to an R-2 PD zone on approximately 11.9 acres of contiguous land; and, approval of a 107-lot single-family residential tentative subdivision plan on the 30.2-acre parent parcel. The subject site is located north of Baker Creek Road, east of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints, and south of Baker Creek. The land is further described as Tax Lot 1300, Section 17, T. 4 S, R. 4 W., W.M.
2. The subject property is currently zoned County EF-80, C-3 PD, and R-1, and is designated as both Residential and Commercial on the McMinnville Comprehensive Plan Map, 1980.
3. Sanitary sewer and municipal water and power can serve the site. The municipal Water Reclamation Facility has sufficient capacity to accommodate expected waste flows resulting from future residential development of the property.
4. The City of McMinnville Engineering, Building, and Parks Departments; McMinnville Fire Department, City Manager; City Attorney; School District No. 40; McMinnville Water and Light Department; Yamhill County Planning Department, and County Public Works; GTE; TCI Cable; and, Northwest Natural Gas have reviewed this request. No public agency returned a comment in opposition to this request.
5. Goals and policies from Volume II of the McMinnville Comprehensive Plan of 1981 which are applicable to this request are as listed in the applicant's submitted narrative. dated October 18, 1999.
6. Sections of the McMinnville Zoning Ordinance (No. 3380) applicable to this request are as listed in the applicant's submitted narrative, dated October 18, 1999.
7. Sections of the McMinnville Annexation Ordinance (No. 4636) applicable to this request are as listed in the applicant's submitted narrative, dated October 18, 1999.

## CONCLUSIONARY FINDINGS FOR APPROVAL

1. The subject request complies with goals and policies of the McMinnville Comprehensive Plan, 1981 (Finding of Fact No. 4 ) as listed in the applicant's submitted findings, with one exception. Finding V-3 shall be amended to read as follows (text proposed for deletion is lined out):
"Finding V-3: Policy 71.01 is satisfied by the requests as the Oak Ridge Planned Development will be constructed below the maximum density of six units per acre; thereby providing for sewer-density allownoes-for trade-offs."
2. The subject request complies with the applicable provisions and requirements of the McMinnville Zoning Ordinance No. 3380 (Finding of Fact No. 5) as listed in the applicant's submitted findings.
3. The subject request complies with the applicable provisions and requirements of the McMinnville Annexation Ordinance No. 4636 (Finding of Fact No. 6) as listed in the applicant's submitted findings.

MDW:ral


Exhibit 48

230 NE Second Srreet - McMinnville, Oregon 97128 - www.ci.mominnvile.or.us
November 23, 1999

## Jeff and Lori Zumwalt

Premier Home Builders, Inc.
POBox 43
McMinnville OR 97128
Dear Mr. and Mrs. Zumwalt:
This is to advise you that at a meeting of the McMinnville Planning Commission on Thursday, November 18 1999, your applications for annexation (ANX 4-99) of approximately 9.2 acres of land, comprehensive plan amendment (CPA 10-99), zone change (ZC 19-99) on approximately 9.2 acres of continuous land, and a 107-lot singiefamily residential tentative subdivision plan ( $\mathrm{S} 6-99$ ) on the 30.2-acre parent parcel located north of Baker Creek Road, east of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints, and south of Baker Creek; and is further described as a portion of Tax Lot 1300, Section 17, T. 4 S., R. 4 W., W.M. were presented and carefully studied.

Based on the materiais submitted by the applicant, the testimony received, the findings of fact, and the conclusionary findings for approval, the Planning Commission voted to recommend to the City Council that ANX 4-99 be approved and forwarded to a vote of the electorate pursuant to City and State annexation requirements.

Based on the materials submitted by the applicant, the testimony received, the findings of fact, and the conclusionary findings for approval, the Planning Commission voted to recommend to the City Council that CPA 10-99 be approved and that ZC 19-99 be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. That the comprehensive plan map amendment and zone change approvals (for those portions located outside of the current city limits) not take affect until and unless the annexation request is approved by the City Council and the electorate.
2. That the Oak Ridge subdivision tentative plan (or such plan as may be revised by conditions for approval of this development), be placed on file with the Planning Department and that it become a part of the zone and binding on the property owner and developer.

That the developer is responsible for requesting approval of the Planning Commission for any major change of the details of the adopted plan. Minor changes to the details of the adopted plan may be approved by the Planning Director. It shall be the Planning Director's decision as to what constitutes a major or minor change. An appeal from a ruling by him may be made only to the Commission. Review of the Planning Director's decision by the Planning Commission may be initiated at the request of any one of the Commissioners.
3. That the average lot size within the Oak Ridge subdivision shall be a minimum of 7,000 square feet.
4. That building setbacks for Lots $3,4,5,13,14,87.88$, and 89 shall be as follows: Front - 20 feet; however, the front yard setback measured to the open side of a garage or carport shall not be less than eigiteen (18) feet.
Rear - 15 feet
Side -7.5 feet
Exterior Side - 20 feet
The Planning Director is authorized to permit reductions or increases to these standards as may be necessary to provide for the retention of trees greater than nine inches in diameter measured at breast height. In no case, however, may the rear yard setback be reduced less than 5 feet, or the side yard setback to 5 feet, or the exterior side yard setback to 15 feet without approval of the Planning Commission pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 17.69 (Variance). A request to adjust the setbacks for these lots shall be accompanied by a building plan for the subject lot.
5. That existing trees greater than 9 inches in diameter measured 4.5 feet above grade, other than those identified for removal in the submitted arborist's report, shall not be removed without written permission of the McMinnville Planning Director. Trees to be retained shall be protected during all phases of home construction. A plan for the protection of trees to remain on site, and in particular, for the five "exceptional" Oregon white oak trees identified by the applicant's arborist, must be submitted to the City prior to construction of the proposed subdivision. In addition, such a plan shall also accompany any building permit for a lot on which trees are located. The plan must meet with the approval of the City prior to release of construction permits or building permits within the subject site.

Pursuant to the annexation and zoning ordinances of the City of McMinnville, an application approved by the Planning Commission may be appealed within 15 days of such approval to the City Council. If no appeal is filed with the City Recorder on or before December 7, 1999, the City Council will consider your request on December 14, 1999 (unless otherwise notified).

Based on the material submitted by the applicants, the testimony received, the findings of fact, and the conclusionary findings for approval, the Planning Commission voted to aoprove $\mathrm{S} 6-99$ subject to the following conditions:

1. That the subdivision approval does not take effect until and uniess the companion annexation, clan amendment, and zone change applications are approved by the City Council and MciMinnville electorate.
2. That the applicant shall secure all required state and federal permits, including if applicable those related to the federal Endangered Species Act, and those required by the Oregon Division of State Lands and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and Department of Environmental Quality (applicable storm runoff and site development permits) prior to construction of the proposed subdivision. Copies of the approved cermits shall be submitted to the City.
3. That a detailed storm drainage plan, which incorporates the requirements of the City's Storm Drainage Master Plan must be submitted to, and approved by, the City Engineering Department. Any utility easements needed to comply with the approved plan must be reflected on the final plat. If the final storm drainage plan incorporates the use of backyard collection systems and easements, such must be private rather than puidic and private maintenance agreements must be approved by the City for them.
4. That a detailed sanitary sewage collection plan which incorporates the requirements of the City's Collection System, Facilities Plan must be submitted to, and approved by, the City Engineering Department. Any utility easements needed to comply with the approved plan must be reflected on the final plat.
5. That the applicant secure from the Federal Emergency Management Agency appropriate certification to indicate the location and base flood elevation of the Baker Creek floodplain prior to the construction of Phase 3. All proposed development shall be located outside of an identified floodplain consistent with the City's floodplain ordinance.
6. That the developer enter into a construction permit agreement with the City Engineering Department for all public improvements and gain a fill and grading permit for lot fill and grading from the City Building Division.
7. That restrictive covenants shall be prepared for the development. At a minimum. the covenants shall provide provisions for the continued maintenance of a 10foot wide landscaped strip along the Baker Creek Road frontage, and landscaped islands within Street " $D$ " and " $F$ ", and provisions for tree retention, care, and planting. The proposed covenants must meet with the approvai of the Planning Director.
8. That the applicant plant street trees within curbside planting strips along all proposed streets (including the north side of Street " $F$ " uniess not permitted by the wetland permit) and the Baker Creek Road frontage in accordance with a street tree plan to be prepared by the applicant and submitted to the Landscape Review Committee for their review and approval. All street trees shall have a two-inch minimum caliper. exhibit size and growing characteristics appropriate for the particular planting strip. and be spaced as appropriate for the selected species and as may be required for the location of above ground utility vauits.
transformers, light poles, and hydrants. In addition, street trees shall not be planted within 30 feet of street intersections. All street trees shall be of good quality and shall conform to American Standard for Nursery Stock (ANSI Z60.1). The Planning Director reserves the right to reject any plant material that does not meet this standard.

Each year the applicant shall install street trees, from Novemioer 1 to March 1. adjacent to those properties on which a structure has been constructed and received final occupancy. This planting schedule shall continue until all platted lots have been planted with street trees. All required trees siall be installed by the applicant prior to final platting, or security equal to 120 percent of the cost oi installing the required street trees shall be posted with the City. The amount and form of such security shall be as required by the Planning Director.

It sinall be the applicant's responsibility to relocate trees as may be necessary to accommodate individual building plans. The applicant shall also be responsible for the maintenance of the street trees, and for the replacement of any trees that may die for one year from the date of planting.
9. That prior to the submittal of the final plat, the names of all proposed streets shall be submitted by thie applicant to the City for review and approved by the Planning Director.
10. That 10 -foot utility easements shall be provided along the north side of Baker Creek Road and along both sides of all public rights-of-way for the placement and maintenance of required utilities.
11. That cross sections for the entire street system shall be prepared which show utility location, street improvement elevation and grade, park strips, sidewalk location, and sidewalk elevation and grade.

Said cross sections shall be submitted to the Community Development Director for review and approval prior to submittal of the final plat. If the submitted information so indicates, the Planning Director may require the tentative subdivision plan be revised in order to provide for a more practical configuration of lots, utilities, and streets. All such submittals must comply with the requirements of 13A of the Land Division Ordinance and must meet with the approval of the City Engineer.
12. That the section of Street " $E$ " located immediately south of its intersection with Street " $F$ " may be constructed with a minimum width, measured from curb to curb, of twenty feet. All other streets within the proposed subdivision, other than that section of Street " $D$ " near its intersection with Baker Creek Road, shall be improved with a 28 -foot wide paved section, and five-foot wide curbside planting strips located within a 50 -foot right-of-way. The southern end of Street " $D$ " shall be improved with two travel lanes each a minimum of eighteen feet in width separated by a landscaped raised median located within a sixty-foot wide right-of-way. Five-foot wide sidewalks shall be constructed within the public right-ofway one-foot from the property line adjacent to both sides of all public streets.
unless otherwise approved. Meandering sidewalks shall be permitted within the north grove and south grove to avoid the removal of existing trees. If the Planning Director should find that significant tree removal is required to accommodate the sidewalk on the west side of " $E$ " Street, then a public sidewalk for this section of street shall not be required.
13. That the intersection of Street " $F$ " and Street " $E$ " be designed in accordance with the requirements of the City Engineer and McMinnville Fire Department. In particular, the intersection must provide a minimum 35 -foot centerline turning radii to accommodate turning movement of emergency access vehicles. or as may otherwise be approved by the Fire Marshall
14. That improvements, including curbs, five-foot wide sidewalks, fencing, and landscaping are required along the Baker Creek Road frontage. Plans for the improvement of the Baker Creek Road frontage shall be submitted to the City Engineer and McMinnville Landscape Review Committee, as appropriate, for review and approval prior to their construction. All required improvements shall be instailed prior to the filing of the final plat. Fencing shall be of a design that provides vertical and horizontal articulation so as to avoid the creation oi a visually monotonous appearance.
15. That direct vehicular access from Baker Creek Road from Lots 34-44 is not allowed. Said restriction shall be noted on the face of the final plat.
16. That direct vehicular access from Street " $D$ " to Lots 36 and 37 is not allowed. Driveways for these lots shall be placed as far possible from the intersection of Street " $A$ " and Street " $D$ " as possible to avoid conflict with other traffic at the intersection. Such limitations shall be noted on the face of the final plat and included in the covenants for the subdivision.
17. That the applicant extend water service to the subject site in accordance with McMinnville Water and Light requirements. Easements as may be required for the extension of water shall also be provided.
18. That approved. working fire hydrants must be installed prior to the issuance of building permits for the subject site.
19. That the area located in the northeast corner of the subject site, outside of any platted lots or public right-of-way (approximately 3.1 acres), be dedicated to the City of McMinnville for public park purposes, unless otherwise restricted due to the terms of a wetland permit issued by the Oregon Division of State Lands or Army Corps of Engineers. Said dedication shall be indicated on the face of the final subdivision plat. The value of this land shall be applied as an offset toward the applicant's park system development charges.
20. That if the property owner wishes a one-year extension of the Commission approval of this tentative plan under the provisions of Section 16 of Ordinance No. 3702. a request for such extension must be filed in writing with the P!anning Department a minimum of 30 days prior to the expiration date of this accroval.
21. That secondary emergency access shall se provided to the subject site by the applicant prior to the issuance of any buiicing permits. At a minimum the required secondary emergency access m:ust iee constructed to include a 12-foct wide travel lane with 20 feet of vertical c!earance. Plans for the provision of secondary emergency access for each priase of construction must be submitted to the McMinnviile Fire Department for their review and approval prior to release of the final plat.
22. That the applicant dedicate a 10 -foot wice strip of land parallel to the north right-oi-way of Baker Creek Road, extending itom the subject site's eastern border to the western border, for the future improvement of Baker Creek Road to a minor arterial street. Such dedication shall be sinown on the face of the final plat.
23. That no on-street parking shall be allowed on either side of proposed 20 -foot wide street sections, within 50 -feet of intersections on 28 -foot wide streets, or on outside turning radii of 28 -foot wide streets. The applicant is required to install "no parking" signs in locations as may be required by the City Engineer.
24. That barricades shall be installed by the applicant at the terminus of all public streets as may be required by the City Engineer.
25. That a public street, designed to the standards of a local residential street (26foot wide paved section, five-foot wide sicewalks, and six-foot wide curbside planting strip within a 50 -foot right-of-way), shall be constructed westward from Street " $E$ " to the western property line of the subject site. The location and alignment of this street shall be as required by the City Engineer. Adjustment of the submitted tentative plan are authorized as may be necessary to accommodate the provision of this street.
26. That the proposed street plan for the eastern midsection of the subject site be revised as may be necessary to avoid impact to wetlands within the subject site and those within the adjacent Compton property. At a minimum the eastern terminus of Street " $F$ " shall be turned due south to intersect with the proposed intersection of Street " $E$ " and Street " $B$ " (future connection through the Compton property to Baker Crest Court would then be accomplished through the eastern extension of Street "E").

Street " $F$ " may be constructed in the alignment proposed on the tentative plan only upon submittal of a wetland permit issued by the Oregon Division of State Lands or Army Corps of Engineers that supports the further eastern extension of this street to Baker Crest Court. Such evidence must be submitted prior to the construction of Phase 2 of this project. or the phase lines for Phase 2 shall be amended to remove those lots that may be affected by the southern realignment of Street " $F$ ".
27. That the applicant provide information to :he City Engineer as to the design capacity of the existing downstream sanitary sewer pump station located in the Crestbrook subdivision. First Addition. If :he iniormation and studies provided oy
the applicant indicate that adequate capacity does not exist to support the proposed development of the Oak Ridge subdivision, then the applicant shall make improvements to the system as may be necessary and required by the City Engineer. Such improvements shall be at the expense of the applicant and shall be completed prior to release of the final plat.
28. That if technically feasible, (as determined by the City Engineer) the applicant provide a pedestrian easement extending northeast from streets " $E$ " to " $F$ " for access to the proposed public open space. The easement shall be a minimum of fifteen (15) feet in width and shall be improved as required by the City Engineer as part of the required subdivision improvements. If possible, the pedestrian easement should extend northeast from the intersection of streets "E" and " $C$ " or alternatively from the intersection of Streets " $E$ " and " $D$ ".

Pursuant to the Land Division Ordinance of the City of McMinnville. applications approved by the Planning Commission may be appealed within fifteen (15) days of the day of such approval to the City Council. If no appeal is filed with the City Recorder on or before December 7, 1999. the decision of the Planning Commission on this matter will be final.

Final approval of the subdivision must conform to the City subdivision regulations and engineering improvement requirements. A final subdivision must also conform to the provisions as established in Chapter 92 of the Oregon Revised Statutes. A list of fees to be paid by the developer and the submittal which are required before the final plat may be recorded are enclosed.

If you have any questions regarding these matters, please contact me at 434-7311.
Sincerely,


Doug Montgomery, AICP
Planning Director
DRM:ral

Enclosure

cc: Rick Highsmith<br>Dave Haugeberg<br>Mark Davis






## Exhibit 5

## ORDINANCE NO. 4845

An Ordinance amending Ordinance 4822, which rezoned certain property from a County EF-80 (Exclusive Farm Use - 80-acre minimum) zone to a City R-2 PD (Single-Family Residential Planned Development) zone on approximately 22.3 acres of land located northwest of the Oak Ridge residential development, to adopt additional findings.

## RECITALS

On April 12, 2005, the City Council approved Ordinance 4822, which implemented an application (ZC 12-04 / S 14-04) from Premier Development LLC, dated November 15, 2004, requesting a zone change from a County EF-80 (Exclusive Farm Use - 80-acre minimum) zone to a City R-2 PD (Single-Family Residential Planned Development) zone on approximately 23 acres of land located northwest of the Oak Ridge residential development. The property is further described as a portion of Tax Lot 600, Section 7, and Tax Lot 200, Section 8, T. 4 S., R. 4 W., W.M.

The applicant appealed the City Council's decision to the State of Oregon Land Use Board of Appeals ("LUBA"). LUBA remanded the decision back to the City Council for further consideration on one issue. Premier Development LLC v. City of McMinnville, $\qquad$ Or LUBA $\qquad$ (LUBA 2005-065, Dec. 20, 2005).

The City Council held a public hearing on remand and concluded that its April 12,2005 , decision complies with all applicable criteria. The Council decided to amend its April 12 decision to adopt additional findings in support of the decision; now, therefore,

## THE CITY OF McMINNVILLE ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. That the Council amends Section 1 of Ordinance 4822 to adopt the findings and conclusions as contained in the Supplemental Findings of Fact and Conclusionary Findings for Approval on Remand (Exhibit "A," attached) as additional findings and conclusions in support of its decision as set forth in Ordinance 4822.

Section 2. Except as provided by Section 1, Ordinance 4822 is unchanged.
Passed by the Council this 14th day of March 2006, by the following votes:
Ayes: Hansen, Hill, Menke, 01son, Springer, Yoder
Nays: $\qquad$

Approved this $14^{\text {th }}$ day of March 2006.


Approved as to form:


## EXHIBIT "A" <br> SUPPLEMENTAL FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONARY FINDINGS FOR APPROVAL ON REMAND DOCKET ZC 12-04/S 14-04

## INTRODUCTION

1. Premier Development LLC ("applicant") requested approval of a zone change from a County EF-80 (Exclusive Farm Use - 80-acre minimum) zone to a City R-2 PD (Single-Family Residential Planned Development) zone on approximately 23.0 acres of land. The applicant also requested approval of a tentative residential subdivision plan to accommodate 99 single-family residential lots. The subject site is located north of Pinot Noir Drive and the Oak Ridge residential development and is further described as a portion of Tax Lot 600, Section 7, and Tax Lot 200, Section 8, T. 4 S., R. 4 W., W.M. The subject property was annexed to the city on May 18, 2004, by the voters of McMinnville. The City Council approved the application with conditions in a decision dated April 12, 2005.
2. The applicant appealed the City Council's decision to the State of Oregon Land Use Board of Appeals ("LUBA"). LUBA remanded the decision back to the City Council for further consideration on one issue. Premier Development LLC v. City of McMinnville, $\qquad$ Or LUBA $\qquad$ (LUBA 2005-065, Dec. 20, 2005).
3. The sole issue before the City Council on remand is whether the City's April 12, 2005, decision complies with a portion of the McMinnville Community Zoning Ordinance ("MCZO") Section 17.72.035 that the Council failed to discuss in its prior decision. The applicant's particular argument was that the condition imposed by the City Council limiting development of the proposed subdivision to 76 lots until a second direct access is constructed from Pinehurst Drive to Baker Creek Road did not comply with this portion of Section 17.72 .035 because it "discouraged needed housing through unreasonable cost or delay."
4. LUBA otherwise upheld the City's decision, concluding that the City's decision to impose the above-noted condition was justified pursuant to its findings and was supported by substantial evidence in the record.
5. The City Council held an on the record hearing on remand at its March 14, 2006, meeting.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

MCZO 17.72.035 contains the provisions at issue on remand:
"17.72.035 Review Criteria. An amendment to the official zoning map may be authorized, provided that the proposal satisfies all relevant requirements of this ordinance, and also provided that the applicant demonstrates the following:
"A. The proposed amendment is consistent with the goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan;
"B. The proposed amendment is orderly and timely, considering the pattern of development in the area, surrounding land uses, and any changes which may have occurred in the neighborhood or community to warrant the proposed amendment;
"C. Utilities and services can be efficiently provided to serve the proposed uses or other potential uses in the proposed zoning district.
> "When the proposed amendment concerns needed housing (as defined in the McMinnville Comprehensive Plan and state statute), criterion "B" shall not apply to the rezoning of land designated for residential use on the plan map.
> "In addition, the housing policies of the McMinnville Comprehensive Plan shall be given added emphasis and the other policies contained in the plan shall not be used to: (1) exclude needed housing; (2) unnecessarily decrease densities; or (3) allow special conditions to be attached which would have the effect of discouraging needed housing through unreasonable cost or delay."

The portion of the section shown in bold is the portion of the provision that LUBA concluded the Council failed to address in its April 12, 2005, decision.

## CONCLUSIONS

1. The City Council's April 12, 2005, decision found that the application was in compliance with MCZO 17.72 .035 subsections A, B, and C. This finding was not challenged by the applicant at LUBA, but LUBA questioned whether subsection $B$ should have been applied given that the application dealt with needed housing. Subsection B was not applied to deny or condition the decision, so the Council concludes that its prior finding with regard to Subsection $B$ is surplusage.
2. Although not raised by the applicant in its LUBA appeal, the Council concludes that its decision does not exclude needed housing or unnecessarily decrease densities within the meaning of MCZO 17.72.035. The Council approved the applicant's request for an R-2 zoning designation with a planned development ("PD") overlay. The PD overlay permits an applicant to vary from the clear and objective requirements of the City's subdivision ordinance, such as setbacks, lot size, and lot design, thereby allowing an applicant to maximize developable density on a constrained property. The Council's prior decision authorizes development of 99 lots, a $30 \%$ higher density than was originally proposed when the subject property was submitted to the voters for annexation. The Council approved the development at this density in spite of objections from opponents who argued that the development should be limited to the 76 lots proposed in the annexation measure. The Council's decision to approve the subdivision is consistent with the City's comprehensive plan designation and the City's housing policies as explained in the Council's unchallenged findings in its April 12, 2005, decision.
3. The Council concludes that the decision does not attach special conditions that "would have the effect of discouraging needed housing through unreasonable cost or delay." The City argued before LUBA that this provision is essentially identical to ORS $197.307(6)$ and should be construed accordingly. The Council finds that this subsection of MCZO 17.72.035 is clearly intended to implement the statute and finds that it should be construed consistently with the statute. As the City noted in its brief before LUBA:
"the statute does not prohibit reasonable cost or delay. Home Builders Assoc. v. City of Eugene, 41 Or LUBA 370, 422 (2002). The statute also doesn't prohibit local regulations that delay build out until urban services are available to support the development.

> "'The need housing statute at ORS 197.307 is not concerned with the timing of development, nor does it require that all areas of the city be immediately available for development of needed housing under clear and objective standards. The statute is not offended by a standard that effectively requires needed housing developers to apply under discretionary standards
designed to address public safety concerns until emergency services are extended to currently unserved portions of the city.' Home Builders Assoc. v. City of Eugene, 41 Or LUBA at 418-419."

The Council concludes that MCZO 17.72.035 is not intended to relax or prohibit conditions that delay development of needed housing until adequate public facilities are constructed or available. The condition requiring direct connection to Baker Creek Road was imposed pursuant to Comprehensive Plan Policies 79.00 and 99.00, which require an adequate level of urban services, including streets improved to city standards, to be provided prior to or concurrent with all proposed residential development. These policies are housing policies under Chapter V ("Housing and Residential Development") of the Plan. These are therefore the policies that MCZO 17.72 .035 directs the Council to emphasize, not "other policies" to which subsections (1), (2), and (3) apply. (The condition was also imposed pursuant to Policy 122.00, which is not a housing policy but sets forth the City standards with regard to streets, and so the Council finds that its application implements the housing policies noted above.)

The Council concludes that any additional cost or delay as a result of conditions designed to ensure adequate public facilities is reasonable in light of these policies and the other multiple policy and ordinance requirements that public facilities must be adequate to serve new residential development and to preserve livability in surrounding neighborhoods as discussed in the unchallenged portions of the Council's prior decision. Allowing residential development to occur on substandard public facilities could actually increase delay as a result of citizen opposition and appeals (as occurred with this application) and add cost as a result of the need to install after-the-fact upgrades to bring the public facilities up to City standards.

In its appeal to LUBA, the applicant challenged the imposition of the condition limiting development of the proposed subdivision to 76 lots until a second direct access is constructed from Pinehurst Drive to Baker Creek Road. The applicant argued that the condition caused unreasonable cost and delay because the applicant had proposed alternative, more reasonable, access routes that would alleviate the identified traffic problem. The Council, however, did not agree that the applicant's proposed alternatives would alleviate the traffic impact on the local street system caused by full build-out of the subdivision. LUBA upheld that portion of the City's decision imposing the direct connection and rejecting the applicant's alternative routes, and the applicant did not appeal. That issue is therefore resolved with regard to this application. If another access route is constructed prior to the direct connection to Baker Creek Road that the applicant believes would alleviate the impact of the traffic generated by full build-out of the subdivision, the applicant may file an application to amend the condition pursuant
to MCZO 17.72.030 ("Public Hearings and Notice Requirements: Zoning Map and Planned Development Overlay Amendments") and make its case at that time.

For these reasons, the Council concludes that imposing a condition limiting development of the proposed subdivision to 76 lots until a second direct access is constructed from Pinehurst Drive to Baker Creek Road does not violate MCZO 17.72.035.
4. Based upon the findings and conclusions above, the Council concludes that its Ordinance 4822 implementing the zone change and PD overlay does not require any additional substantive amendment to decision, but should be modified to incorporate these supplemental findings in support of the decision.




# DECLARATION OF COVENANTS AND RESTRICTIONS 

## FOR THE

Oak Ridge Wetland Mitigation Site

THIS DECLARATION is made this 1st day of November 2004, by Premier Development, LLC. , ("Declarant"). This Declaration of Covenants is required as a permit condition which is part of the mitigation of impacts to wetlands regulated under Oregon's Removal-Fill Law, ORS 196.800 et seq. ORS 182.060 provides that "Any instrument creating $a[n]$...easement...may be indexed and recorded in the records of deeds of real property in the county where such real property is located." Further, ORS. 060 provides that "When requested by a state board or commission, the county clerk shall file or record, or both, in the office of the clerk any instrument affecting real property and immediately shall return to the board or commission a receipt for the instrument, aptly describing it and showing the legal charge for the filing or recording or the instrument." Therefore, the Division of State Lands, operating under the State Land Board, requires the recording of this instrument as described above.

## RECITALS

1. WHEREAS, Declarant is the owner of the real property described in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and by this reference incorporated herein as the "Property", and desires to create thereon wetlands to be maintained in accordance with the Permit Number approved by the Oregon Division of State Lands ("Division"), attached as Exhibit B;
2. WHEREAS, Declarant desires to provide for the preservation and enhancement of the wetland values of the Property and for the maintenance and management of the Property and improvements thereon, and to this end desires to subject the Property to the covenants, restrictions, easements and other encumbrances hereinafter set forth, each and all of which is and are for the benefit of the Property.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Declarant declares that the Property shall be held, transferred, sold, conveyed and occupied subject to the covenants, restrictions, easements and other encumbrances hereinafter set forth in this Declaration.

## ARTICLE 1

DEFINTTIONS
1.1 "Declaration" shall mean the covenants, restrictions, and all other provisions set forth in the Declaration of Covenants and Restrictions.
1.2 "Declarant" shall mean and refer to Premier Development, LLC., its successors or assigns.
1.3 "Removal fill permit" shall mean the final document approved by the Division that formally establishes the wetland mitigation and stipulates the terms and conditions of its construction, operation and long-term management.
1.4 "Property" shall mean and refer to the wetland mitigation site described in Exhibit "A".

## ARTICLE 2

PROPERTY SUBJECT TO THIS DECLARATION
The real property which is and shall be held, transferred, sold, conveyed and occupied subject to this Declaration is located in Yamhill County, Oregon and is more particularly described as the wetland mitigation site described in Exhibit "A".

ARTIICLE 3

## GENERAL PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

Declarant currently manages the property for the purpose of wetland mitigation. Current management is in accordance with Permit Number DSL \#32996-RF.

## ARTICLE 4

## USE RESTRICTIONS AND MANAGEMENT RESPONSIBILITIES

The Property shall be used and managed for wetland mitigation purposes in accordance with Permit Number DSL \#32996-RF. Declarant and all users of the Property are subject to any and all easements, covenants and restrictions of record affecting the Property.

1. There shall be no removal, destruction, cutting, trimming, mowing, alteration or spraying with biocides of any vegetation on the restricted property, nor any disturbance or change in the natural habitat of the property, except to remove non-native species.
2. There shall be no agricultural, commercial, or industrial activity undertaken or allowed in the property, nor shall any right of passage across or upon the property be allowed or granted if that right of passage is used in conjunction with agricultural, commercial or industrial
activity.
3. No domestic animals shall be allowed on the property.
4. There shall be no filling, excavating, dredging, mining or drilling; no removal of topsoil, sand, gravel, rock minerals or other materials, nor any dumping of ashes, trash, garbage, or of any other material, and no changing of the topography of the land of the Property in any manner without written approval from the state and federal wetland regulatory agencies.
5. There shall be no construction or placing of buildings, mobile homes, advertising signs, billboards, or other advertising material, or other structures on the Property.
6. Crossings of the restricted property for utility line installation shall be allowed only if complete restoration of grades and vegetation is done.

## ARTICLE 5 <br> RESOLUTION OF DOCUMENT CONFLICTS

In the event of any conflict between this Declaration and Permit Number
DSL \#32996-RF, the permit shall control.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned being Declarant herein, has executed this instrument this $3^{2+1}$ day of Novembor 2004.

Premier Development, LLC:
Yamhill County, Oregon
By: You YS $\frac{\text { Title: Member }}{S}$

Matt Dunckel \& Assoc. 3765 Riverside Drive McMirnnville, Oregon. 97128

Phone: 472-7904
Fax: 472-0367

Date: 1 Sept. 2004

## PREMIER BUILDERS - Legal Description of Wetland Mitigation Area

A tract of land in Section 17, Township 4 South, Range 4 West, Yamhill County, Oregon, being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point that is North $83^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ East 405.48 feet from the north east comer of Lot 44 of OAK RIDGE SUBDIVISION, in the City of McMinnville; thence southeasterly 46.71 feet along a curve (C1) concave to the north having a radius of 147.70 feet (chord =South $51^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$ East 46.51 feet);
thence southeasterly 37.67 feet along a curve ( $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ ) concave to the south having a radius of 2220.97 feet (chord=South $60^{\circ} 22^{\prime 2} 49^{\prime \prime}$ East 37. 67 feet);
thence southeasterly 21.84 feet along a curve (C3) concave to the north having a radius of 71.46 feet (chord=South $68^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$ East 21.75 feet);
thence southeasterly 20.12 feet along a curve (C4) concave to the north having a radius of 182.94 feet (chord=South $80^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ East 20.11 feet);
thence southeasterly 13.81 feet along a curve (C5) concave to the south having a radius of 78.400 feet (chord=South $78^{\circ} 39^{\circ} 27^{\prime \prime}$ East 13.80 feet);
thence southeasterly 10.39 feet along a curve (C6) concave to the south having a radius of 16.50 feet (chord=South $55^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}$ East 10.22 feet);
thence southeasterly 18.03 feet along: curve (C7) concave to the north having a radius of 37.44 feet (chord=South $51^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ East 17.85 feet); thence southeasterly 17.06 feet along a curve (C8) concave to the south having a radius of 131.81 feet (chord=South $61^{\circ} 33^{\prime 2} 27^{\prime \prime}$ East 17.05 feet); thence southeasterly 12.51 feet along a curve (C9) concave to the north having a radius of 24.68 feet (chord=South $72^{\circ} 22^{\prime 2} 29^{\prime \prime}$ East 12.38 feet);
thence northeasterly 18.99 feet along a curve (C10) concave to the north having a radius of 19.98 feet (chord=North $65^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}$ East: 18.28 feet);
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Date: 1 Sept. 2004

PREMIER BUILDERS - Legal Description of Wetland Mitigation Area
thence northeasterly 10.77 feet along a curve (C11) concave to the north having a radius of 27.57 feet (chord $=$ North $28^{\circ} 03^{\prime \prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ East 10.11 feet); thence North $00^{\circ} 08^{\prime 2} 22^{\prime \prime}$ West 16.72 feet; thence northwesterly 18.04 feet along a curve (C12) concave to the east having a radius of 136.99 feet (chord =North $13^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 56^{n}$ West 18.03 feet); thence northwesterly 9.52 feet along a curve (C13) concave to the west having a radius of 52.08 feet (chord=North $14^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime}$ West 9.51 feet);
thence northwesterly 15.76 feet along a curve (C14) concave to the east having a radius of 99.89 feet (chord=North $15^{\circ} 18^{\circ} 53^{n}$ West 15.74 feet);
thence northwesterly 24.01 feet along a curve (C15) concave to the west having a radius of 130.60 feet (chord =North $16^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime}$ West 23.97 feet);
thence northwesterly 17.35 feet along a curve (C16) concave to the west having a radius of 79.66 feet (chord =North $27^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 03^{n}$ West 17.32 feet);
thence northwesterly 19.62 feet along a curve (C17) concave to the east having a radius of 99.73 feet (chord =North $28^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 13^{n}$ West 19.59 feet);
thence northwesterly 23.84 feet along a curve (C18) concave to the west having a ractius of 107.10 feet (chord=North $28^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 48^{n}$ West 23.80 feet);
thence northwesterly 21.30 feet along a curve (C19) concave to the east having a radius of 54.99 feet (chord=North $24^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ West 21.17 feet); thence northwesterly 15.36 feet along a curve (C20) concave to the west having a radius of 101.28 feet (chord=North $17^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ West 15.34 feet);
thence northwesterly 34.56 feet along a curve (C21) concave to the west having a radius of 1369.28 feet (chord =North $22^{\circ} 30^{\circ} 42^{\prime \prime}$ West 34.55 feet);
thence South $43^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime}$ West 175.64 feet to the point of beginning as shown by Exhibit "_-".
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## STATE OF OREGON )

This instrument was acknowledged and signed before me on november 3,2004 by Lori L. Zemwalt -.


## Exhibit 10

| Oak Ridge Meadows Phase I- Lots |  |  | Oak Ridge Meadows Phase II - Lots |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lot \# | Square Footage |  | Lot \# | Square Footage |
| 1 | 10,677 |  | 50 | 5,300 |
| 2 | 9,114 |  | 51 | 5,300 |
| 3 | 9,214 |  | 52 | 5,300 |
| 4 | 9,241 |  | 53 | 5,300 |
| 5 | 7,242 |  | 54 | 5,300 |
| 6 | 9,240 |  | 55 | 5,962 |
| 7 | 10,551 |  | 56 | 10,314 |
| 8 | 7,565 |  | 57 | 10,400 |
| 9 | 7,336 |  | 58 | 10,400 |
| 10 | 7,108 |  | 59 | 10,400 |
| 11 | 6,720 |  | 60 | 10,400 |
| 12 | 10,232 |  | 61 | 10,400 |
| 13 | 8,175 |  | 62 | 10,400 |
| 14 | 5,855 |  | 63 | 10,400 |
| 15 | 6,039 |  | 64 | 10,400 |
| 16 | 6,219 |  | 65 | 10,400 |
| 17 | 6,398 |  | 66 | 11,977 |
| 18 | 6,578 |  | 67 | 13,907 |
| 19 | 6,000 |  | 68 | 13,165 |
| 20 | 6,000 |  | 69 | 12,065 |
| 21 | 6,000 |  | 70 | 10,235 |
| 22 | 6,000 |  | 71 | 8,450 |
| 23 | 5,948 |  | 72 | 8,450 |
| 24 | 6,028 |  | 73 | 11,673 |
| 25 | 5,306 |  | 74 | 14,315 |
| 26 | 5,040 |  | 75 | 10,575 |
| 27 | 8,825 |  | 76 | 9,600 |
| 28 | 7,174 |  | 77 | 10,400 |
| 29 | 5,040 |  | 78 | 10,400 |
| 30 | 5,040 |  | 79 | 9,853 |
| 31 | 5,040 |  | 80 | 5,040 |
| 32 | 4,950 |  | 81 | 5,040 |
| 33 | 8,467 |  | 82 | 5,040 |
| 34 | 9,202 |  | 83 | 5,040 |
| 35 | 11,595 |  | 84 | 4,950 |
| 36 | 9,412 |  | 85 | 5,317 |
| 37 | 8,580 |  | 86 | 5,312 |
| 38 | 11,354 |  | 87 | 4,950 |
| 39 | 6,890 |  | 88 | 5,040 |
| 40 | 9,915 |  | 89 | 5,040 |
| 41 | 8,073 |  | 90 | 4,950 |
| 42 | 11,990 |  | 91 | 4,950 |
| 43 | 9,860 |  | 92 | 4,950 |
| 44 | 10,400 |  | 93 | 5,497 |
| 45 | 10,473 |  | 94 | 5,492 |
| 46 | 10,446 |  | 95 | 4,950 |
| 47 | 10,400 |  | 96 | 4,950 |
| 48 | 9,699 |  | 97 | 4,950 |
| 49 | 9,991 |  | 98 | 4,950 |
| Average Sq. Footage | 8,013 |  | 99 | 5,930 |
|  |  |  | 100 | 6,595 |
|  |  |  | 101 | 5,310 |
|  |  |  | 102 | 5,310 |
|  |  |  | 103 | 5,310 |
|  |  |  | 104 | 5,815 |
|  |  |  | 105 | 6,908 |
|  |  |  | 106 | 5,670 |
|  |  |  | 107 | 5,670 |
|  |  |  | 108 | 6,259 |
|  |  |  | Average Sq. Footage | 7,570 |
| Total square foot average for all 108 Lots |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7,771 |  |  |



# Exhibit 12 <br> NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING FOR THE PROPOSED <br> OAK RIDGE MEADOWS SUBDIVISION 

DATE: July 26, 2018<br>TIME: 6:00 PM<br>LOCATION: McMinnville Community Center, Room 102

THIS MEETING IS OPEN TO THE PUBLIC AND
INTERESTED PERSONS ARE INVITED TO ATTEND

## Exhibit 13

NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING for Oak Ridge Meadows PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION

DATE: July 26, 2018
TIME: 6:00 PM

## LOCATION: McMinnville Community Center, Room 102

This is an opportunity for neighbors in the surrounding area to see and hear what residential development is going to be proposed to the Planning Commission in the near future.
We invite anyone interested to come and have a conversation about the future development proposal.

Proposal: The description of the three applications we will be proposing is:

- A Planned Development Amendment to modify the Oak Ridge Planned Development (Ordinance No. 4722) to remove the unplatted fourth phase of the Oak Ridge phased subdivision (approximately 11.47 acres) from the boundary of the Oak Ridge Planned Development.
- A Planned Development Amendment application to amend the existing OakRidge Meadows Planned Development (Ordinance 4822) in a number of ways including: 1) Add the unplatted fourth phase of the Oak Ridge phased subdivision to the boundary of the Oak Ridge Meadows Planned Development; 2) Modify setbacks from those previously approved; 3) Allow construction of a portion of NW Pinehurst Drive to modified standards; 4) Allow for the designation of a private Nature Park; and,5) Allow for dedication of public open space.
- A tentative phased residential subdivision plan on approximately 35.47 acres of land that, if approved, would provide for the construction of 106 single-family homes on lots ranging in size from 4,950 to 15,981 square feet and averaging 7,730 square feet in size.

Homes to be built are anticipated to range from approximately 1,800 to 3,500 square feet in size and will be single and two-story design. This development is expected to be done in two phases, with full build out in approximately 5 years.

Please come to this neighborhood meeting to receive information on the proposed development and to ask questions, provide input and voice any concerns you may have.

Attached: Tax map, Conceptual development plan
Thank you in advance for your participation.
Respectfully,
Lori Zumwalt, Member
Premier Development, LLC

Oak Ridge Meadows

| Mep No. | Tex Lot | Site Address | Owner | Aets: | Mealing Address | City State | 21p |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R44178601600 | 2767 NW PINOT NOIR DR | UERUAN JEAN TRUSTEE FOR THE | LERUAN JEON TRUSTEE FOR THE | 2767 NW PNOT NOIR DR | MCWINVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 2 | R 440700701 | 10651 NW BRENTANO UN | DAVISON LINA | DEVISON LINDALFWMIY TRUST | 10551 NW BRENTANO LN | MOWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 3 | R44178606907 | 26A6 NW CHLPDDONEMY DR | CLAITON GEOFFREY R | CLISTON GEOFFREY R | 2645 NW CHARDONNAY DR | HOWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 4 | R 440700701 | 10551 NW BRENTANO LN | DAVISON LINDA | DEISON LINDALFWMIY TRUST | 10551 NW BRENTANO LN | MOWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 5 | R44178806200 | 1407 NW RESLING WUY | CUSCADEN ARTHUR B | CUSCADEV ARTHUR B | 1407 NW PEISUNG WEY | MOWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 6 | R 440800200 | 3375 WESTSIDE RD CNTY | BERNARDS RUSSELI | BEPNLRDS KAPEN J | 8645 NW DONNELY LIN | MOWNWVILE OR | 97128 |
| 7 | R44178606900 | 2658 NW PINOT NOIR DR | PPEMIER DEVELOPNENT LLC | PREMIER DEVELOPNENT LLC | 1312 NE HWY 99w | HOWNWVIE OR | 97128 |
| 8 | R4418 00107 | ONTY | BANER CREEX | BNER CREEX DEVELOPMENT LLC | 485 SSTATE ST | LAKE OSWEGO OR | 97034 |
| 9 | R4417 01202 | MOW | TOTH LESLIEAA | TOTH LESLIEAA | 2700 NW PINEHURST DR | MOWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 10 | R44178806300 | 1427 NW RESLUNG WUY | OWENS FREDERICK N | OWEIS FREDERJCK N | 1427 NW REISUNG WY | MOWINVVILE OR | 97128 |
| 11 | R44178806906 | 2671 NW PINOT NOIR DR | KEAPNS CALEB J | KELPNS CALEB J | 2671 NW PINOT NOIR DR | MCWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 12 | R44178600500 | 2713 NW PRNOT NOIR DR | COUIN CHARLES M TRUSTEE | COYN CHARLES M TRUSTEE | 2718 NW PNOT NOIR DR | MONNWVILE OR | 97128 |
| 13 | R44178800600 | 2687 NW OAK RIDGE DR | FOX STEPHEN OWEN | FOX STEPHEN OWEN | 2687 NW OAK RIDGE DR | MOWNWVILE OR | 97128 |
| 14 | R44178800200 | 2730 NW PINOT NOIR DR | SMITH MELBAL | SUITH MELBAL | 2780 NW PINOT NOIR DR | MOWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 15 | R4408 00200 | 3375 WESTSIDE RD CNTY | BERNARDS RUSSELI | EEPNURDS KAPENJ | 8645 NW DONNELY LN | MOWNWVILE OR | 97128 |
| 16 | R44178607005 | 2824 NW PINOT NOIR DR | PRARR LARSY E | PLPR LARSY E | 2824 NW PNOT NOIR DR | HOWNWVILE OR | 97128 |
| 17 | R44178806905 | 2679 NW PINOT NOIR DR | CHANG YONGUIAN | CHENG YONGJIAN | 2260 NW WEST WIND DR | MOWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 18 | R44178607004 | 2840 NW PINOT NOIR DR | NOREY JANES M CO-TRUSTEE | NOFEY JANES M CO-TRUSTEE | 2840 NW PINOT DR | HONNWVILE OR | 97128 |
| 19 | R44178801300 | 2741 NW PINOT NOIR DR | FREY JAMES A II \& ANDREA L TRUSTEES | FREY JAMES A Il a ANDREA L TRUSTEES | 1271 NE HIGHWUY 99W 3501 | MOWNWVLE OR | 97128 |
| 20 | R44178601700 | 2729 NW RESLING WVY | GABRYS CLINTON D | GIBRIS CLINTON D | 2729 NW REISUNG WVY | MOWNWVILE OR | 97128 |
| 21 | R4417 01300 | 1565 NW BAYER CREEX RD | PREMER HOWE BUIDERS INC | PREVIER HOWE BUIDERS INC | 1312 NE HIGHWUY 99W | MOWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 22 | R44178601000 | 2696 NW PESLING WUY | OBREGON GILDARDOA | OEREGON GILDARDO A | PO BOX 865 | MCNNVVIE OR | 97128 |
| 23 | R44178807003 | 2849 NW PINOT NOIR DR | RUDOLPH BRETT \& | RUDOLPH BREIT \& | 2849 NW PNOT NOIR DR | MOWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 24 | R4417 01200 | 2616 NW PINOT NOIR DR | COMFTON HUNT M | COMFTON HUNT M | PO BOX 203 | MOWINVILE OR | 97128 |
| 25 | R44178600600 | 2596 NW PINOT NOIR DR | HAUGHEY MATTHEW A TRUSTEE | HLUGHEY MATTHEW A TRUSTEE | 2643 NW PINOT NOIR | MOWNWVILE OR | 97128 |
| 26 | R44178801500 | 2781 NW PINOT NOIR DR | BOURAE JASON R A | BOUPNE JASON R A | 2781 NW PINOT NOIR DR | MOWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 27 | R44178806600 | 1431 NW RESLING Wuy | STORMS TREVOR M | STORUS TREVOR M | 1481 NW REISUNG WEY | MOWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 28 | R44178606902 | 2684 NW PINOT NOIR DR | GOEKLER CATHERINE L | GOENER CATHERINE L | 2684 NW PINOT NOIR DR | MOWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 29 | R44178807002 | 2835 NW PINOT NOIR DR | SANDELS CHRISTOPHERM | SNOEES CHRISTOPHER M | 2835 NE PINOT NOIR DR | MOWINVVILE OR | 97128 |
| 30 | R44178807001 | 1572 NW ELAVEST | GUBRUD CHRIS $M$ | GUERUD CHRIS M | 1572 NW BLAKE ST | MOWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 31 | R44178800700 | 26-9 NW OUK RIDGE DR | CHASE DAVID B | CHISE DIVID 3 | 2649 NW OAK RIDGE DR. | MOWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 32 | R44178806400 | 149 NW RESUNG WUY | KIMURA SCOTT M | KUUPA SCOTT M | 1449 NW PEISUNG WY | MOWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 33 | R44178600400 | 2732 NW PINOT NOIR DR | BROTT NORUM G TRUSTEE FOR | BROTT NORUM G TRUSTEE FOR | 2732 NW PINOT NOIR DR | HOWNWVILE OR | 97128 |
| 34 | R 440800200 | 3375 WESTSIDE RD CNTY | BERNARDS RUSSELI | BEPNARDS KAPEN J | 8645 NW DONNELIY UN | MOWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 35 | R44178806901 | 2664 NW PINOT NOIR DR | PEDERSEN RODNEY N CO-TRUSTEE | PEDEISEN RODNEY N CO-TRUSTEE | 2664 NW PINOT NOIR DR | MOWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 36 | R44178801400 | 2753 NW PINOT NOIR DR | BENIT DAVIDP | EENTL DWVIDP | 2753 NW PINOT NOIR DR | MOWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 37 | R44178804700 | 2632 NW CHLPDDONEMY DR | EIDE NOLAN | EDE MOLAN | 2632 NW CHAPDONNLAY DR | MOWNWVILE OR | 97128 |
| 38 | R44178607000 | $27 \%$ NW PINOT NOIR DR | HEIMEN RANDOLPH T | HEIUAN RLNDOLPHT | 1545 NW NEDINLH DR | MOWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 39 | R44178606903 | 2690 NW PINOT NOIR DR | WLINNS JOSEPH R | WUKNS JOSEPH R | 2690 NW PINOT NOIR DR | HOWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 40 | R44178800100 | 2812 NW PINOT NOIR DR | ROEERTS MCHAEL F | RCEESTS WCHAEL F | 2812 NW PINOT NOIR DR | MOWNWVILE OR | 97128 |
| 41 | R44178601100 | 2731 NW PINOT NOIR DR | UHRINAK TERRY A | UHPNAK TEPRY A | 2731 NW PINOT NOIR DR | MOWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 42 | R 440700600 | 10025 NW BRENTANO LN | BRENTANO VINCENT | SCHWLRTI FAMILY TRUST 74.11\% | 10225 NW BRENTANO LN | MOWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 43 | R4f178606904 | 2687 NW PINOT NOIR DR | HADFIELD SARAH \& | HADFIELD SARNH E | 2687 NW PINOT NOIR DR | MOWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 44 | R4418 00106 | ONTY | BukER CREDK | BUER CREEX DEVELOPMENT LC | 485 S STATE ST | LAKE OSWEGO OR | 97034 |
| 45 | R4407 00600 | 10025 NW BRENTANO LN | BRENTANO VINCENT | SCHNARTL FWMIUY TRUST 74.11\% | 10225 NW BRENTANO LN | MOWNWVILE OR | 97128 |
| 46 | R44178806500 | 1463 NW RESLUNG WUY | WULDRON BERNARD E CO-TRUSTEE | WLIDPON BERNARD E CO-TRUSTEE | 1463 NW RIESUNG WAY | MCWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 47 | R44178607400 | 2632 NW PINOT NOIR DR | COMPTON HUNT M | COWFTON HUNT M | PO BOX 203 | MOWNNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 48 | R44178607700 | 2621 NW PINOT NOIR DR | MOEERG RICHARDA | MCEEPG RICHARDA | 2621 NW PINOT NOIR DR | MOWNWVILE OR | 97128 |
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| 49 | R44178801200 | 2737 NW PRNOT NOIR DR | LUNGFORD PAUL | LUNGFORD PAUL | 2737 NW PINOT NOIR DR | MCOVNNVILIE OR | 97128 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | R44178606700 | 1498 NW REISUNG WVY | CLEVIDENCE RAOMOND L JR | CLEVIDEVCE RAWHOND L.JR | 1493 NW REISUNG WAY | IMCNINVVILE OR | 97128 |
| 51 | R44178600300 | 2756 NW PRNOT NOIR DR | WELUMAN SCOTT T | WELUSAN SCOTT T | 2756 NW PINOT NOIR DR | MCNINNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 52 | R44178807500 | 2650 NW PRNOT NOIR DR | OLSEN STEVEN T A CATHERINE D TRUSTEE | OLSEN STEVENT A CATHERINE D TRUSTEES | 2650 NW PNNOT NOIR DR | IMCIINNVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 53 | R4417 01300 | 1565 NW BuMER CREEK RD | PREMER HOWE BUIDERS INC | PRDIER HOWE BUIDERS INC | 1312 NE HIGHWUY 99W | ICOINNVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 54 | R4417B606000 | 2693 NW PINOT NOIR DR | ATHARINIKROUH EMILY G | ATHLPINIKPOUH EMILY G | 2693 NW PINOT NOIR DR | IMCNINNVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 55 | R44178604500 | 2608 NW CHLPDONENY DR | FUCHS ERIC C | FUCHS EPIC C | 2608 NW CHARDOFNLAY DR | ICONINVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 56 | R44178607600 | 26-3 NW PINOT NOIR DR | HAUGHEY MATTHEW A TRUSTEE | HAUGHEY MATTHEW A TRUSTEE | 2643 NW PNNOT NOIR DR | IMCIINWVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 57 | R4417 01202 | HOW | TOTH LESLIEAA | TOTH LESLIEAA | 2700 NW PINEHURST DR | MCNINVVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 58 |  | City | City of Mollirmville Planning Department | Chuck Darnell | 231 NE 5th Street | MCONINVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 59 |  | 2610 NW Reieling Way | ISABELL DUTARTE | ISAEELI DUTARTE | 2610 NW Reieling Way | HCNINVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 60 |  | 2662 NW Reiding Way | STEPHENIE A RUSSELI BOYDEN | STEPHENIE Q RUSSELI BOYDEN | 2662 NW Reiding Way | MCOINNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 61 |  | 2705 NW Reisling Way | THONLS E JR It ROXANE HENDERSON | THOULS E JR E ROXANE HENDERSON | 2705 NW Reieling Way | HCNINVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 62 |  | 2609 NW Reiding Way | GABRYS EDWARD AND SYLIIA FANIY TRUST | GABRIS EDWLPD AND SYLIIA FWNILY TRUST | 2669 NW Reiding Way | IMCIINWVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 63 |  | 2665 NW Reiding Way | JEFFREY SCHORZMEN | JEFTPEY SCHORZMAN | 2000 Yohn Ranch Drive | MCINNVVILE OR | 97128 |
| 64 |  | 2653 NW Reieling Way | JEFFREY \& LYNNE MCLAUGHLIN | JCFTREY E DNNE MCLAUGHLIN | 2653 NW Reiding Way | IMCNINNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 65 |  | $26+1$ NW Reieling Way | COLIEENEGLEY | COUEENEGLEY | 2641 NW Reiding Way | IMCNINVVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 66 |  | 2635 NW Reiding Way | STEPFEN A STEPHEN INKLES | STEPFEN A STEPHEN INKLES | 2635 NW Reiding Way | IMCNINVVILE OR | 97128 |
| 67 |  | 2619 NW Reiding Way | CHARLES \& DIANE BERG | CHALIES E DLANE BERG | 2619 NW Reiding Way | MCONNVVILE OR | 97128 |
| 68 |  | 2601 NW Reiding Way | GAPY E KAREN THURMAN | GLPY E KAREN THURMAN | 2601 NW Reiding Way | MCOINWVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 69 |  | 2571 NW Oak Ridge Drive | MARLENE E KEVIN TURNIPSEED | MAPLENE E MEVIN TURNIPSEED | 2571 NW Oak Ridge Drive | MCNINVVILE OR | 97128 |
| 70 |  | 2553 NW Oak Ridge Drive | DAMD \& JANI FLUKE | DVID E JANII FLUKE | 2553 NW Oak Ridge Drive | IMCNINVVILE OR | 97128 |
| 71 |  | 15-5 NW Cabernet Court | JEFF TERSY | JUFF TERRY | 1545 NW Cabernet Court | MCOINNVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 72 |  | 1561 NW Cabernet Court | JOHN BARNES JR | JOHN BAPNES JR | 1561 NW Cabernet Court | IMCNINVVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 73 |  | 1564 NW Cabernet Court | FREDERICK \& DANIEUE PMASCH | FrEDERUCK E DANIELIE PAASCH | 1564 NW Cabernet Court | MCIINNVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 74 |  | 154 NW Cabernet Court | KENT HOUSTON | KENT HOUSTON | 1548 NW Cabernet Court | HCNINNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 75 |  | 1520 NW Cabernet Court | JOHN : JENNIFER COLVIN | JOHN E JENIFER COLVIN | 1520 NW Cabernet Court | MCNINNVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 76 |  | $14 \%$ NW Chardornay Drive | ADIRAN MORA | ADRENMORA | 710 NW Cypresz Street | IMCNINVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 77 |  | 1478 NW Chardomay Drive | LANE ROENMUCK | LANE ROEWUCK | 1478 NW Chardonnay Drive | IMCINNVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 78 |  | 1462 NW Chardornay Drive | SPPNCER \& BEVERLY KOPPANG | SPERCER E BEVERLY KOPPANG | 1452 NW Chardonnay Drive | IICNINVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 79 |  | 1452 NW Chardonnay Drive | PRULA HOFFMAN | RUULA HOFFMAN | 1452 NW Chardonnay Drive | IMCINNVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 80 |  | 1400 NW Chardornay Drive | NORTH MAC PROPERTIES LLC | NOFTH MAC PROPERTIES LLC | PO Box 594 | MCIINNVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 81 |  | 1426 NW Chardonnay Drive | LARRY GREEN | LAPSY GREEN | 1426 NW Chardonnay Drive | IMCNINVVILE OR | 97128 |
| 82 |  | 1408 NW Chardornay Drive | MCHAEL COUNS | MCHIPL COUNS | 1408 NW Chardonnay Drive | MCINNVVILE OR | 97128 |
| 83 |  | 1400 NW Chardomay Drive | LEON BENNETT | LEON BENEIT | 1400 NW Chardonnay Drive | IMCNINWVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 84 |  | 2515 NW Chardomnay Drive | KENNETH BROWN | KDNETH BROWN | 2516 NW Chardonnay Drive | HCNINVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 85 |  | 2530 NW Chardonnay Drive | KRISTINAMLIER | KGISTINA MULIER | 2530 NW Chardonnay Drive | IMCNINVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 86 |  | 2544 NW Chardornay Drive | JOSE \& ANGELICA SANCHEZ | JOSE E ANGELICA SANCHEL | 2544 NW Chardonnay Drive | ICOINWVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 87 |  | 2556 NW Chardomay Drive | KERMAN A SHIRLY BURNEIT | IEPULN A SHIRLY BURNETT | 2216 NW Doral Street | IMCNINNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 88 |  | 2588 NW Chardomay Drive | FLORENTINO MEDIAN-TORPES | FLOFETTINO MEDIAN-TORSES | 2588 NW Chardonnay Drive | IMCNINVVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 89 |  | 1411 NW Chardonnay Drive | ROSEMARIE GREENE | ROSEUARIE GREENE | 1411 NW Chardonnay Drive | MCIINNVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 90 |  | 1429 NW Chardonnay Drive | PHILMA PETERSON | PHLUA PETERSON | 1429 NW Chardonnay Drive | IMCNINVVILE OR | 97128 |
| 91 |  | 1443 NW Chardomay Drive | SANG WOOK \& NEE JAH YOON | SSMG WOOK \& MEE JAH YOON | 1443 NW Chardonnay Drive | IHCNINVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 92 |  | 1457 NW Chardomay Drive | RONNIE \& BAPBARA WITWORTH | RONENE E BAPBARA WITWORTH | 16500 S Henrici Road | OPEGON CITY OR | 97045 |
| 93 |  | 1465 NW Chardonnay Drive | VINCE \& MICHELIE BARRETT | VINCE A MCHELIE BARRETT | 1465 NW Chardonnay Drive | MCIINWVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 94 |  | 1483 NW Chardonnay Drive | PAMELA CUTTING | PUNELA CUTTING | 1483 NW Chardonnay Drive | MCONNVILIE OR | 97128 |
| 95 |  | 1497 NW Chardomnay Drive | DENIS DUNICK | Dews DINICK | 3030 SW Sherviood Place | PORTLAND OR | 97201 |
| 96 |  | 1494 NW Chardomay Drive | BARRY HOUSE | BLSGY HOUSE | 1494 NW Chardonnay Drive | MCOVINVIULE OR | 97128 |
| 97 |  | 1482 NW Reisling Way | YANFEN it JIAN CHEN | LINFEN I JIAN CHEN | 1482 NW Reiding Way | HCNINNVILIE OR | 97128 |
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| 98 | 1474 NW Reiding Way | JANES A VANESSA FACNLER | JANES A vinessa fackirr | 1474 NW Reiding Way | MCNINVILE OR | 97128 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 99 | 1456 NW Reiding Way | BAPBARA FAPIER | BLPELPAFIRUER | 1456 NW Reiding Way | MCNINVILE OR | 97128 |
| 100 | 1448 NW Reiding Way | UNDA CADINHA | LNOACADNHA | 19701 NE Trunk Poad | DUNDEE OR | 97115 |
| 101 | 1424 NW Reidina Way | NATHAN LEINWEBER | NLTHNLENWEEER | 1424 NW Reidins Way | MCINNVILE OR | 97128 |
| 102 | 1404 NW Reiding Way | CONCTANCE JARIN | CONGTLACE JAPIN | 1404 NW Reiding Way | MCNINVILE OR | 97128 |





NW Pinot Noir Drive just east of NW Chardonnay

## Exhibit 18

# NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING For Oak Ridge Meadows AGENDA - 

DATE: July 26, 2018
TIME: 6:00 PM
LOCATION: McMinnville Community Center, Room 102

## Agenda Items

1. Introduction and Attendee Sign-In Sheet
2. Invitation and Opportunity to view the tentative subdivision plan and other exhibits
3. Presentation of the Major Elements of the Proposal
a. The Applications
b. The Proposed Subdivision Design
c. Vehicular Access
d. Park Spaces and Natural Resources
4. Q \& A: Invitation for Neighbor Comments, Questions and Concerns
5. Adjournment
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## NEIGHEORHOOD MEETING

For Cak Ridge Meadows

## SIGN-WN SHEET

DATE: July 26, 2018
TMME: 6:00 PM
LOCATION: MCliannvithe Community Center, Room 102

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Preass provide your contact itformzion taddre } 55 \\ & \text { oremaif } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Stephaske Janik. | S.sjanik@gmail.car |
| mike Tanik | 1310 NW cinferdel of |
| Cod Pedersen | 2661 Nw Pax Noir |
| Tud " | "1 |
| Scify y-ueplmon | 2756 ACU Phat |
| DE:m Buers | 269960 8icoling 40 |
| $C_{r c}$ C Furst | eriod spessart Com $A$ |
| Cotherink Oisav. | combes 50x 120 mpacks |
| Truse Plsisis | 1 |
| afleen tidolos | cturtosenow couk |
| Wewilkhs) | Jorimilkisenotrailed |
| Dayk Cluse |  |
| + 5 salters $\leq$ Sen | Stumamests |
| Karen Eernaras | ruissthe frarmus-Cuanod.c |
|  | $2536 n W Z$ Inandec leop |
| J |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING
SIGN-IN SHEET
For Oak Ridge Meadows
DATE: July 26, 2018
TIMF: f:00 PM
LOCATION: MCMinnville Community Center, Room 192

| WAME (PEAEas Printi) | Please provide your contack information \}address or emais |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | iQ7 ¢ \% 心1al.com |
|  | VOWLLARP © ACL-COA |
| Augur E'tame $2 / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{sum}$ | ruermetsole 75,0 ginwir |
| Whantionaitz | manditube apmat. conn |
| Ruan Thetsom | $\text { learlson } 4 \text { equmern }$ |
| Terry Oriam | twalenaramed cum |
| Whe Rebents | mabertatinfod. edn |
| Goth Colviol | Jifuravise 4 a aml. Com |
| 等Sanged Mex Locn | pis msy 3 (e) at low coma |
| $\operatorname{Lin} x=, \operatorname{Png}$ | d manseacencast.ne/ |
| Eks a U'ilimmes (eustlur | (k) kivity 13 eq mail deam |
| Morgam laill | morgan (ostatecod land esurfainy. is |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING
SIGN-IN SHEET
For Gak Ridge Meadows
DATE: Juhy 26, 2018
TIME: 6:00 PM
LOCATLON: MCAMinwille Community Center, Room $1+02$

| NAMME [PTease Print | Please provicie your contact information (address or email! |
| :---: | :---: |
| Kevisu Tounimsseris | 2S7t Nw Onk RIDEE OR. |
| JMM NoESy |  |
| Coy \& Terlin Howne of | 250 vid Aicelet 2 P |
| -1)/6untat - LAAAY PraR | ande |
| melba-Cturt | $2780 \mathrm{NW} \text { Pnat note DR }$ |
| Paut Zuntore | " "\% " |
|  | H19?NW Res.fine lexa |
| -p |  |
| yrovralrionotat | " \# // |
| Juphanje Toupon | 2662, Ning Riesl.Nag |
|  |  |
| Worma Puat- | $278 \%$ diva Pant dery |
| Gurmen Mencen hath | 2410 NuW zingondel Leme |
| STEUE MNEELES | 2635 NARESLINEWAY |
| Cathe Sockec | 2684 NuTinst Nous |
| TI Q Quane Hendersm | 2705 net Rarstuma a |
| Anomer Selmson | 2.462 wisu zuntandel 10 . |
| Dencuts Froct | 2543 NW 2mfordel CD |
| Wenek - Sandy thededz- |  |
| Charles thower | 1020 NW Bakar Crest Coutt |

## Exhibit 24

A Neighborhood Meeting was held from 6:00 p.m. to 7:30 p.m. in Room 102 of the McMinnville Community Center on Wednesday, July 28, 2018 in order to publicly present a Subdivision proposal (Oak Ridge Meadows), inclusive of two Planned Development Amendment proposals, and to provide an opportunity and invitation for the public to view the tentative subdivision plan and other exhibits and to ask questions, voice concerns and to engage in a conversation on the proposal. At this meeting, Premier Development, LLC was represented by Ron Pomeroy (Navigation Land Use Consulting, LLC) and Josh Wells (Westech Engineering, Inc.).

Two letters of testimony were provided at that meeting and are included below. Additional summary notes representing oral comments received at the meeting and, as required by McMinnville Zoning Ordinance 17.72.095(G)(5)(e), a summary of any of Premier Development's revisions made to the proposal based on comments received at the meeting follow.

18 July, 2016

To: Premier Development, LLC

From: M.E. and Bill Fleeger
2410 NW Pinehurst Drive
McMinnville, OR 97128

Re: Oak Ridge Meadows Proposal


#### Abstract

Thank you for sending out information regarding your future applications to the planning council. Unfortunately, some of us cannot attend your meeting and are quite unclear about your proposals. The information presented in the handout was incomplete and inadequate to determine the extent of the impact to the surrounding neighbors, wetlands and floodplain. Specifically, we are unclear about the major ingress and egress routes from Baker Creek Rd. and how the additional traffic would be accommodated. Second, there is no mention of the impact on the wetlands, the required permits or how the impact to the wetlands would be mitigated. Third, we are also wondering if the low lying areas of development are within the floodplain? We appreciate your willingness to provide answers to our questions and address these concerns.


Sincerely,


William and Mary Ellen Fleeger


## Crestbrook Landscape Committee <br> McMinnville, Oregon

SUBJECT: Comments and Concerns - Oak Ridge Meadows Planned Development, Amendment Application (Ordinances 4722 and 4822), Neighborhood Meeting hosted by Premier Development, LLC at the McMinnville Community Center, 26 July, 2018

1. Flooding. FEMA maps need to be provided and reviewed to identify the potential demands on first responders, the likely financial obligations on the City safety and public services budgets, and full disclosure to potential buyers.
2. Lighting. The varying elevations of this terrain require serious consideration of the effects of light pollution on after-dark activities. Safety and health concerns need to be given sufficient consideration to prevent neighbor-to-neighbor and public space light pollution. Lighting that complies with the "Dark Skies Initiative" can mitigate the potential for sleep disruptions and blinding glares that can degrade the quality of life for current Crestbrook residents as well as the future Oak Ridge population.
3. Access. Pinehurst Drive is currently the single entry point for 35 lots in the Crestbrook Homeowners' Association. These homeowners are currently assessed annual dues which are used to maintain and improve community landscaping and lighting. Access to the Oak Ridge development via Pinehurst Drive needs to be clearly delineated from the Crestbrook Subdivision. A mutually beneficial agreement between the two subdivisions may be necessary.
4. Covenants. Every effort should be made to ensure that the Oak Ridge Development meets or exceeds the existing Crestbrook Subdivision reputation for quality and security. A commonly recognized method to achieve and maintain these desired characteristics is to establish adequate Covenants and Restrictions under the oversight of a neighborhood governing body.
5. Traffic. An impact analysis of traffic flow between these two subdivisions as well as onto Baker Creek Road needs to be made public.
6. Environmental analysis of impacts on the nature areas including Baker Creek needs to be made public.
7. Plans for sewage pumps and distribution need to be made public.

Respectfully submitted this $26^{\text {th }}$ day of July, 2018. Contact: Karen Nichols, 2579 NW Pinehurst Dr, McMinnville, OR 97128. Email iq104@aol.com, Phone 503.857.0110

cc: McMinnville City Planning and Zoning Department

The format for the Neighborhood Meeting included presenter introductions and a
description of the forthcoming applications and the main components of the tentative proposal leaving the majority of the time spent in an open dialogue with those in attendance.

The main topics verbally shared by attendees of the Neighborhood Meeting are summarized as follows. Although not required by McMinnville Zoning Ordinance 17.72.095(G)(5), brief responses are being provided which characterize those provided at the Neighborhood Meeting in addition to information now being provided in this Exhibit:

- Traffic - Development of the Oak Ridge Meadows proposal would result in too much traffic moving through adjacent subdivisions until such time that a second public street could be constructed on adjacent land, such as that owned by Stafford Land Company, to provide additional access to Oak Ridge Meadows.

Response: Premier Development previously discussed the adequacy of the adjacent street network to support this development proposal with the McMinnville Engineering Department and the McMinnville Planning Department. Premier Development was advised that a traffic study was neither necessary nor required for this proposal for two reasons: 1) The maximum average daily traffic on nearby streets resulting from this development would not exceed those streets' design capacity; and, 2) The McMinnville Transportation System Plan (2010) modeled future traffic volume for this site consistent with maximum buildout of its base zone (R-2 Single-Family Residential) and found the supporting street network sufficient to accommodate such traffic volume. This development proposal would result in fewer homes (and fewer vehicle trips) than were modeled for this area.

While no modifications to this proposal are required in response to this matter, Premier Development has taken note of this concern and has stepped forward to modify the originally proposed phasing plan for this subdivision. The plan presented to interested citizens at the Neighborhood Meeting proposed 54 single-family residential lots in Phase I. To reduce the amount of infrastructure construction and residential building occurring as part of Phase I, Premier Development has reduced the number of proposed lots in this phase from 54 lots to 49 lots (a reduction of 5 lots from Phase I by now shifting that number of lots into Phase II). This is done as a means to address the concern noted above that was raised during the Neighborhood Meeting. The submitted application narrative, findings and exhibits now all reflect this new reduced number of lots in Phase I of this proposal. Additionally, should the forthcoming development proposal from Stafford Land Company west of the site be approved, it is possible that a second public street connection may be made available to the Oak Ridge Meadows site prior to the full build-out of Oak Ridge Meadows Phase II.

- Displacement or loss of wildlife and natural habitat - Concerns were shared regarding the loss of trees, brush, understory, encroachment into the site's wetlands and nearby floodplain, and displacement or loss of wildlife presently onsite.

Response: Premier Development's consultants described the two parks proposed as part of this development totaling some 6.5 acres of park space for this approximately 35.5 acre site (about 18 percent of the site). The park spaces are comprised of an approximately 0.85 acre Nature Park (now being identified as an active private neighborhood park) internal to the development and an approximately 5.6 acre open space to be located along the Baker Creek greenway encircling most of the perimeter of the site. Both park spaces would be developed with walking paths connecting to public rights-of-way. It was also acknowledged that trees and brush would be removed in order to construct public streets and homes, should this proposal be approved, in
the same manner as had occurred when the public streets and homes for the three existing phases of Oak Ridge were constructed.

Wetland mitigation was discussed and described, and it was shared with the attendees that the wetland impacts regarding the construction of the affected portion of NW Pinehurst Drive had already been mitigated. Additionally, that a copy of the Oregon Division of State Lands approved mitigation stipulation and permit number would be provided as an attachment to the forthcoming land use proposal. Premier Development proposes and anticipates no additional work within or impact upon the adjacent wetland area.

However, since it has been some number of years since this wetland mitigation has been completed, Premier Development wants to ensure that some part of the adjacent wetland area has not manifested into some location(s) of the already mitigated roadway or other areas. To definitively determine if this has occurred, Premier Development has proactively hired the well-established environmental consulting team Pacific Habitat Services, Inc., to perform and provide a wetland delineation, analysis and assessment of this area. This is further addressed in the Findings portion of the application narrative at 17.53.103(C)(2).

Further, Premier Development is not proposing any work within the 100-year floodplain or Regulatory Floodway Zone AE as identified on FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps applicable to this site.

Premier Development is also requesting that the City of McMinnville condition the approval of this proposal, in part, on provision of a current arborist's report for the subject site.

- Site drainage - A concern was shared that the area where the southerly portion of Pinehurst Drive is proposed to be constructed currently experiences periodic flooding. Additionally, a concern was also shared that this development would be putting additional drainage water into the wetlands.

Response: The public storm sewer system that would be constructed to serve this area would transfer such surface water, and any additional flow, eastward beyond Premier Development's site through an approved storm sewer system designed, constructed and approved to City standards in addition to a storm drain outfall to the Baker Creek greenway also approved to be approved to City and other agency standards as required.

- Divert all construction traffic to the Emergency-Only Access Easement - It was suggested that all construction traffic for this development be prohibited from traveling on public streets through adjacent neighborhoods and be required to use the temporary Emergency-Only Access Easement being offered for use by Stafford Land Company.

Response: The Secondary Emergency-Only Access Easement being temporarily offered by Stafford Land Company across property they own will become needed to serve Oak Ridge Meadows in order to exceed the construction limitation of 30 unsprinkled single-family dwellings being served with one access as per the McMinnville Fire Code Applications Guide. Premier Development understands that construction traffic moving along local streets can be an inconvenience to nearby residents. Premier Development has considered the option and logistics of routing all such traffic across adjacent land and along the gravel emergency-only access
easement as suggested during the Neighborhood Meeting. This option, if ultimately approved by the McMinnville Fire Department and the adjacent private land owner, would necessarily result in the creation of a series of changing, temporary gravel "roadways" throughout the Oak Ridge Meadows site in order to reach the various locations where utility improvements, road construction and home construction will be occurring until such time that the adjacent land owner receives land use approval and constructs a public street to City standards providing a secondary permanent public access to Oak Ridge Meadows.

The City allows construction vehicles full access to public rights-of-way the same as any other legally licensed vehicle. Premier Development is aware of and familiar with impacts of construction vehicles upon nearby neighborhoods. However, upon considering the options available, Premier Development will not be pursuing the requested dual-use of the emergency-only access easement and the provision of the resulting network of temporary gravel "roadways" across the Oak Ridge Meadows site. Rather, construction vehicles associated with this residential development will be utilizing the public street system throughout the build-out of this development which is a non-revocable right afforded to all legally licensed vehicles intended for public street use. -- No modifications to the proposal have been made in response to this concern.

- "Cookie Cutter" housing - Concerns were voiced that the development would exhibit "cookie cutter" style housing.

Response: It was shared with the attendees that Premier Development is offering that approval of this proposal be conditioned with a requirement to provide an Architectural Pattern Book to be approved by the Planning Director prior to the release of any residential building permits for the site. This condition would be the same as required of Stafford Land Company relative to their "Baker Creek South" development approval. The basic components of such an Architectural Pattern Book was explained for the attendees and are reproduced here in full.
"That, prior to issuance of residential building permits, the applicant shall submit a residential Architectural Pattern Book to the Planning Director for review and approval. The purpose of the Architectural Pattern Book is to provide an illustrative guide for residential design in the Oak Ridge Meadows development. This book will contain architectural elevations, details, materials and colors of each building type. The dominant building style for residences in the area identified in the Oak Ridge Meadows subdivision tentative plan can be best described as generally Northwest Craftsman or English Cottage style dwelling. In order to protect property values, front entries will need to be clearly defined, garages will need to either be on the same plane as the front entry or recessed from the front entry, at least three material types will need to be used on the front elevations, driveways should be adjacent to each other to enhance opportunities for front yards and landscaping, and a variety of color schemes should be used throughout the development that are distinctly different from each other but enhance each other.

At a minimum, the Architectural Pattern Book shall contain sections addressing:
a) Style and Massing
b) Quality and Type of Exterior Materials
c) Front Porches / Entry Areas
d) Roof Design and Materials
e) Exterior Doors and Windows
f) Garage Door Types
g) Exterior Lighting
h) Sample Exterior Colors"

And,
"In order to eliminate a cookie-cutter stylization of the neighborhood, no same home design shall be built in adjacency to another, including both sides of the street."

Additionally, a condition of approval is also proposed requiring a portion of lots be made available for sale to other builders for a period of time.
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OFFICIAL YAMHILL COUNTY RECORDS BRIAN VAN BERGEN, COUNTY CLERK
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## SANITARY SEWER EASEMENT

THIS INDENTURE MADE and entered into this $1 a^{\text {Th }}$ day of JUNe, 2018 by and between Les \& Kathleen Toth, hereinafter referred to as the Granters, and the City of McMinnville, a Municipal Corporation of the State of Oregon, hereinafter referred to as the Grantee.

That the Grantors, for and in consideration of the sum of No Dollars, ( $\$ 0.00$ ), do hereby grant, bargain, sell and convey unto the Grantee, perpetual easement, as described herein, together with the right to go upon said easement area hereinafter described for the purpose of constructing, reconstructing, maintaining and using a public sanitary sewer system, on the following described property, to-wit:

## (See attached Exhibit "A" for legal description and attached Exhibit "B" for map)

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the above easement to the said Grantee, its successors and assigns forever.


STATE OF OREGON )
County of Yamhill

```
) ss.
)
```

This instrument was acknowledged before me on the
 day of $\searrow$ ) QnP, 2018, by LES ROTH. $\qquad$


## AFTER RECORDING RETURN TO:

City of McMinnville 230 NE 2nd Street McMinnville, Or 97128


SEND TAX STATEMENTS TO: NA

STATE OF OREGON
County of Yamhill
This instrument was acknowledged before me on the $\qquad$ day of June , 2018, by KATHLEEN TOTH.

(NOTARY SEAL)


The City of McMinnville has reviewed the above document and hereby accepts the sarge.,


Leland MacDonald \& Assoc., LLC
Land Surveyors
3765 Riverside Drive
McMinnville, OR 97128
Phone: 472-7904
Fax: 472-0367
EXHIbIt "A "


7 May 2018

## Description of Real Property for: Les \& Kathleen Toth \& The City of McMinnville: Easement description

An easement located in Section 17, Township 4 South, Range 4 West of the Willamette Meridian in Yamhill County, Oregon, being a portion of that tract of land described by Deed from Compton Family Limited Partnership to Compton Crest, LLC and recorded in Instrument No. 200408905, Yamhill County Deed and Mortgage Records, and being a portion of Parcel 1 of Yamhill County Partition Plat 2000-37, being 20 feet in width, lying 10 feet each side of the centerline thereof, said centerline being more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at an iron rod marking the northeast corner of Lot 26 of Compton Crest subdivision, said point being on the east line of said Parcel 1 ; thence North $00^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$ West 315.00 feet along said east line to a point on the centerline of an existing sanitary sewer easement, said easement being 20 feet in width, lying 10 feet each side of centerline, recorded in Instrument No. 200503254, Deed Records of Yamhill County, Oregon; thence South $89^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$ West 15.00 feet along said centerline to a point; thence South $64^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ West 243.01 feet to an angle point in said centerline and the POINT OF BEGINNING; thence South $58^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ West 172.52 feet to a point on an existing sanitary sewer easement, said easement being 20 feet in width, lying 10 feet each side of centerline, recorded in Partition Plat 200037, Survey Records of Yamhill County, Oregon, as shown on a map attached, hereto and made a part thereof, the sidelines of said easement to extend and shorten with the west margin of said Instrument No. 200503254 and with the North and South margin of said easement per Partition Plat 2000-37.
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From: gordonroot@aol.com
Subject: Re: Baker Creek North and Oak Ridge Meadows connection
Date: July 9, 2018 at 3:03:24 PM PDT
To: loriz.premier@gmail.com, gordon@staffordlandcompany.com
Cc: morgan@staffordlandcompany.com, ryanobrien1@frontier.com
Hi Lori:
In our pre-app meeting for Baker Creek North, in which all departments were represented, we told them that we have are preparing to grant you a temporary secondary access easement over our property in order for you to proceed. We discussed the possible alignment and they preferred an alignment which follows the future alignment of Shadden Drive.

Basically, they would like to see a road base laid down that can support a fire truck in the rain. Depending upon your timing, the length of such will vary, as if you develop concurrent with our first phase, the temporary access road will be shorter, as we would be putting in the portion from Baker Creek Road to a point about $50 \%$ of the way to your project.

We have made many revisions to our site plan since I last sent you one based upon City Planning Staff input, and attached is a more recent version. Our final version is now being drawn in CAD. I will forward it to you once we have the plan back.

Morgan/Ryan, please confirm and make adjustments as necessary.
From what I can see, it looks as if we miss the tree.
Thank you,

## Gordon Root | Principal

STAFFORD
LAND COMPANY

## StaffordLandCompany.com

503.720.0914 | Cell
gordon@staffordlandcompany.com

## 485 South State Street, Lake Oswego, OR 97034
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## CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

This study evaluates the transportation impacts associated with the development of a 108-unit single family development in the city of McMinnville, Oregon. The site is located at the northern end of NW Pinot Noir Drive. Currently, the lot is zoned as Single-Family Residential (R-2) and the lot is used for agricultural purposes. Single family dwellings are a permitted land use under the current R-2 zoning. A map of the project location is shown in Figure 1.

The development will ultimately be accessed via an extension of NW Shadden Drive that will extend from NW Baker Creek Road to the project site. Until that roadway extension is constructed, the development will be served by the existing roadway network, including NW Pinot Noir Drive, NW Oak Ridge Drive, and Merlot Drive.

Because single family residential developments are an allowed use under the existing property zoning, and because the development is expected to have a relatively small impact on the surrounding transportation system, the City of McMinnville is not requiring a traffic impact analysis for this development. However, the


Figure 1: Study Area Map adjoining neighborhood has voiced concerns regarding increased traffic volumes on their local street system, which is the motivation for this traffic impact analysis.

The purpose of this transportation impact analysis is to identify what impacts the proposed development may have on the nearby transportation network. The analysis is focused on two
study intersections, NW Baker Creek Road /NW Oak Ridge Drive (NW Doral Street) and NW Baker Creek Road/Merlot Drive (NW Greenbriar Place).

This chapter provides an introduction to the proposed development. Table 1 lists important characteristics of the study area and proposed project.

Table 1: Key Study Area and Proposed Development Characteristics

| Characteristics | Information |
| :--- | :--- |
| Study Area |  |
| Number of Study Intersections | 2 |
| Analysis Period | Weekday AM Peak hour (between 7-9 AM) <br> Weekday PM Peak Hour (between 4-6 PM) |
| Project Site | Agricultural |
| Existing Land Use | 108-unit single family development |
| Proposed Development | Interim: Via existing neighborhood roadways (NW Oak Ridge Drive, NW <br> Pinot Noir Drive, and Merlot Drive) |
| Project Access | Final: Primary access via an extension of NW Shadden Drive; secondary <br> access via the existing neighborhood roadways listed above |

## CHAPTER 2: EXISTING CONDITIONS

This chapter provides documentation of existing study area conditions, including the study area street network, pedestrian and bicycle facilities, and existing traffic volumes and operations. Supporting details for volumes and operations are provided in the appendix.

## Study Area Street Network

The existing characteristics of key streets in the vicinity of the project site are summarized in table 2. The functional classifications for City of McMinnville streets are provided in the McMinnville Transportation System Plan (TSP). ${ }^{1}$

Table 2: Study Area Street Characteristics (within the Study Area)

| Street | Classification | No. of <br> Lanes | Posted <br> Speed | Sidewalks | Bike <br> Lanes | On-Street <br> Parking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NW Baker Creek <br> Road | Minor Arterial | 2 | 35 mph | Yes | Yes | No |
| NW Pinot Noir Dr | Local Street | $2^{\text {a }}$ | 25 mph | Yes | No | Yes $^{\text {b }}$ |
| NW Oak Ridge Dr | Local Street | $2^{\text {a }}$ | 25 mph | Yes | No | Yes ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Merlot Dr | Local Street | $2^{\text {a }}$ | 25 mph | Yes | No | Yes $^{\text {b }}$ |

a Two-way traffic exists, but lane lines are not marked.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{On}$-Street parking is allowed, but parking is unmarked.

## Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities

Immediately fronting the project site, NW Pinot Noir Drive has sidewalks on both sides of the roadway and no bicycle facilities. At the study intersections, NW Baker Creek Road has sidewalks on both sides of the roadway and bicycle lanes on both sides. Per the existing characteristics of key streets in the vicinity of the project are summarized in table 2. The functional classifications for City of McMinnville streets are provided in the McMinnville Transportation System Plan (TSP).

## Public Transit Service

There are no local public transit routes in the area.

[^0]
## Existing Traffic Volumes and Operations

Existing AM and PM peak hour traffic operations were analyzed at the intersection of NW Baker Creek Road /NW Oak Ridge Drive (NW Doral Street) and at the intersection of NW Baker Creek Road/Merlot Drive (NW Greenbriar Place).

Intersection turn movement volumes were collected ${ }^{2}$ at the study intersection during the AM and PM peak periods. The volumes were used in the intersection operations analysis and are shown in Figure 2. The following sections describe intersection performance measures, required operating standards, and existing operating conditions.


Figure 2: Existing AM and PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

## Intersection Performance Measures

Level of service (LOS) ratings and volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratios are two commonly used performance measures that provide a good picture of intersection operations.

- Level of service (LOS): A "report card" rating (A through F) based on the average delay experienced by vehicles at the intersection. ${ }^{3}$ LOS A, B, and C indicate conditions where traffic moves without significant delays over periods of peak hour travel demand. LOS D

[^1]and E are progressively worse operating conditions. LOS F represents conditions where average vehicle delay has become excessive and demand has exceeded capacity.

- Volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratio: A decimal representation (typically between 0.00 and 1.00) of the proportion of capacity that is being used at a turn movement, approach leg, or intersection. It is determined by dividing the peak hour traffic volume by the hourly capacity of a given intersection or movement. A lower ratio indicates smooth operations and minimal delays. As the ratio approaches 1.00 , congestion increases and performance is reduced. If the ratio is greater than 1.00, the turn movement, approach leg, or intersection is oversaturated and usually results in excessive queues and long delays.


## Required Operating Standard

City of McMinnville standards require a minimum of a $0.90 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio for all local intersections and streets ${ }^{4}$ and does not have an operational LOS standard

## Existing Operating Conditions

Existing traffic operations at the study intersection were determined for the AM and PM peak hour based on the 2010 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methodology. ${ }^{5}$ The results were then compared with the City of McMinnville's required operating standard for two-way stop controlled intersections. Table 3 lists the estimated delay, LOS, and worst movement's v/c ratio of the study intersections. The existing study intersections of NW Baker Creek Road /NW Oak Ridge Drive (NW Doral Street and NW Baker Creek Road/Merlot Drive (NW Greenbriar Place) currently meet operating standards.

Table 3: Existing PM Peak Study Intersection Operations

| Intersection | Operating Standard | Existing AM Peak |  |  | Existing PM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delay | LOS | v/c | Delay | LOS | v/c |
| NW Baker Creek Road /NW Oak Ridge Drive (NW Doral Street) | V/C < 0.9 | 17.3 | C | 0.13 | 14.6 | B | 0.05 |
| NW Baker Creek Road/Merlot Drive (NW Greenbriar Place) | V/C < 0.9 | 17.8 | C | 0.11 | 14.8 | B | 0.03 |
| Delay = Average Intersection Delay (sec.) <br> LOS = Level of Service <br> $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=$ Volume-to-Capacity Ratio for worst lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]
## CHAPTER 3: PROJECT IMPACTS

This chapter reviews the estimated impacts that the Oak Ridge Meadows development may have on the surrounding transportation system. This analysis includes site plan evaluation, trip generation, trip distribution, and future year traffic volumes and operating conditions for the study intersections.

## Proposed Development

The proposed development includes 108-unit single family homes as well as dedicated public space (park). Initially, the development will be accessed via NW Pinot Noir Drive only. However, a future connection to NW Baker Creek Road at NW Shadden Road will serve as the primary access to the development.

## Trip Generation

Trip generation is the method used to estimate the number of vehicles added to site streets and the adjacent street network by a development during a specified period (i.e., such as the PM peak hour). For this study, the ITE 10th Edition trip generation data was used which is based on national land use data. ${ }^{6}$

Table 4 provides a detailed trip generation for the proposed single family development. As shown, the development is expected to generate approximately 80 total ( $20 \mathrm{in}, 60$ out) AM peak hour trips, 107 total ( 67 in, 40 out) PM peak hour trips, and 1,020 daily trips.

Table 4: Peak Hour Primary Trip Generation

| Land Use (ITE Code) | Quantity | In | Out Trips | PM Trips |  |  | Datal | In |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Out | Total | Trips |  |  |  |  |
| Single-Family Detached <br> Housing (210) | 108 units | 20 | 60 | 80 | 67 | 40 | 107 | $\mathbf{1 , 0 2 0}$ |

## Trip Distribution

Trip distribution provides an estimate of where project-related trips would be coming from and going to. It is given as percentages at key gateways to the study area and is used to route project trips through the study intersections. Because the ultimate extension of NW Shadden Drive will change travel patterns, trip distribution scenarios were calculated for both the interim and final build of the development. In both scenarios, all traffic is destined for NW Baker Creek Road with $85 \%$ oriented to/from the east and $15 \%$ oriented to/from the west.

The trip distribution and resulting project trips for the interim build scenario are shown in Figure 3. In this scenario, $100 \%$ of the project trips were assumed to leave the site and use NW Pinot

[^3]Noir Drive. From there, and estimated 70\% would use NW Oak Ridge Drive and 30\% would use Merlot Drive to access NW Baker Creek Road.


Figure 3: Interim Build Trip Distribution and Project Trips

After the additional access at Shadden Road is built, trip distribution will change dramatically with the majority of traffic using the Shadden Road access. It is assumed that $80 \%$ of trips will use the newly constructed Shadden Road access and 20\% of trips will continue to use NW Pinot Noir Drive. Of the $20 \%$ of trips using Pinot Noir Drive, the previous assumption of $70 \% / 30 \%$ split to NW Oak Ridge Drive and Merlot Drive will still apply. The resulting trip distribution and project trips are shown in Figure 4.


Figure 4: Final Build Trip Distribution and Project Trips

## Interim Build Traffic Volumes and Operating Conditions

Future operating conditions were analyzed at the study intersections for the interim build scenario (without the NW Shadden Drive connection). Interim build traffic volumes at the study intersection are the sum of the existing traffic volumes and the project trips. The interim build traffic volumes are shown in Figure 5.


Figure 5: Interim Build AM and PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

## Intersection Operations

The study intersection operating conditions with the addition of site-generated traffic (interim build) are listed in Table 5. As shown, all study intersections continue to operate well under capacity and meet operating standards.

Table 5: Interim Build Intersection Operations

| Intersection | Operating Standard | Build AM Peak |  |  | Build PM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delay | LOS | v/c | Delay | LOS | v/c |
| NW Baker Creek Road /NW Oak Ridge Drive (NW Doral Street) | V/C < 0.9 | 20.7 | C | 0.31 | 16.6 | C | 0.14 |
| NW Baker Creek Road/Merlot Drive (NW Greenbriar Place) | V/C < 0.9 | 18.5 | C | 0.18 | 15.0 | C | 0.06 |
| Delay = Average Intersection Delay (s <br> LOS = Level of Service <br> $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=$ Volume-to-Capacity Ratio for wo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Final Build Traffic Volumes and Operating Conditions

Future operating conditions were also analyzed at the study intersections for the final build scenario (with the NW Shadden Road connection). The final build traffic volumes are shown in Figure 6.


Figure 6: Final Build AM and PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

## Intersection Operations

The study intersection operating conditions at full build out of the development are listed in Table 6. As shown, all study intersections continue to operate well under capacity and meet operating standards.

Table 6: Final Build Intersection Operations

| Intersection | Operating Standard | Build AM Peak |  |  | Build PM Peak |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delay | LOS | v/c | Delay | LOS | v/c |
| NW Baker Creek Road /NW Oak <br> Ridge Drive (NW Doral Street) | $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}<0.9$ | 18.0 | C | 0.17 | 15.0 | C | 0.07 |
| NW Baker Creek Road/Merlot Drive <br> (NW Greenbriar Place) | $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}<0.9$ | 17.8 | C | 0.13 | 14.9 | B | 0.03 |
| Delay $=$ Average Intersection Delay (sec.) <br> LOS $=$ Level of Service <br> V/c $=$ Volume-to-Capacity Ratio for worst lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Neighborhood Livability Evaluation

DKS previously conducted an evaluation of the potential impacts of this development. ${ }^{7}$ This section provides an update to that document.

The livability of a street is generally determined by key factors such as vehicle speeds and volumes as related to pedestrian safety, bicycle safety and other vehicle movements along a neighborhood street. The City of McMinnville has not adopted or proposed a livability standard to measure the livability of local streets through neighborhoods, but the City has adopted a design capacity of 1,200 vehicles per day (vpd) on local neighborhood streets. In addition, other cities around the country have used Neighborhood Traffic Management Plans that trigger mitigation efforts when the average daily traffic (ADT) exceeds $1,000 \mathrm{vpd}$. While there is no specific volume threshold to indicate when the livability of the neighborhood has been reduced, these design standards provide a reasonable threshold.

Peak hour traffic counts were collected on NW Oak Ridge Drive and Merlot Drive on February 12, 2019. These peak hour traffic volumes were then used to estimate daily traffic volumes along NW Oak Ridge Drive and Merlot Drive. ${ }^{8}$ Based on the limited number of existing homes along NW Pinot Noir Drive, a conservative estimate of peak hour and daily traffic on this roadway was calculated using ITE trip generation rates. The estimated ADT on these three study roadways are shown in Table 7.

Also shown in Table 7 is the estimated number of trips that will occur on these roads once Oak Ridge Meadows is built, both before and after the NW Shadden Road extension is constructed.

Table 7. Projected Average Daily Traffic Volumes

| Street | Existing <br> ADT | Interim Build (Without NW <br> Shadden Dr. Extension) <br> Oak Ridge <br> Meadows ADT |  | Fotal <br> ADT | Cuild (With NW Shadden <br> Dr. Extension) <br> Mead Ridge |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NW Pinot Noir Dr. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 180 | 1,020 | 1,200 | 204 | 384 |
| NW Oak Ridge Dr. | 440 | 714 | 1,154 | 143 | 583 |
| Merlot Dr. | 320 | 306 | 626 | 61 | 381 |
| NW Shadden Dr. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - | - | - | 816 | 816 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The segment northwest of NW Oak Ridge Drive
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The segment north of NW Baker Creek Road

[^4]As shown in table 7, daily traffic volumes on all study roadways will remain at or below a level consistent with neighborhood streets in the City of McMinnville (ADT of 1,200 vpd) after Oak Ridge Meadows is constructed, even without the extension of NW Shadden Drive. Once the NW Shadden Drive extension is in place, the daily traffic volumes on NW Pinot Noir Drive, NW Oak Ridge Drive, and Merlot Drive will be only marginally higher than today. In short, the volume of traffic that will be added to the existing neighborhood streets is not expected to reduce the livability of the neighborhood due to over-capacity conditions.

## Project Impact Summary

The proposed development is anticipated to result in the following impacts:

- The development will consist of 108 -unit single family homes. The ultimate buildout of the site includes a connection to NW Baker Creek Road via an extension of NW Shadden Drive. In the interim, the development will be accessed via NW Pinot Noir Drive, NW Oak Ridge Drive, and Merlot Drive.
- The development is expected to generate 80 (20 in, 60 out) AM peak hour trips, 107 (67 in, 40 out) PM peak hour trips, and 1,020 daily trips.
- Intersection operations during the Interim Build and Full Build of Oak Ridge Meadows will continue to operate well under-capacity and will meet City of McMinnville operating standards. The addition of Oak Ridge Meadows traffic will not have a significant impact on the operations or delay experienced at the intersections of NW Baker Creek Road/NW Oak Ridge Drive and NW Baker Creek Road/Merlot Drive.
- An evaluation of the livability of neighborhood streets, as defined by the volume of traffic the streets were designed to handle ( $1,200 \mathrm{vpd}$ ), confirmed that the Oak Ridge Meadows development is not expected to have an adverse impact on the existing neighborhood streets.

Appendix A - Site Plan


## Appendix B - Existing Peak Hour Traffic Counts






## Appendix C - Existing HCM Analysis Results

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \& |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 446 | 0 | 1 | 172 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 28 | 0 | 1 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 446 | 0 | 1 | 172 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 28 | 0 | 1 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 551 | 0 | 1 | 212 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 35 | 0 | 1 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 2 | 416 | 1 | 2 | 140 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 28 | 0 | 5 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 2 | 416 | 1 | 2 | 140 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 28 | 0 | 5 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 3 | 562 | 1 | 3 | 189 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 38 | 0 | 7 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | $\ddagger$ |  |  | 4 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 208 | 0 | 2 | 378 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 208 | 0 | 2 | 378 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 8 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control Fr | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 224 | 0 | 2 | 406 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | * |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 213 | 2 | 13 | 357 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 13 | 0 | 4 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 213 | 2 | 13 | 357 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 13 | 0 | 4 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 5 | 232 | 2 | 14 | 388 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 4 |



## Appendix D - Future Interim HCM Analysis Results

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 446 | 1 | 1 | 172 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 43 | 0 | 4 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 446 | 1 | 1 | 172 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 43 | 0 | 4 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 551 | 1 | 1 | 212 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 53 | 0 | 5 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 |  |  | \& |  |  | * |  |  | 4 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 4 | 416 | 3 | 2 | 140 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 64 | 0 | 11 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 4 | 416 | 3 | 2 | 140 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 64 | 0 | 11 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 5 | 562 | 4 | 3 | 189 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 86 | 0 | 15 |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\ddagger$ |  |  | * |  |  | \& |  |  | 4 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 13 | 213 | 2 | 13 | 357 | 62 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 37 | 0 | 8 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 13 | 213 | 2 | 13 | 357 | 62 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 37 | 0 | 8 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 14 | 232 | 2 | 14 | 388 | 67 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 40 | 0 | 9 |



## Appendix E - Future Final Build HCM Analysis Results






| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\ddagger$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\ddagger$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 208 | 0 | 2 | 378 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 208 | 0 | 2 | 378 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 8 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 224 | 0 | 2 | 406 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 |  |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 213 | 2 | 13 | 357 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 18 | 0 | 5 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 213 | 2 | 13 | 357 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 18 | 0 | 5 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 7 | 232 | 2 | 14 | 388 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 20 | 0 | 5 |

















|  | PREMIER DEVELOPMENT |  |  | scale |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OAK RIDGE MEADOWS-PHASE 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Emo wey |  |  |  |  |
|  | STREET PLAN \& PROFILE |  |  | DSN. JW |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | BY |





## Exhibit 46

## HALSTEAD'S ARBORICULTURE

David Halstead. Consultant B S
PO Box 1182 . Iualatın. OR 97062
Phone 1503) 245.1383

August 2, 1999
ATTN.: Ms. Loni Zumwalt
Premier Home Builders
PO Box 43
McMinnville, OR 97128
Reference: Tree Assessment
Location: Elk's Park
Subject: All Trees
Per your request we have inspected all of the Oregon White Oak ( Quercusgarryana) trees for the purpose of determining their health and structural condition and to select those trees that are exceptionally good and those that are structurally unsafe.

The majority of the Oak trees are located within two groves; the south grove which is made up the largest and more stately trees and the north grove which is a thicker somewhat overcrowded grove of tall slender trees. There are approximately 10 Oregon White Oak and three (3) clumps of Black Cottonwood trees outside of the aforementioned groves as well as a Vanity of smaller trees along the west, north and south property line.

All of the trees on this property have been subjected to damage caused by severe winter storms and little or no professional arboriculture care has been taken to ensure their survival. Many of the trees are unsafe and/or marginal in both health and structure.

We have marked five (5) Oak trees with WHITE TAGS that are exceptional in size, health, structure and artistic characteristics, all of which are in the south grove. If preserved, anyone of these trees with proper protection and care would be an outstanding asset to the forthcoming development.

In addition, we RED TAGGED fifty four (54) Oak trees that are unhealthy, structurally hazardous, ovencrowded andor affecting the health and stability of nearby trees of greater value, including the three (3) clumps of Cottonwood trees. Most of the trees red tagged were in the north grove.

## Page 2

August 2, 1999
Reference: Tree Assessment
Location: Elk's Park
Subject: All Trees
The remaining Oregon White Oak trees are not tagged and their structure and/or health is marginal and will require therapeutic care in the form of therapeutic fertilization, insect and disease treatment, pruning and cabling for them to survive. Those trees that are subjected to construction trauma will need technical care both above and below ground.

## Recommendations:

1. Trees to be preserved in groves rather than individually. In this way the trees will work as a unit both above and below ground and their protection and therapeutic treatment is more cost affective.
2. Those individual trees outside of the groves that are selected for preservation will need enough root zone in order to survive. The root area will have to be determined on an individual tree bases. Further, these individual trees will require technical therapeutic care on a tree by tree bases before, during and after construction.
3. Once all of the trees have been programmed onto the site plan and you have determined what trees that can be left on site we will inspect the selected trees and make precise recommendations for their survival.

Enclosed, on separate heading is our THERAPEUTIC CARE AND PROTECTION PROGRAM, PRUNNING GUIDE that we have designed for trees under construction trauma and explains the different aspects of preservation and covers almost everything except the highly technical aspects of tree care. Also enclosed is our Resume.

Sincerely,


David Halstead BS CA
ASCA




230 NE Second Srreet • McMinnville, Oregon 97128 • www.ci.mominnvile.or.us

November 23, 1999

Jeff and Lori Zumwalt<br>Premier Home Builders, Inc.<br>POBox 43<br>McMinnville OR 97128

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Zumwalt:
This is to advise you that at a meeting of the McMinnville Planning Commission on Thursday, November 18 1999, your applications for annexation (ANX 4-99) of approximately 9.2 acres of land, comprehensive plan amendment (CPA 10-99), zone change (ZC 19-99) on approximately 9.2 acres of continuous land, and a 107-lot singiefamily residential tentative subdivision plan (S6-99) on the 30.2-acre parent parcel located north of Baker Creek Road, east of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints, and south of Baker Creek; and is further described as a portion of Tax Lot 1300, Section 17, T. 4 S., R. 4 W., W.M. were presented and carefully studied.

Based on the materials submitted by the applicant, the testimony received, the findings of fact, and the conclusionary findings for approval, the Planning Commission voted to recommend to the City Council that ANX 4-99 be approved and forwarded to a vote of the electorate pursuant to City and State annexation requirements.

Based on the materials submitted by the applicant, the testimony received, the findings of fact, and the conclusionary findings for approval, the Planning Commission voted to recommend to the City Council that CPA 10-99 be approved and that ZC 19-99 be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. That the comprehensive plan map amendment and zone change approvals (for those portions located outside of the current city limits) not take affect until and unless the annexation request is approved by the City Council and the electorate.
2. That the Oak Ridge subdivision tentative plan (or such plan as may be revised by conditions for approval of this development), be placed on file with the Planning Department and that it become a part of the zone and binding on the property owner and developer.

That the developer is responsible for requesting approval of the Planning Commission for any major change of the details of the adopted plan. Minor changes to the details of the adopted plan may be approved by the Planning Director. It shall be the Planning Director's decision as to what constitutes a major or minor change. An appeal from a ruling by him may be made only to the Commission. Review of the Planning Director's decision by the Planning Commission may be initiated at the request of any one of the Commissioners.
3. That the average lot size within the Oak Ridge subdivision shall be a minimum of 7,000 square feet.
4. That building setbacks for Lots $3,4,5,13,14,87,88$, and 89 shall be as follows: Front - 20 feet; however, the front yard setback measured to the open side of a garage or carport shall not be less than eignteen (18) feet.
Rear- 15 feet
Side -7.5 feet
Exterior Side - 20 feet
The Planning Director is authorized to permit reductions or increases to these standards as may be necessary to provide for the retention of trees greater than nine inches in diameter measured at breast height. In no case, however, may the rear yard setback be reduced less than 5 feet, or the side yard setback to 5 feet, or the exterior side yard setback to 15 feet without approval of the Planning Commission pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 17.69 (Variance). A request to adjust the setbacks for these lots shall be accompanied by a building plan for the subject lot.
5. That existing trees greater than 9 inches in diameter measured 4.5 feet above grade, other than those identified for removal in the submitted arborist's report, shall not be removed without written permission of the McMinnville Planning Director. Trees to be retained shall be protected during all phases of home construction. A plan for the protection of trees to remain on site, and in particular, for the five "exceptional" Oregon white oak trees identified by the applicant's arborist, must be submitted to the City prior to construction of the proposed subdivision. In addition, such a plan shall also accompany any building permit for a lot on which trees are located. The plan must meet with the approval of the City prior to release of construction permits or building permits within the subject site.

Pursuant to the annexation and zoning ordinances of the City of McMinnville, an application approved by the Planning Commission may be appealed within 15 days of such approval to the City Council. If no appeal is filed with the City Recorder on or before December 7, 1999, the City Council will consider your request on December 14., 1999 (unless otherwise notified).

Based on the material submitted by the applicants, the testimony received, the findings of fact, and the conclusionary findings for approval, the Planning Commission voted to aporove $S 6-99$ subject to the following conditions:

1. That the subdivision approval does not take effect until and uniess the companion annexation, plan amendment, and zone change applications are approved by the City Council and McMinnville electorate.
2. That the applicant shall secure all required state and federal permits, inciuding if applicable those related to the federal Endangered Species Act, and those required by the Oregon Division of State Lands and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and Department of Environmental Quality (applicable storm runoff and site development permits) prior to construction of the proposed subdivision. Copies of the approved cermits shall be submitted to the City.
3. That a detailed storm drainage plan, which incorporates the requirements of the City's Storm Drainage Master Plan must be submitted to, and approved by, the City Engineering Department. Any utility easements needed to comply with the approved plan must be reflected on the final plat. If the final storm drainage plan incorporates the use of backyard collection systems and easements, such must be private rather than puiblic and private maintenance agreements must be approved by the City for them.
4. That a detailed sanitary sewage collection plan which incorporates the requirements of the City's Collection System, Facilities Plan must be submitted to, and approved by, the City Engineering Department. Any utility easements needed to comply with the approved plan must be reflected on the final plat.
5. That the applicant secure from the Federal Emergency Management Agency appropriate certification to indicate the location and base flood elevation of the Baker Creek floodplain prior to the construction of Phase 3. All proposed development shall be located outside of an identified floodplain consistent with the City's floodplain ordinance.
6. That the developer enter into a construction permit agreement with the City Engineering Department for all public improvements and gain a fill and grading permit for lot fill and grading from the City Building Division.
7. That restrictive covenants shail be prepared for the deveiopment. At a minimum, the covenants shall provide provisions for the continued maintenance of a 10foot wide landscaped strip along the Baker Creek Road frontage, and landscaped islands within Street "D" and "F", and provisions for tree retention, care, and planting. The proposed covenants must meet with the approvai of the Planning Director.
8. That the applicant plant street trees within curbside planting strips along all proposed streets (including the north side of Street "F" unless not permitted by the wetland permit) and the Baker Creek Road frontage in accordance with a street tree plan to be prepared by the applicant and submitted to the Landscape Review Committee for their review and approval. All street trees shall have a two-inch minimum caliper. exhibit size and growing characteristics appropriate for the particular planting strip. and be spaced as appropriate for the selected species and as may be required for the location of above ground utility vaults,
transformers, light poles, and hydrants. In addition, street trees shall not be planted within 30 feet of street intersections. All street trees shall be of good quality and shall conform to American Standard for Nurseny Stock (ANSI Z60.1). The Planning Director reserves the right to reject any plant material that does not meet this standard.

Each year the applicant shall install street trees, from November 1 to March 1, adjacent to those properties on which a structure has been constructed and received final occupancy. This planting schedule shall continue until all platted lots have been planted with street trees. All required trees shall be installed by the applicant prior to final platting, or security equal to 120 percent of the cost of instailing the required street trees shall be posted with the City. The amount and form of such security shall be as required by the Planning Director.

It shail be the applicant's responsibility to relocate trees as may be necessary to accommodate individual building plans. The applicant shall also be responsible for the maintenance of the street trees, and for the replacement of any trees that may die for one year from the date of planting.
9. That prior to the submittal of the final plat, the names of all proposed streets shall be submitted by the applicant to the City for review and approved by the Planning Director.
10. That 10 -foot utility easements shall be provided along the north side of Baker Creek Road and along both sides of all public rights-of-way for the placement and maintenance of required utilities.
11. That cross sections for the entire street system shall be prepared which show utility location, street improvement elevation and grade, park strips, sidewalk location, and sidewalk elevation and grade.

Said cross sections shall be submitted to the Community Development Director for review and approval prior to submittal of the final plat. If the submitted information so indicates, the Planning Director may require the tentative subdivision plan be revised in order to provide for a more practical configuration of lots, utilities, and streets. All such submittals must comply with the requirements of 13A of the Land Division Ordinance and must meet with the approval of the City Engineer.
12. That the section of Street " $E$ " located immediately south of its intersection with Street "F" may be constructed with a minimum width, measured from curb to curb, of twenty feet. All other streets within the proposed subdivision, other than that section of Street "D" near its intersection with Baker Creek Road, shall be improved with a 28 -foot wide paved section, and five-foot wide curbside planting strips located within a 50 -foot right-of-way. The southern end of Street "D" shall be improved with two travel lanes each a minimum of eighteen feet in width separated by a landscaped raised median located within a sixty-foot wide right-of-way. Five-foot wide sidewalks shall be constructed within the public right-ofway one-foot from the property line adjacent to both sides of all public streets.
unless otherwise approved. Meandering sidewalks shall be permitted within the north grove and south grove to avoid the removal of existing trees. If the Planning Director should find that significant tree removal is required to accommodate the sidewalk on the west side of "E" Street, then a public sidewalk for this section of street shall not be required.
13. That the intersection of Street " $F$ " and Street " $E$ " be designed in accordance with the requirements of the City Engineer and McMinnville Fire Department. In particular, the intersection must provide a minimum 35 -foot centerline turning radii to accommodate turning movement of emergency access vehicles. or as may otherwise be approved by the Fire Marshall
14. That improvements, including curbs, five-foot wide sidewaiks, fencing, and landscaping are required along the Baker Creek Road frontage. Plans for the improvement of the Baker Creek Road frontage shall be submitted to the City Engineer and McMinnville Landscape Review Committee, as appropriate, for review and approval prior to their construction. All required improvements shall be installed prior to the filing of the final plat. Fencing shall be of a design that provides vertical and horizontal articulation so as to avoid the creation of a visually monotonous appearance.
15. That direct vehicular access from Baker Creek Road from Lots $34-44$ is not allowed. Said restriction shall be noted on the face of the final plat.
16. That direct vehicular access from Street " $D$ " to Lots 36 and 37 is not ailowed. Driveways for these lots shall be placed as far possible from the intersection of Street "A" and Street "D" as possible to avoid conflict with other traffic at the intersection. Such limitations shall be noted on the face of the final plat and included in the covenants for the subdivision.
17. That the applicant extend water service to the subject site in accordance with McMinnville Water and Light requirements. Easements as may be required for the extension of water shall also be provided.
18. That approved. working fire hydrants must be installed prior to the issuance of building permits for the subject site.
19. That the area located in the northeast corner of the subject site, outside of any platted lots or public right-of-way (approximately 3.1 acres), be dedicated to the City of McMinnville for public park purposes, unless otherwise restricted due to the terms of a wetland permit issued by the Oregon Division of State Lands or Army Corps of Engineers. Said dedication shall be indicated on the face of the final subdivision plat. The value of this land shall be applied as an offset toward the applicant's park system development charges.
20. That if the property owner wishes a one-year extension of the Commission approval of this tentative plan under the provisions of Section 16 of Ordinance No. 3702, a request for such extension must be filed in writing with the P!anning Department a minimum of 30 days prior to the expiration date of this accrovai.
21. That secondary emergency access shall ze provided to the subject site by the applicant prior to the issuance of any bulicing permits. At a minimum the required secondary emergency access must ce constructed to include a 12-foct wide travel lane with 20 feet of vertical c:earance. Plans for the provision of secondary emergency access for each prase of construction must be submitted to the McMinnville Fire Department for their review and approval prior to release of the final plat.
22. That the applicant dedicate a 10 -foot wice strip of land parallel to the north right-of-way of Baker Creek Road, extending from the subject site's eastern border to the western border, for the future improvement of Baker Creek Road to a minor arterial street. Such dedication shall be shown on the face of the final plat.
23. That no on-street parking shall be allowed on either side of proposed 20 -foot wide street sections, within 50 -feet of intersections on 28 -foot wide streets, or on outside turning radii of 28 -foot wide streets. The applicant is required to install "no parking" signs in locations as may be required by the City Engineer.
24. That barricades shall be installed by the applicant at the terminus of all pubiic streets as may be required by the City Engineer.
25. That a public street, designed to the standards of a local residential street (26foot wide paved section, five-foot wide sicewalks, and six-foot wide curbside planting strip within a 50 -foot right-of-way), shall be constructed westward from Street " $E$ " to the western property line of the subject site. The location and alignment of this street shall be as required by the City Engineer. Adjustment of the submitted tentative plan are authorized as may be necessary to accommodate the provision of this street.
26. That the proposed street plan for the eastern midsection of the subject site be revised as may be necessary to avoid impact to wetlands within the subject site and those within the adjacent Compton property. At a minimum the eastern terminus of Street " $F$ " shall be turned due south to intersect with the proposed intersection of Street "E" and Street "B" (future connection through the Compton property to Baker Crest Court would then be accomplished through the eastern extension of Street "E").

Street " $F$ " may be constructed in the alignment proposed on the tentative plan only upon submittal of a wetland permit issued by the Oregon Division of State Lands or Army Corps of Engineers that supports the further eastern extension of this street to Baker Crest Court. Such evidence must be submitted prior to the construction of Phase 2 of this project, or the phase lines for Phase 2 shall be amended to remove those lots that may be affected by the southern realignment of Street "F".
27. That the applicant provide information to the City Engineer as to the design capacity of the existing downstream sanitary sewer pump station located in the Crestbrook subdivision. First Addition. If the iniormation and studies provided oy
the applicant indicate that adequate capacity does not exist to support the proposed development of the Oak Ridge subdivision, then the applicant shall make improvements to the system as may be necessary and required by the City Engineer. Such improvements shall be at the expense of the applicant and shall be completed prior to release of the final plat.
28. That if technically feasible, (as determined by the City Engineer) the applicant provide a pedestrian easement extending northeast from streets " $E$ " to " $F$ " for access to the proposed public open space. The easement shall be a minimum of fifteen (15) feet in width and shall be improved as required by the City Engineer as part of the required subdivision improvements. If possible, the pedestrian easement should extend northeast from the intersection of streets "E" and "C" or alternatively from the intersection of Streets " $E$ " and "D".

Pursuant to the Land Division Ordinance of the City of McMinnville. applications approved by the Planning Commission may be appealed within fifteen (15) days of the day of such approval to the City Council. If no appeal is filed with the City Recorder on or before December 7, 1999. the decision of the Planning Commission on this matter will be final.

Final approval of the subdivision must conform to the City subdivision regulations and engineering improvement requirements. A final subdivision must also conform to the provisions as established in Chapter 92 of the Oregon Revised Statutes. A list of fees to be paid by the developer and the submittal which are required before the final plat may be recorded are enclosed.

If you have any questions regarding these matters, please contact me at 434-7311.
Sincerely,


DRM:ral

## Enclosure

co: Rick Highsmith
Dave Haugeberg
Mark Davis


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Exhibit 2-3, Transportation System Plan, Updated May, 2010.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Data collected by Key Data Network on February 12, 2019.
    ${ }^{3}$ A description of Level of Service (LOS) is provided in the appendix and includes a list of the delay values (in seconds) that correspond to each LOS designation.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Table 6-32, City of McMinnville TSP, Page 2-11, Updated May 2010.
    52000 \& 2010 Highway Capacity Manual, Transportation Research Board, Washington DC, 2000/2010.

[^3]:    6 Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ Oak Ridge Meadows Neighborhood Street Livability, DKS Associates, 2004.
    ${ }^{8}$ It was assumed that the PM peak hour volumes represent $10 \%$ of the daily traffic volumes, which is a commonly used estimate when no other data is available. It is also consistent with the ratio of PM peak hour to daily volume trip generation rates in the ITE Trip Generation Manual for single family home developments.

